

DE BROGLIE'S HYPOTHESIS AND THE WAVE EQUATION

TRISTRAM DE PIRO

ABSTRACT.

Lemma 0.1. *We have that;*

$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^n(y) dy = \frac{n! \pi}{2^n [(\frac{n}{2})!]^2}, \text{ if } n \text{ is even}$$

$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^n(y) dy = \frac{[\frac{n-1}{2}]! 2^n}{n!}, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd}$$

Proof. Let $I_n = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^n(y) dy$, then for $n \geq 2$, we have that, using integration by parts;

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^n(y) dy \\ &= [\cos^{n-1}(y) \sin(y)]_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} + \int -\frac{\pi}{2}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (n-1) \cos^{n-2}(y) \sin^2(y) dy \\ &= \int -\frac{\pi}{2}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (n-1) \cos^{n-2}(y) (1 - \cos^2(y)) dy \\ &= (n-1) I_{n-2} - (n-1) I_n \end{aligned}$$

so that, rearranging;

$$I_n = \frac{n-1}{n} I_{n-2}$$

and, using the fact $I_0 = \pi$, $I_1 = 2$, we have that, for n even;

$$I_n = \frac{n!}{2^n [(\frac{n}{2})!]^2} \pi$$

and, for n odd;

$$I_n = \frac{[\frac{n-1}{2}]! 2^n}{n!}$$

□

Lemma 0.2. *Let $n \in \mathcal{N}$, $\epsilon > 0$, and let $\gamma_{n,\epsilon}$ be defined by;*

$$\gamma_{n,\epsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cos^n\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right), \text{ for } x \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$$

$$\gamma_{n,\epsilon}(x) = 0, \text{ otherwise}$$

Then $\gamma_{n,\epsilon}$ has the following properties;

$$(i). \gamma_{n,\epsilon} \in C^{m-1}(\mathcal{R}).$$

$$(ii). \gamma_{n,\epsilon} \geq 0.$$

$$(iii). \int_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma_{n,\epsilon}(x) dx = \frac{n!}{2^{n-1}[(\frac{n}{2})!]^2}, \text{ } n \text{ even}$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma_{n,\epsilon}(x) dx = \frac{[\frac{n-1}{2}]! 2^{n+1}}{\pi n!}, \text{ } n \text{ odd}$$

$$(iv) \gamma_{n,\epsilon} \text{ is supported on } [-\epsilon, \epsilon].$$

Proof. (ii) is clear as $\cos(y) \geq 0$ for $y \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, (iv) is clear by the definition of $\gamma_{n,\epsilon}$. To prove (i), it is sufficient to show that;

$$\cos^n\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right)^{(m)}(\epsilon) = \cos^n\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right)^{(m)}(-\epsilon) = 0$$

for $0 \leq m \leq n-1$. We can prove this by induction on n , as for $n=1$, we have that;

$$\cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right)(\epsilon) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right)(-\epsilon) = \cos\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 0$$

and, if the inductive hypothesis holds for $n \in \mathcal{N}$, then, for $1 \leq m \leq n$;

$$\begin{aligned} & \cos^{n+1}\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right)^{(m)}(\epsilon) \\ &= -\left[\frac{\pi(n+1)}{2\epsilon} \cos^n\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right)\right]^{(m-1)}(\epsilon) \\ &= -\frac{\pi(n+1)}{2\epsilon} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} C_k^{m-1} \cos^n\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right)^{(m-1-k)} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right)^{(k)}\right](\epsilon) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly;

$$\cos^{n+1}\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right)^{(m)}(-\epsilon) = 0$$

while, clearly;

$$\cos^{n+1}\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right)(\epsilon) = \cos^{n+1}\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right)(-\epsilon) = 0$$

To prove (iii), we have that, for $n \in \mathcal{N}$;

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma_{n,\epsilon}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \cos^n\left(\frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^n(y) \frac{2\epsilon}{\pi} dy, \quad (y = \frac{\pi x}{2\epsilon}) \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^n(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

so that, using Lemma 0.1, for n even;

