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## Abstract.

Definition 0.1. We call $\left(\bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right)$, a solution to Maxwell's equation in vacuum, good, if $\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right) \times \bar{B}_{0}=0$, for some fundamental solution $(\bar{E}, \overline{0})$ corresponding to $\{\rho, \bar{J}\}$ satisfying the conditions from Lemma 4.1 in [9], with $\{\rho, \bar{J}\}$ not vacuum and $\{\rho, \bar{J}\} \subset S\left(\mathcal{R}^{3} \times \mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$. We call $\left(\bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right)$ static if $\frac{\partial \bar{E}_{0}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \bar{B}_{1}}{\partial t}=\overline{0}$.

Definition 0.2. We say that a field $\bar{C}(\bar{x}, t)$ is simple if all the components $c_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$ are continuously fourth differentiable in the coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and continuously twice differentiable in the coordinate $t$, such that the partial derivatives all belong to $L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right)$ for fixed $t \geq 0$, and, the $L^{1}$-norm of the partial derivatives is uniformly bounded for $0 \leq t<1$.

Definition 0.3. We say that a real pair $(\bar{E}, \bar{B})$, satisfying Maxwell's equations for some $\{\rho, \bar{J}\}$, satisfies the strong no radiation condition if;

$$
P(r, t)=\int_{S(\overline{0}, r)}(\bar{E} \times \bar{B}) \cdot d \bar{S}=0
$$

for all $r>0$ and $t \in \mathcal{R}$. We say that it satisfies the no radiation condition if;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} P(r, t)=0 \\
& \text { for all } t \in \mathcal{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 0.4. For any $\{\rho, \bar{J}\}$ satisfying the conditions from Lemma 4.1 in $[9]$, if $(\bar{E}, \overline{0})$ denotes a fundamental solution, then a solution $\left\{\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right\}$, with $\left(\rho, \bar{J}, \bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right)$ satisfying Maxwell's equations, satisfies the no radiating condition, if $\bar{E}, \bar{E}_{0}$ and $\bar{B}_{0}$ are simple and $\left\{\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{0},\left.\frac{\partial\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)}{\partial t}\right|_{0},\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)_{0},\left.\frac{\partial \bar{B}_{0}}{\partial t}\right|_{0}\right\} \subset S\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right),(*)$. Moreover, we have
that explicit representation;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)(\bar{x}, t)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) e^{i k c t}+\bar{d}(\bar{k}) e^{-i k c t}\right) e^{i \bar{k} . \bar{x}} d \bar{k} \\
& \bar{B}_{0}(\bar{x}, t)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\overline{b^{\prime}}(\bar{l}) e^{i l c t}+\overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l}) e^{-i l c t}\right) e^{i \bar{l} . \bar{x}} d \bar{l} \\
& \text { where }\left\{\bar{b}, \bar{d}, \bar{b}^{\prime} \bar{d}^{\prime}\right\} \subset S\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 in [9], and the argument in [1], we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \square^{2} \bar{E}=\overline{0}, \bar{B}=\overline{0} \\
& \square^{2} \bar{E}_{0}=\overline{0}, \square^{2} \bar{B}_{0}=\overline{0}(*)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} P(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(r)}\left(\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right) \times\left(\bar{B}+\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right) d \bar{S}(r) \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(r)}(\bar{E} \times \bar{B}) d \bar{S}(r)+\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(r)}\left(\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right) \times \bar{B}_{0}\right) d \bar{S}(r) \\
& +\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(r)}\left(\bar{E}_{0} \times \bar{B}\right) d \bar{S}(r) \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S(r)}\left(\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right) \times \bar{B}_{0}\right) d \bar{S}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, by $(*)$, we have that $\square^{2}\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)=\overline{0}$ as well, $(\dagger)$.
Assume that $\bar{E}, \bar{E}_{0}$ and $\bar{B}_{0}$ are simple, then, $\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}$ and $\bar{B}_{0}$ are simple, and we have that;

$$
\nabla^{2}\left(\bar{E}-\bar{E}_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left(\bar{E}-\bar{E}_{0}\right)}{\partial t^{2}}=\overline{0}
$$

so that, applying the three dimensional Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ to the components, and using integration by parts, we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathcal{F}\left(\nabla^{2}\left(\bar{E}-\bar{E}_{0}\right)\right)(\bar{k}, t)\right)-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}-\bar{E}_{0}\right)\right)(\bar{k}, t)}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& =-k^{2} \mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}-\bar{E}_{0}\right)(\bar{k}, t)-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}-\bar{E}_{0}\right)\right)(\bar{k}, t)}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& =-k^{2}(f)(\bar{k}, t)-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{f}(\bar{k}, t)}{\partial t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
=\overline{0}
$$

where $k^{2}=k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}+k_{3}^{2}, \bar{a}=\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}-\bar{E}_{0}\right)$. For fixed $\bar{k}$, we obtain the ordinary differential equation;

$$
\frac{d^{2} \bar{a}_{\bar{k}}}{d t^{2}}=-c^{2} k^{2} \bar{a}_{\bar{k}}
$$

so that;
$\bar{a}_{\bar{k}}(t)=\bar{C}_{0}(\bar{k}) e^{i k c t}+\bar{D}_{0}(\bar{k}) e^{-i k c t}$
with;
$\bar{a}_{\bar{k}}(0)=\bar{C}_{0}(\bar{k})+\bar{D}_{0}(\bar{k})$
$\bar{a}_{\bar{k}}^{\prime}(0)=i k c \bar{C}_{0}(\bar{k})-i k c \bar{D}_{0}(\bar{k})(\dagger \dagger)$
and, solving the simultaneous equations ( $\dagger \dagger$ ), we obtain that;
$\bar{C}_{0}(\bar{k})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{a}_{\bar{k}}(0)+\frac{1}{i k c} \bar{a}_{\bar{k}}^{\prime}(0)\right)$
$\bar{D}_{0}(\bar{k})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{a}_{\bar{k}}(0)-\frac{1}{i k c} \bar{a}_{\bar{k}}^{\prime}(0)\right)$
and;
$\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}-\bar{E}_{0}\right)(\bar{k}, t)=\bar{a}(\bar{k}, t)$
$=\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{a}_{\bar{k}}(0)+\frac{1}{i k c} \bar{a}_{\bar{k}}^{\prime}(0)\right) e^{i k c t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{a}_{\bar{k}}(0)+\frac{1}{i k c} \bar{a}_{\bar{k}}^{\prime}(0)\right) e^{-i k c t}$
$=\bar{b}(\bar{k}) e^{i k c t}+\bar{d}(\bar{k}) e^{-i k c t}$
where;
$\bar{b}(\bar{k})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{k}, 0)}+\left.\frac{1}{i k c} \mathcal{F}\left(\left.\frac{\partial\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)}{\partial t}\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{k}, 0)}\right)$
$\bar{d}(\bar{k})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{k}, 0)}-\left.\frac{1}{i k c} \mathcal{F}\left(\left.\frac{\partial\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)}{\partial t}\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{k}, 0)}\right)$
Similarly;
$\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)(\bar{l}, t)=\bar{a}^{\prime}(\bar{l}, t)=\overline{b^{\prime}}(\bar{l}) e^{i l c t}+\overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l}) e^{-i l c t}$
where;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{b^{\prime}}(\bar{l})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{l}, 0)}+\left.\frac{1}{i l c} \mathcal{F}\left(\left.\frac{\partial\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)}{\partial t}\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{l}, 0)}\right) \\
& \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{l}, 0)}-\left.\frac{1}{i l c} \mathcal{F}\left(\left.\frac{\partial\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)}{\partial t}\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{l}, 0)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $l^{2}=l_{1}^{2}+l_{2}^{2}+l_{3}^{2}$. Using the fact that $\left\{\bar{b}(\bar{k}) e^{i k c t}+\bar{d}(\bar{k}) e^{-i k c t}, \overline{b^{\prime}}(\bar{l}) e^{i l c t}+\right.$ $\left.\overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l}) e^{-i l c t}\right\} \subset S\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right.$ for $t \in \mathcal{R}$, we can apply the inversion theorem, to obtain;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)(\bar{x}, t)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) e^{i k c t}+\bar{d}(\bar{k}) e^{-i k c t}\right) e^{i \bar{k} . \bar{x}} d \bar{k} \\
& \bar{B}_{0}(\bar{x}, t)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\overline{b^{\prime}}(\bar{l}) e^{i l c t}+\overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l}) e^{-i l c t}\right) e^{i \bar{l} \cdot \bar{x}} d \bar{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

As we noted above, $\left\{\bar{b} e^{i k c t}+\bar{d} e^{-i k c t}, \overline{b^{\prime}} e^{i l c t}+\overline{d^{\prime}} e^{-i l c t}\right\} \subset S\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right.$ for $t \in \mathcal{R}$, so that, by the fact that the Fourier transform preserves the Schwartz class, see [14], we must have that $\left\{\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{t},\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)_{t}\right\} \subset S\left(R^{3}\right)$ for $t \in \mathcal{R}$. Then, for $n \geq 3$ and the definition of the Schwartz class;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |P(r, t)|=\left|\int_{S(r)}\left(\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{t} \times\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)_{t}\right) d \bar{S}\right| \\
& \leq \int_{S(r)}\left|\left(\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{t} \times\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)_{t}\right) \cdot \hat{\bar{n}}\right| d S(r) \mid \\
& \leq \int_{S(r)}\left|\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{t}\right|\left|\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)_{t}\right| d S(r) \\
& \leq 4 \pi r^{2} \frac{C_{1, n}}{r^{n}} \frac{D_{1, n}}{r^{n}} \\
& =\frac{4 \pi C_{1, n} D_{1, n}}{r^{2 n-2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so clearly;

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} P(r, t)=0
$$

Definition 0.5. Fix a real propagation vector $\bar{k}_{0}$ and a real vector $\bar{d}_{0}$ with $\bar{k}_{0} \cdot \bar{d}_{0}=0$. Let;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{E}_{0}(\bar{x}, t)=\bar{d}_{0} e^{-i k_{0} c t} e^{i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}} \\
& \bar{B}_{0}(\bar{x}, t)=\bar{d}_{0}^{\prime} e^{-i k_{0} c t} e^{i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

SOME ARGUMENTS FOR THE WAVE EQUATION IN QUANTUM THEORY 5: NO RADIATION OF LIGHt where $\bar{d}_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{c}\left(\bar{k}_{0} \times \bar{d}_{0}\right)$. Then, see $[1]$, the pair $\left(\bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right)$ solves Maxwell's equation in vacuum, and so does $\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{E}_{0}\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right)$. We call $\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{E}_{0}\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right)$ a monochromatic solution.

Lemma 0.6. For a monochromatic solution $\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{E}_{0}\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right)$ to Maxwell's equation in vacuum, we have that $P(r, t)=O(r)$. In particularly, $\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{E}_{0}\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right)$ doesn't satisfy satisfy the no radiation condition unless $\bar{E}_{0}=\bar{d}_{0}$ and $\bar{B}_{0}=0$, or $\bar{E}_{0}=\bar{B}_{0}=\overline{0}$, in which cases $\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{E}_{0}\right), \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right)$ is constant. Any constant real solution $\left(\bar{E}_{1}, \bar{B}_{1}\right)$ satisfies the strong no radiation and no radiation conditions