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma_{n,\epsilon}(x) dx &= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{n!}{2^n [(\frac{n}{2})!]^2} \pi \\ &= \frac{n!}{2^{n-1} [(\frac{n}{2})!]^2} \end{aligned}$$

and, for n odd;

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma_{n,\epsilon}(x) dx &= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{[\frac{n-1}{2}]!^2 2^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{[\frac{n-1}{2}]!^2 2^{n+1}}{\pi n!} \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 0.3. *Let $\delta_{n,\epsilon}(x)$ be defined by;*

$$\delta_n(x) = \frac{2^{n-1} [\frac{n}{2}]!^2}{n!} \gamma_{n,\epsilon}, \text{ for } n \text{ even}$$

and by;

$$\delta_n(x) = \frac{\pi n!}{[(\frac{n-1}{2})!]^2 2^{n+1}} \gamma_{n,\epsilon}, \text{ for } n \text{ odd}$$

Then the properties (i), (ii), (iv) of Lemma 0.2 hold, with (iii) changed to;

$$(iii)'. \int_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma_{n,\epsilon}(x) dx = 1, n \in \mathcal{N}$$

and, for $n \in \mathcal{N}$;

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta_{n,\epsilon} = \delta$$

in the sense of distributions, where δ is the Dirac delta function on \mathcal{R} .

Proof. The first claim is clear as we have just normalised $\gamma_{n,\epsilon}$. For the remaining claim, let $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{R})$, and write;

$$f = f^+ + f^-$$

where;

$$f^+(x) = f(x), \text{ if } f(x) \geq 0$$

$$f^+(x) = 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

$$f^-(x) = f(x), \text{ if } f(x) \leq 0$$

$$f^-(x) = 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

Then, using properties (ii), (iii)', (iv) of $\delta_{n,\epsilon}$ and continuity of f ;

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]} f^+ + \min_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]} f^- &\leq \delta_{n,\epsilon}(f) = \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \delta_{n,\epsilon}(x) f^+(x) dx + \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \delta_{n,\epsilon}(x) f^-(x) dx \\ &\leq \max_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]} f^+ + \max_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]} f^- \end{aligned}$$

with;

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \min_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]} f^+ + \min_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]} f^- &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \max_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]} f^+ + \max_{[-\epsilon, \epsilon]} f^- \\ &= f(0) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta_{n,\epsilon}(f) = f(0) = \delta(f)$, as required.

□

Lemma 0.4. *We define the time derivative δ'_t of the delta function δ to be;*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\delta(x - vt)$$

where v is the velocity, so that;

$$\delta'_t = -v\delta'$$

in the sense of distributions. Similarly, we define the time derivative $\delta'_{n,\epsilon,t}$ of the approximations by;

$$\frac{d}{dt}\delta_{n,\epsilon}(x - vt)$$

so that, by the chain rule;

$$\delta'_{n,\epsilon,t}(x) = -v\delta'_{n,\epsilon}(x)$$

Then;

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta'_{n,\epsilon,t} = \delta'_t$$

in the sense of distributions.

Proof. For the claim, let $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{R})$, then, using integration by parts, (iv) of Lemma 0.3;

$$\begin{aligned} \delta'_{n,\epsilon,t}(f) &= -v \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \delta'_{n,\epsilon}(x) f(x) dx \\ &= -v ([\delta'_{n,\epsilon}(x) f(x)]_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} - \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \delta_{n,\epsilon}(x) f'(x) dx) \\ &= v \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \delta_{n,\epsilon}(x) f'(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

so that, using the main result of Lemma 0.3;

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta'_{n,\epsilon,t}(f) &= v \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \delta_{n,\epsilon}(x) f'(x) dx \\ &= v f'(0) \end{aligned}$$

$$= -v\delta'(f)$$

$$= \delta'_t(f)$$

as required.

□

.....

REFERENCES

FLAT 3, REDESDALE HOUSE, 85 THE PARK, CHELTENHAM, GL50 2RP
E-mail address: `t.depiro@curvalinea.net`