Proof. We have, for a monochromatic solution, that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{E}_{0}\right)(\bar{x}, t)=\frac{\bar{d}_{0}}{4}\left(e^{i k_{0} c t} e^{i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}}+e^{i k_{0} c t} e^{-i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}}+e^{-i k_{0} c t} e^{i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}}+e^{-i k_{0} c t} e^{-i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}}\right) \\
& \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)(\bar{x}, t)=\frac{\bar{d}_{0}^{\prime}}{4}\left(e^{i k_{0} c t} e^{i \bar{k}_{0} \cdot \bar{x}}+e^{i k_{0} c t} e^{-i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}}+e^{-i k_{0} c t} e^{i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}}+e^{-i k_{0} c t} e^{-i \bar{k}_{0} \cdot \bar{x}}\right) \\
& \text { so that } \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{E}_{0}\right) \times \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(\bar{d}_{0} \times \bar{d}_{0}^{\prime}\right)}{16}\left(e^{2 i k_{0} c t} e^{2 i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}}+e^{2 i k_{0} c t} e^{-2 i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}}+e^{-2 i k_{0} c t} e^{2 i \bar{k}_{0} \cdot \bar{x}}+e^{-2 i k_{0} c t} e^{-2 i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}}\right. \\
& \left.+2 e^{2 i k_{0} c t}+2 e^{-2 i k_{0} c t}+2 e^{2 i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}}+2 e^{-2 i \bar{k}_{0} . \bar{x}}+4\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the divergence theorem, we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(r, t)=\int_{S(\overline{0}, r)}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{E}_{0}\right) \times \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right) d \bar{S}(r) \\
& =\int_{B(\overline{0}, r)} \nabla \cdot\left(\frac { ( \overline { d } _ { 0 } \times \overline { d } _ { 0 } ^ { \prime } ) } { 1 6 } \left(e^{2 i k_{0} c t} e^{2 i \bar{k}_{0} \cdot \bar{x}^{x}}+e^{2 i k_{0} c t} e^{-2 i \bar{k}_{0} \cdot \bar{x}}+e^{-2 i k_{0} c t} e^{2 i \bar{k}_{0} \cdot \bar{x}}+e^{-2 i k_{0} c t} e^{-2 i \bar{k}_{0} \cdot \bar{x}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+2 e^{2 i k_{0} c t}+2 e^{-2 i k_{0} c t}+2 e^{2 i \bar{k}_{0} \cdot \bar{x}}+2 e^{-2 i \bar{k}_{0} \cdot \bar{x}}+4\right)\right) d B(r) \\
& =\int_{B(\overline{0}, r)} \frac{\left(\bar{d}_{0} \times \bar{d}_{0}^{\prime}\right)}{16} \cdot 2 i \bar{k}_{0}\left(e^{2 i \bar{k}_{0} \cdot \bar{x}}\left(e^{2 i k_{0} c t}+e^{-2 i k_{0} c t}+2\right)-e^{-2 i \bar{k}_{0} \cdot \bar{x}}\left(e^{2 i k_{0} c t}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+e^{-2 i k_{0} c t}+2\right)\right) d B(r) \\
& =\frac{\left(\bar{d}_{0} \times \bar{d}_{0}^{\prime}\right)}{16} \cdot 2 i \bar{k}_{0}\left(e^{2 i k_{0} c t}+e^{-2 i k_{0} c t}+2\right)\left(2\left(\frac{2 \pi r}{\left|2 \bar{k}_{0}\right|}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} J_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(r\left|2 \bar{k}_{0}\right|\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(\bar{d}_{0} \times \bar{d}_{0}^{\prime}\right)}{4} \cdot i \bar{k}_{0}\left(e^{2 i k_{0} c t}+e^{-2 i k_{0} c t}+2\right)\left(\left(\frac{\pi r}{\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|} \frac{3}{2} J_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(2 r\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\frac{\left(\bar{d}_{0} \times \bar{d}_{0}^{\prime}\right)}{4} \cdot i \bar{k}_{0}\left(e^{2 i k_{0} c t}+e^{-2 i k_{0} c t}+2\right)\left(\frac{\pi r}{\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\pi r\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(P_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2 r\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|}\right) \sin \left(2 r\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-Q_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2 r\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|}\right) \cos \left(2 r\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(\bar{d}_{0} \times \bar{a}_{0}^{\prime}\right)}{4} \cdot i \bar{k}_{0}\left(e^{2 i k_{0} c t}+e^{-2 i k_{0} c t}+2\right)\left(\frac{\pi r}{\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac { 1 } { \pi r | \overline { k } _ { 0 } | } \frac { \frac { 1 } { 2 } } { 2 } \left(\left(\frac{P_{1,1}}{2 r\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|}\right) \sin \left(2 r\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-Q_{0,0} \cos \left(2 r\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(\bar{d}_{0} \times \bar{a}_{0}^{\prime}\right)}{4} \cdot i \bar{k}_{0}\left(e^{2 i k_{0} c t}+e^{-2 i k_{0} c t}+2\right)\left(\frac{\pi}{\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\pi\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{P_{1,1}}{2\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|}\right) \sin \left(2 r\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|\right)\right. \\
& \left.-Q_{0,0} r \cos \left(2 r\left|\bar{k}_{0}\right|\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $P(r, t)=O(r)$ unless $\bar{d}_{0} \times \bar{d}_{0}^{\prime} \cdot \bar{k}_{0}=0$, in which case either $\bar{k}_{0}=\overline{0}$ or $\bar{d}_{0}=\overline{0}$. In the first case, we obtain that $\bar{E}_{0}=\bar{d}_{0}$ and $\bar{B}_{0}=\overline{0}$, in the second case, we obtain that $\bar{E}_{0}=\bar{B}_{0}=\overline{0}$. The last claim is clear by the divergence theorem and the fact that $\nabla \cdot\left(\bar{E}_{1} \times \bar{B}_{1}\right)=0$.

Lemma 0.7. For any $\{\rho, \bar{J}\}$ satisfying the conditions from Lemma 4. 1 in $[9]$, if $(\bar{E}, \overline{0})$ denotes a fundamental solution, then a solution $\{\bar{E}+$ $\left.\bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right\}$, with $\left(\rho, \bar{J}, \bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right)$ satisfying Maxwell's equations such that $\left\{\bar{E}, \bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right\}$ are simple and $\left\{\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{0},\left.\frac{\partial\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)}{\partial t}\right|_{0},\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)_{0},\left.\frac{\partial \bar{B}_{0}}{\partial t}\right|_{0}\right\} \subset$ $S\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right)$, satisfies the strong no-radiation condition, using the integral representation in Lemma 0.4, when;

$$
\bar{a}(\bar{k}, t) \times \overline{a^{\prime}}(\bar{l}, t)=\overline{0}(\dagger)
$$

or when $\bar{B}_{0}$ is parallel to $\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}$. In either of these cases, the no radiation condition holds as well.

If $\left\{\bar{E}, \bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right\}$ are simple, then $\left\{\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right\}$ satisfies the no-radiation condition when...?

Proof. Using the result of Lemma 0.4, we can use the integral representations of $\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}$ and $\bar{B}_{0}$ to compute;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right) \times \bar{B}_{0}\right)(\bar{x}, t) \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}}\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{b^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) e^{i(\bar{k}+\bar{l}) \cdot \bar{x}} e^{i(k+l) c t} d \bar{k} d \bar{l} \\
& +\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}}\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) e^{i(\bar{k}+\bar{l}) \cdot \bar{x}} e^{i(k-l) c t} d \bar{k} d \bar{l} \\
& +\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}}\left(\bar{d}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{b^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) e^{i(\bar{k}+\bar{l}) \cdot \bar{x}} e^{i(l-k) c t} d \bar{k} d \bar{l}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
+\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}}\left(\bar{d}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) e^{i(\bar{k}+\bar{l}) \cdot \bar{x}} e^{-i(k+l) c t} d \bar{k} d \bar{l},(\dagger \dagger)
$$

Clearly, if $(\dagger)$ is satisfied, then we obtain that $\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right) \times \bar{B}_{0}=\overline{0}$, so that $\nabla \cdot\left(\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right) \times \bar{B}_{0}\right)=0$, and using the divergence theorem, $P(r, t)=0$ for all $r>0$ and $t \in \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}$, and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} P(r, t)=0$, for all $t \in \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}$, so that the strong no radiation and no radiation conditions hold. Similarly, if $\bar{B}_{0}$ is parallel to $\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}$, then $\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right) \times \bar{B}_{0}=\overline{0}$, so that $\left(\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right), \bar{B}_{0}\right)$ satisfies the strong no radiation and the no radiation conditions again.

If $\left\{\bar{E}, \bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right\}$ are simple, then, we have that;

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{3}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)\right)(\bar{k}, t)=\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}+k_{3}^{2}\right)^{2} \mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)(\bar{k}, t)
$$

so that, for $|\bar{k}| \geq 1, \leq i \leq 3$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{i}(\bar{k}, t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{|\bar{k}|^{4}} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left|\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{3}^{2}}\right)\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{i}\right| d \bar{x} \\
& \leq \frac{C_{i, t}}{|\bar{k}|^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, similarly;

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)_{i}(\bar{k}, t)\right| \leq \frac{D_{i, t}}{|\overline{\mid k}|^{4}}
$$

where $\left\{C_{i, t}, D_{i, t}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}$
Similarly;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)(\bar{k}, t)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{i}(\bar{k}, t)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{C_{t}}{|\bar{k}|^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} C_{i, t}$
and $\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)(\bar{k}, t)\right|$
$\leq \frac{D_{t}}{|\bar{k}|^{4}}(\sharp)$

Clearly, we have that $\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)(\bar{k}, t)$ and $\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)(\bar{k}, t)$ are differentiable and therefore bounded on $B(\overline{0}, 1)$, so that, using polar coordinates, with $k_{1}=R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), k_{2}=R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), k_{3}=R \cos (\theta)$ ;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{i, t} d \bar{k}\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{B(\overline{0}, 1)} \mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{i, t} d \bar{k}+\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3} \backslash B(\overline{0}, 1)} \mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{i, t} d \bar{k}\right| \\
& \leq C_{i, t, 1}+\mid \int_{R>1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{i, t}(R, \theta, \phi) R^{2} \sin (\theta) d R d \theta d \phi \\
& \leq C_{i, t, 1}+\int_{R>1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} R^{2} \frac{C_{i, t}}{R^{4}} d R \\
& \leq C_{i, t, 1}+2 \pi^{2} C_{i, t} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R^{2}} d R \\
& =C_{i, t, 1}+2 \pi^{2} C_{i, t}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, for $1 \leq i \leq 3, \mathcal{F}\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)_{i, t} \in L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right)$, and, similarly, $\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)_{i, t} \in L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right)$. Following the proof of Lemma 0.4 , we can still use the inversion theorem integral and the integral representations for $\left(\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right), \bar{B}_{0}\right)$, and the computation ( $\left.\dagger \dagger\right)$ holds again. We have, using polar coordinates, that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{B(\overline{0}, 1)} \frac{1}{i k c} \mathcal{F}\left(\left.\frac{\partial \bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0, i}}{\partial t}\right|_{\bar{x}, 0}\right)(\bar{k}) d \bar{k}\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\frac{\partial \bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0, i}}{\partial t}\right|_{\bar{x}, 0}\right)(R, \theta, \phi)\right| \frac{1}{R} R^{2} d R d \theta \mathrm{p} h i \\
& =\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{2}=\pi^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that the components, $\frac{1}{i k c} \mathcal{F}\left(\left.\frac{\partial \bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0, i}}{\partial t}\right|_{\bar{x}, 0}\right)(\bar{k})$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, are integrable on $B(\overline{0}, 1)$, and, therefore, so are the components of $\left\{\bar{b}, \bar{b}^{\prime}, \bar{d}, \bar{d}^{\prime}\right\}$.

Applying the result $(\sharp)$, we obtain that, for $\bar{k} \mid>1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\bar{b}(\bar{k})+\bar{d}(\bar{k})| \leq \frac{C_{0}}{|\bar{k}|^{4}} \\
& \left|e^{i k c t} \bar{b}(\bar{k})+e^{i k c t} \bar{d}(\bar{k})\right| \leq \frac{C_{0}}{|\bar{k}|^{4}} \\
& \left|e^{i k c t} \bar{b}(\bar{k})+e^{-i k c t} \bar{d}(\bar{k})\right| \leq \frac{C_{t}}{|\bar{k}|^{4}} \\
& \left|\left(e^{i k c t}-e^{-i k c t}\right) \bar{d}(\bar{k})\right|
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2|\sin (k c t) \bar{d}(\bar{k})| \\
& \leq \frac{C_{0}+C_{t}}{|k|^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that at time $t=\frac{\pi}{2 k c}$, we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\bar{d}(\bar{k})| \leq \frac{C_{0}+C_{\frac{\pi}{2}}}{|k|^{4}} \\
& \leq \frac{C_{0}+E}{|k|^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ is the uniform bound for $t \in[0,1]$, and, similarly, for $|\bar{k}|>1$;

$$
\max \left(|\bar{b}|,\left|\bar{b}^{\prime}\right|,|\bar{d}|,\left|\bar{d}^{\prime}\right|\right)(\bar{k}) \leq \frac{F}{|k|^{4}}
$$

for some $F \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$. In particularly, we have that the components $\left\{\bar{b}, \bar{b}^{\prime}, \bar{d}, \bar{d}^{\prime}\right\}$ belong to $L^{1}\left(\bar{R}^{3}\right)$ and we can apply the calculation in ( $\left.\dagger \dagger\right)$.

By the divergence theorem, we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S(\overline{0}, r)}\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) e^{i(\bar{k}+\bar{l}) \cdot \bar{x}} e^{i(k-l) c t} d \bar{S}(r) \\
& =\int_{B(\overline{0}, r)} \nabla \cdot\left(\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) e^{i(\bar{k}+\bar{l}) \cdot \bar{x}} e^{i(k-l) c t}\right) d B(r) \\
& =\int_{B(\overline{0}, r)}\left(\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot i(\bar{k}+\bar{l})\right) e^{i(\bar{k}+\bar{l}) \cdot \bar{x}} e^{i(k-l) c t} d B(r) \\
& =\left(\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot i(\bar{k}+\bar{l})\right)\left(\frac{2 \pi r}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} J_{\frac{3}{2}}(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|) e^{i(k-l) c t} \\
& =\left(\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot i(\bar{k}+\bar{l})\right)\left(\frac{2 \pi r}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{\pi(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(P_{1}\left(\frac{1}{r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|}\right) \sin (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)\right. \\
& \left.-Q_{0}\left(\frac{1}{r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|}\right) \cos (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)\right) e^{i(k-l) c t} \\
& =\left(\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot i(\bar{k}+\bar{l})\right)\left(\frac{2 \pi r}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{\pi(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{P_{1,1}}{r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|} \sin (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|) \\
& \left.-Q_{0,0} \cos (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)\right) e^{i(k-l) c t} \\
& =\left(\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot i(\bar{k}+\bar{l})\right)\left(\frac{2 \pi}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{\pi(|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)}\right) \frac{1}{2} \frac{P_{1,1}}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|} \sin (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|) e^{i(k-l) c t} \\
& \left.-\left(\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot i(\bar{k}+\bar{l})\right)\left(\frac{2 \pi}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|}\right) \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{2}{\pi(|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_{0,0} r \cos (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)\right) e^{i(k-l) c t}(*)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (*), we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} P(r)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}}\left(\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot i(\bar{k}+\bar{l})\right)\left(\frac{2 \pi}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{\pi(|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{P_{1,1}}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|} \\
& \sin (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|) e^{i(k-l) c t} d \bar{k} d \bar{l} \\
& -\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}}\left(\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot i(\bar{k}+\bar{l})\right)\left(\frac{2 \pi}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{\pi(|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_{0,0} \\
& r \cos (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)) e^{i(k-l) c t} d \bar{k} d \bar{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left.g(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}}\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot i(\bar{k}+\bar{l})\right)\left(\frac{2 \pi}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{\pi(|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{P_{1,1}}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|} e^{i(k-l) c t}$ and $h(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)=-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}}\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \overline{d^{\prime}}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot i(\bar{k}+\bar{l})\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\mid \bar{k}+\bar{l}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{\pi(|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_{0,0} e^{i(k-l) c t}$ $(* * *)$

Then $\{g, h\} \subset S\left(\mathcal{R}^{3} \times \mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ and, we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} P(r, t) \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} g(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) d \bar{k} \sin (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|) d \bar{l} \\
& +\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} h(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) d \bar{k} \cos (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|) d \bar{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

From $(* * *)$, we have that;
$g(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)=\frac{i P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot \frac{\bar{u}(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}{\mid \bar{k}+\overline{l^{2}}} e^{i(k-l) c t}$
where $\bar{u}(\bar{k}, \bar{l})$ is a unit vector, so that, using Fubini's Theorem, and a change of variables $\bar{k}^{\prime}=\bar{k}+\bar{l}$, we have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}}\left(g(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) e^{i(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)} d \bar{k} d \bar{l}\right. \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} \frac{i P_{1,1}\left(\bar{b}\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot \frac{\bar{u}(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}{2 \bar{k}+\left.\bar{l}\right|^{2}} e^{i(k-l) c t} e^{i(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)} d \bar{k} d \bar{l}\right.}{=\int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} \frac{\bar{\phi}(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|^{2}} e^{i(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)} d \bar{k} d \bar{l}} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\phi(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|^{2}} e^{i(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)} d \bar{k}\right) d \bar{l} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\phi\left(\bar{k}^{\prime}-\bar{l} \bar{l}, t\right)}{|\bar{k}|^{2}} e^{i\left(r| |_{k}^{\prime} \mid\right)} d \bar{k}^{\prime}\right) d \bar{l} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\phi(\bar{k}-\bar{l}, \bar{l}, t)}{|\bar{k}|^{2}} e^{i(r|\bar{k}|)} d \bar{k}\right) d \bar{l}
\end{aligned}
$$
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It follows, switching to polars coordinates;

$$
k_{1}=R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), k_{2}=R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), k_{3}=R \cos (\theta)
$$

that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}}\left(g(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) e^{i(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)} d \bar{k} d \bar{l} d \bar{k}\right. \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \frac{q(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})}{R^{2}} e^{i r R} R^{2} \sin (\theta) d R d \theta\right) d \bar{l} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} q(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l}) e^{i r R} \sin (\theta) d R d \theta\right) d \bar{l}(2)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})=\phi(\bar{k}-\bar{l}, \bar{l}, t)$.
From $(* * *)$ again, we have that;

$$
h(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)=\frac{-i Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot \frac{\bar{u}(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|} e^{i(k-l) c t}
$$

where $\bar{u}(\bar{k}, \bar{l})$ is a unit vector, so that, using Fubini's Theorem, and a change of variables $\bar{k}^{\prime}=\bar{k}+\bar{l}$, we have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}}\left(h(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) e^{i(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)} d \bar{k} d \bar{l}\right. \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} \frac{-i Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot \frac{\bar{u}(\bar{k}, \bar{l})}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|} e^{i(k-l) c t} e^{i(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)} d \bar{k} d \bar{l} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} \frac{\bar{\theta}(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|} e^{i(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)} d \bar{k} d \bar{l} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\theta(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|} e^{i(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)} d \bar{k}\right) d \bar{l} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\theta\left(\bar{k}^{\prime}-\bar{l}, \bar{l}, t\right)}{\left|\bar{k}^{\prime}\right|} e^{i\left(r\left|\bar{k}^{\prime}\right|\right)} d \bar{k}^{\prime}\right) d \bar{l} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\theta(\bar{k}-\bar{l}, \bar{l}, t)}{|\bar{k}|} e^{i(r|\bar{k}|)} d \bar{k}\right) d \bar{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)=\frac{-i Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(\bar{b}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot \bar{u}(\bar{k}, \bar{l}) e^{i(k-l) c t}$
It follows, switching to polars coordinates;
$k_{1}=R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), k_{2}=R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), k_{3}=R \cos (\theta)$
that;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}}\left(h(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) e^{i(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)} d \bar{k} d \bar{l} d \bar{k}\right. \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \frac{p(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})}{R} e^{i r R} R^{2} \sin (\theta) d R d \theta\right) d \bar{l} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} p(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l}) e^{i r R} R \sin (\theta) d R d \theta\right) d \bar{l} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})=\theta(\bar{k}-\bar{l}, \bar{l}, t)$.
Write $\bar{b}(\bar{k})=\bar{b}_{1}(\bar{k})+i \bar{b}_{2}(\bar{k}), \bar{d}^{\prime}(\bar{l})=\bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+i \bar{d}_{2}^{\prime}(\bar{l})$
where;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{b}_{1}(\bar{k})=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{k}, 0)}\right)+\frac{1}{2 k c} \operatorname{Im}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\frac{\partial\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)}{\partial t}\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{k}, 0)}\right) \\
& \bar{b}_{2}(\bar{k})=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{k}, 0)}\right)-\frac{1}{2 k c} \operatorname{Re}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\frac{\partial\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)}{\partial t}\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{k}, 0)}\right) \\
& \bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\overline{( }, 0)}\right)-\frac{1}{2 l c} \operatorname{Im}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\frac{\partial\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)}{\partial t}\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\overline{( }, 0)}\right) \\
& \bar{d}_{2}^{\prime}(\bar{l})=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{l}, 0)}\right)+\frac{1}{2 l c} \operatorname{Re}\left(\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\frac{\partial\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)}{\partial t}\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{l}, 0)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that;
$q(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{i P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})-\bar{b}_{2, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{2}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right)\right. \\
& \left.. \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) \\
& -\frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{2, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{2}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right)\right. \\
& \left.. \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

and, similarly;
$p(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})$
$=\frac{-i Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})-\bar{b}_{2, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{2}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right)\right.$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.. \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) \\
& +\frac{Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{2, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{2}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right)\right. \\
& \left.. \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})=\bar{b}_{1}(\bar{k}-\bar{l}), \bar{b}_{2, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})=\bar{b}_{2}(\bar{k}-\bar{l}), \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(\bar{k}, \bar{l})=\bar{u}(\bar{k}-\bar{l}, \bar{l})$, $\mu(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)=e^{i(|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|-|\bar{l}|) c t}$
and, from (1), (2), we have that;
$\int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} g(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) e^{i(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)} d \bar{k} d \bar{l}$
$=\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \frac{i P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})-\bar{b}_{2, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)\right.\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\times \bar{d}_{2}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)-\frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{2, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\left.\times \bar{d}_{2}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} \sin (\theta) d R d \theta\right) d \bar{l}$
and, from (4), (3);
$\int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} h(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) e^{i(r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|)} d \bar{k} d \bar{l}$
$=\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \frac{-i Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})-\bar{b}_{2, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)\right.\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\times \bar{d}_{2}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)+\frac{Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{2, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\left.\times \bar{d}_{2}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} R \sin (\theta) d R d \theta d \phi\right) d \bar{l}$
Write $\bar{b}_{1}(\bar{k})=\bar{b}_{11}(\bar{k})+\frac{\bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k})}{k}, \bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})=\bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}$
Then;
$\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})=\bar{b}_{1}(\bar{k}-\bar{l})=\bar{b}_{11}(\bar{k}-\bar{l})+\frac{\bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k}-\bar{l})}{|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}$
and;
$\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)=\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)+\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}$
where $\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})=\bar{b}_{11}(\bar{k}-\bar{l})$ and $\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})=\bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k}-\bar{l})$

Then, we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \frac{i P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} \sin (\theta) d R d \theta d \phi\right) \dot{d} \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int _ { \mathcal { R } > 0 } \int _ { 0 \leq \theta < \pi } \int _ { 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi } \frac { i P _ { 1 , 1 } } { 2 \pi ^ { 2 } } \left[\left(\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)+\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{\|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l} \mid}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\times\left(\bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right)\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} \sin (\theta) d R d \theta d \phi\right) d \bar{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, we have that;
$\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \frac{-i Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{1, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \times \bar{d}_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} R \sin (\theta)\right.$
$d R d \theta d \phi) d \bar{l}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int _ { \mathcal { R } > 0 } \int _ { 0 \leq \theta < \pi } \int _ { 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi } \frac { - i Q _ { 0 , 0 } } { 2 \pi ^ { 2 } } \left[\left(\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)+\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\times\left(\bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right)\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} R \sin (\theta) d R d \theta d \phi\right) d \bar{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

From $(\sharp)$, we have that the real and imaginary components of;

$$
\left\{\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\left(\bar{B}_{0}\right)\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{l}, 0)},\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{l}, 0)},\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\left.\left(\left.\frac{\partial \bar{B}_{0}}{\partial t}\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{l}, 0)},\left.\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{\partial\left(\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}\right)}{\partial t}\right)\right|_{(\bar{x}, 0)}\right)\right|_{(\bar{l}, 0)}\right\}
$$

decay faster than $\frac{1}{\mid l l^{4}}$ (need $\frac{1}{|l|^{6}}$ ?). It follows that the components of;

$$
\left\{\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l}), \frac{\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}, \frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}, \frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{i}}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{|\bar{k}-\bar{l}| l}\right\}
$$

decay faster than $\frac{1}{\left|\bar{k} \overline{4}^{4} \bar{l}\right|^{4}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}$, and, as $\bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(\bar{k}, \bar{l})$ is a unit vector, $|\nu(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)|=$
$1,|\sin (\theta(\bar{k}))| \leq 1$, so do the components of;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\left[\left(\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right) \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(\bar{k}, \bar{l})\right] \nu(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) \sin (\theta(\bar{k})),\left[\left(\frac{\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right) \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(\bar{k}, \bar{l})\right)\right] \nu(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) \sin (\theta(\bar{k})), \\
& \left.\left[\left(\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}\right) \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(\bar{k}, \bar{l})\right] \nu(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) \sin (\theta(\bar{k})),\left[\left(\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k}) \times \bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{|\bar{k}-\bar{l}| l}\right) \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(\bar{k}, \bar{l})\right] \nu(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) \sin (\theta(\bar{k}))\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that, for $C \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}, D \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ and fixed $\bar{l} \in \mathcal{R}^{3}, \bar{l} \neq \overline{0}$, without loss of generality, assuming that $D<|\bar{l}|$ ?;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{|\bar{k}|>D} \frac{C}{\left.|\bar{k}|^{4}| | \bar{l}\right|^{4}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}\right| d \bar{k} \\
& =\left|\int_{D<|\bar{k}|<|\bar{l}|+1} \frac{C}{\left.|\bar{k}|^{4}| | \bar{l}\right|^{4}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}\right| d \bar{k}+\int_{D>|\bar{l}|+1} \frac{C}{|\bar{k}|^{4}|\overline{\bar{l}}|^{4}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}|d \bar{k}|
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq\left|\int_{D<|\bar{k}|<|\bar{l}|+1} \frac{C}{\left.|\bar{k}|^{4}| | \bar{l}\right|^{4}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|} d \bar{k}\right|+\left|\int_{|\bar{k}|>|\bar{l}|+1>D} \frac{C}{\left.|\bar{k}|^{4}| | \bar{l}\right|^{4}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|} d \bar{k}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{C}{D^{4}|\bar{l}|^{4}} \int_{A n n(D,|\bar{l}|+1)} \frac{1}{|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|} d \bar{k}+\frac{1}{|\bar{l}|^{4}} \int_{|\bar{k}|>|\bar{l}|+1} \frac{C}{|\bar{k}|^{4}} d \bar{k} \\
& =\frac{C}{D^{4}|\bar{l}|^{4}} \int_{A n n_{\bar{l}}(D,|\bar{l}|+1)} \frac{1}{|\bar{k}|} d \bar{k}+\frac{1}{|\bar{l}|^{4}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{|\bar{l}|+1}^{\infty} \frac{C R^{2} \sin (\theta)}{R^{4}} d R d \theta d \theta d \phi \\
& \leq \frac{C}{D^{4}|\bar{l}|^{4}} \int_{B(\overline{0}, 2|\bar{l}|+2 D+1)} \frac{1}{|\bar{k}|} d \bar{k}+\frac{1}{|\bar{l}|^{4}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{|\bar{l}|+1}^{\infty} \frac{C}{R^{2}} d R d \theta d \theta d \phi \\
& \leq \frac{2 \pi^{2} C}{D^{4}|\bar{l}|^{4}} \int_{0}^{2|\bar{l}|+2 D+1} \frac{R^{2}}{R} d R+\frac{2 \pi^{2} C}{(|\bar{l}|+1)|\bar{l}|^{4}} \\
& \leq \frac{\pi^{2} C(2|\bar{l}|+2 D+1)^{2}}{D^{4}|\bar{l}|^{4}}+\frac{2 \pi^{2} C}{D|\bar{l}|^{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows, that for fixed $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, we can choose $D_{r}, E_{r}$ such that, for fixed $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|\bar{k}|>D_{r}} \int_{\mid \bar{l}>E_{r}}|\alpha(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)| d \bar{k} d \bar{l} \\
& \leq \int_{|\bar{l}|>E_{r}} \frac{1}{|\bar{l}|^{4} r^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(see note above for faster decay)

$$
\leq \frac{2 \pi^{2}}{E_{r} r^{2}}
$$

where;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)=\alpha(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)=\frac{i P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)+\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right) \times\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(\bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right)\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) \sin (\theta) \\
& \quad \beta(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)=\beta(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)=\frac{-i Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)+\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right) \times\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(\bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right)\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) \sin (\theta) \\
& \quad \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int _ { \mathcal { R } > 0 } \int _ { 0 \leq \theta < \pi } \int _ { 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi } \frac { - i Q _ { 0 , 0 } } { 2 \pi ^ { 2 } } \left[\left(\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)+\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\quad \times\left(\bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right)\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} R \sin (\theta) d R d \theta d \phi\right) d \bar{l} \\
& \left.\quad=\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \beta(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} R d \theta d \phi\right) d \bar{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Splits as four terms, the worst of which is;

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int _ { \mathcal { R } > 0 } \int _ { 0 \leq \theta < \pi } \int _ { 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi } \frac { - i Q _ { 0 , 0 } } { 2 \pi ^ { 2 } } \left[\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right.\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\left.\times \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} \sin (\theta) R d R d \theta d \phi\right) d \bar{l} \\
& \left.=\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} R d R d \theta d \phi\right) d \bar{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, fix $\bar{l} \neq \overline{0}$, with $\theta \neq \cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{3}}{l}\right)=\theta_{0, \bar{l}}$ and $\phi \neq \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{2}}{l_{1}}\right)=\phi_{0, \bar{l}}$. By the result of Lemma 0.18 (change to $\beta_{4}$ factor), we can assume that the real and imaginary parts of $\frac{\partial R \beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)}{\partial R}$ are oscillatory, then as $\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} R \beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)=0$ and $\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial R \beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)}{\partial R}=M \in \mathcal{R}$, we can apply the result of Lemma 0.13, and assume that;

$$
\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} R d R\right| \leq \frac{4 \sqrt{2}\left\|\frac{\partial R \beta_{4}}{\partial R}\right\|_{\infty}+D_{\bar{l}}}{r^{2}} \text { (remove } \sqrt{2} \text { and in- }
$$ clude spacing $\delta_{\bar{l}}$ )

for sufficiently large $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, where;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\partial R \beta_{4}}{\partial R}\right\|_{\infty}=\left\|\beta_{4}+R \frac{\partial \beta_{4}}{\partial R}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq\left\|\beta_{4}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|R \frac{\partial \beta_{4}}{\partial R}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& =\left|\frac{-i Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|} \times \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}) \sin (\theta)\right| \\
& +\left|\frac{-i Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial R}\left(\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right) \times \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}) \sin (\theta)\right| \\
& +\left|\frac{-i Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|} \times \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right] \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial R}\left(\bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right) \sin (\theta)\right| \\
& \left.\leq \frac{Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right| \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\, \\
& +\frac{Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial R}\left(\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right)\right|\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \\
& +\frac{Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}^{\prime}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right| \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \|\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial R}\left(\frac{\bar{k}}{\bar{k} \mid}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right|\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \\
& +\frac{Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial R}\left(\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right)\right|\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and $D_{\bar{l}}$ is the sum of the decay rates for the real and imaginary components of $\frac{\partial R \beta_{4}}{\partial R}$. Fix $\kappa>0$, then, as, for fixed $\bar{l} \neq \overline{0}, R \beta_{4}(\bar{k}, \bar{l}) \in$ $L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right)$, we can choose $\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}<\theta_{0, \bar{l}}<\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}<\phi_{0, \bar{l}}<\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}$, such
that;

$$
\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} \int_{\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \phi \leq \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} R \beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right| \leq \frac{\kappa}{(l+1)^{4}}
$$

Then;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} R \beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right| \\
& \leq \mid \int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{\left([0, \pi) \times[0,2 \pi) \backslash\left[\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}\right] \times\left[\phi_{\left.\left.0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}\right]\right)} R \beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi|.| c h e r n\right.\right.} \\
& +\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} \int_{\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \phi \leq \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} R \beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{V_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}} R \beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right|+\frac{\kappa}{(l+1)^{4}} \\
& \leq \int_{V_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}}\left(\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} R \beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R\right|\right) d \theta d \phi+\frac{\kappa}{(l+1)^{4}} \\
& \leq 2 \pi^{2} \frac{4 \sqrt{2}\left|\frac{\partial R \beta_{4}}{\partial R}\right|_{V_{l, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}} \|_{\infty}+D_{\bar{l}}}{r^{2}}+\frac{\kappa}{(l+1)^{4}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 \pi^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(\left.\frac{2 \sqrt{2} Q_{0,0}}{\pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right| \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\,\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2 \sqrt{2} Q_{0,0}}{\pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial R}\left(\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right)\right|\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|+D_{\bar{l}}\right)+\frac{\kappa}{(l+1)^{4}} \\
& =\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(\left.\frac{2 \sqrt{2} Q_{0,0}}{\pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right| \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\,\right. \\
& +\left.\frac{2 \sqrt{2} Q_{0,0}}{\pi^{2}}\right|_{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial}\left(\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)\right)}{} \\
& \left.+\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)<(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}, \frac{\partial}{\partial R}((R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l})>}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|^{3}}| | \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\,+ \\
& \left.D_{\bar{l}}\right)+\frac{\kappa}{(l+1)^{4}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 \pi^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(\left.\frac{2 \sqrt{2} Q_{0,0}}{\pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right| \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\,\right. \\
& +\frac{2 \sqrt{2} Q_{0,0}}{\pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial R}\left(\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)\right)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right|\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \\
& \left.+\frac{2 \sqrt{2} Q_{0,0}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{\left.\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)\right| \mid((\sin (\theta) \cos (\phi)) \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), \cos (\theta))\right) \mid}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|+D_{\bar{l}}\right)+\frac{\kappa}{(l+1)^{4}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 \pi^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{2 \sqrt{2} Q_{0,0}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{s}}(R, \theta, \phi)\right|}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2 \sqrt{2} Q_{0,0}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial R}\left(\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)\right)\right|}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|} \frac{\mid \bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left.+\frac{2 \sqrt{6} Q_{0,0}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \overline{\bar{l}}}(R, \theta, \phi)\right|}{|(\sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|+D_{\bar{l}}\right)+\frac{\kappa}{(l+1)^{4}}(F)$
where;
$V_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}=\left([0, \pi) \times[0,2 \pi) \backslash\left[\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}\right] \times\left[\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}\right]\right)$

Using the fact that $R \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)\right|}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\left|\left.\right|_{\left.\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}\right] \times\left[\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}\right] \times \mathcal{R}>0}\right.$ is integrable, need to split $\int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} \int_{\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} \int_{\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \phi \leq \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} R\left|\beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)\right| d R d \theta d \phi$ into $\int_{|R|>r} \int_{\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} \int_{\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \phi \leq \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} R\left|\beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)\right| d R d \theta d \phi(A)$ and $\int_{|R|<r} \int_{\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} \int_{\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \phi \leq \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} R\left|\beta_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)\right| d R d \theta d \phi(B)$
Can control $(A)$ as $\frac{1}{r^{2}(l+1)^{4}}$ due to decay, vary $(B)$ as $\frac{1}{r^{\frac{5}{4}(1+l)^{4}}}$, similarly to below, then angles $\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}-\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}$ and $\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}-\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}$ can vary as $\left(\frac{1}{r^{\frac{5}{4}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}=\frac{1}{r^{\frac{5}{12}}}$. Then last and worst term in $(F)$ varies as $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{r^{\frac{5}{12}}}}=r^{\frac{5}{6}}$.

Integrating and looking at all components, for sufficiently large $r \in$ $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$. Follows that,

$$
\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} h(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r \mid \bar{k}+\bar{l}} d \bar{k} d \bar{l}\right| \leq \frac{F r^{\frac{5}{6}}}{r^{2}}+\frac{H}{r^{\frac{5}{4}}}+\frac{J}{r^{2}}
$$

where $\{F, H, J\} \subset \mathcal{R}$. Follows that?(split again $\operatorname{Re}(h), \operatorname{Im}(h))$

$$
\left\lvert\, \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} h(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) \cos (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|) d \bar{k} d \bar{l} \leq \frac{F^{\prime} r^{\frac{5}{6}}}{r^{2}}+\frac{H^{\prime}}{r^{\frac{5}{4}}}+\frac{J^{\prime}}{r^{2}}\right.
$$

for sufficiently large $r^{\prime}>r$, invoking uniform version of Lemma 0.12 again. In particular;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} h(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) \cos (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|) d \bar{k} d \bar{l}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F^{\prime} r^{5}}{r}+ \\
& \frac{H^{\prime}}{r^{\frac{1}{4}}}+\frac{J^{\prime}}{r}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

so no radiation condition holds.

## Similarly;
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int _ { \mathcal { R } > 0 } \int _ { 0 \leq \theta < \pi } \int _ { 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi } \frac { i P _ { 1 , 1 } } { 2 \pi ^ { 2 } } \left[\left(\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)+\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\times\left(\bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right)\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} \sin (\theta) d R d \theta d \phi\right) d \bar{l} \\
& \left.=\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \alpha(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right) d \bar{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Splits as four terms, the worst of which is;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\int _ { \mathcal { R } > 0 } \int _ { 0 \leq \theta < \pi } \int _ { 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi } \frac { i P _ { 1 , 1 } } { 2 \pi ^ { 2 } } \left[\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\times \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} \sin (\theta) d R d \theta d \phi\right) d \bar{l} \\
& \left.=\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right) d \bar{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, fix $\bar{l} \neq \overline{0}$, with $\theta \neq \cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{3}}{l}\right)=\theta_{0, \bar{l}}$ and $\phi \neq \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{2}}{l_{1}}\right)=\phi_{0, \bar{l}}$. By the result of Lemma 0.18, we can assume that the real and imaginary parts of $\alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)$ are oscillatory, then as $\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)=$ $M \in \mathcal{R}$, we can apply the result of Lemmas $0.15,0.17$ and 0.8 , and assume that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{4}\right)(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R\right|+\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{4}\right)(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2}{r}\left(\frac{n_{\overline{\bar{l}}, \theta, \phi, R e}\left\|R e\left(\alpha_{4}\right)\right\|_{\infty}}{\xi_{R e}}+\frac{D_{\bar{l}, \theta, \phi, R e}}{n_{\bar{l}, \theta, \phi} \xi_{R e}}\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{r}\left(\frac{n_{\bar{l}, \theta, \phi, I m}\left\|I m\left(\alpha_{4}\right)\right\|_{\infty}}{\xi_{I m}}+\frac{D_{\bar{l}, \theta, \phi,, I m}}{n_{\bar{l}, \theta, \phi} \xi_{I m}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, for $l>1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2}{r}\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{3} l\left\|R e\left(\alpha_{4}\right)\right\| \|_{\infty}}{\xi_{R e}}+\frac{C 2^{\frac{5}{2}} \left\lvert\, \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime} l}{l} l\right.}{4 \sqrt{3} \mid \xi_{R e}}\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{r}\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{3} l| | I m\left(\alpha_{4}\right) \|_{\infty}}{\xi_{I m}}+\frac{C 2^{\frac{5}{2}} \left\lvert\, \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{}\right.}{4 \sqrt{3} l \xi_{I m}^{l}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{r \xi}\left(4 \sqrt{3} l\left(\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{4}\right)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{4}\right)\right\|_{\infty}\right)+\frac{C 2^{\frac{7}{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|}{4 \sqrt{3} l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{2}{r \xi}\left(4 \sqrt{6} l| | \alpha_{4} \|_{\infty}+\frac{C 2^{\frac{7}{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|}{4 \sqrt{3} l}\right)
$$

and, similarly, for $0<l \leq 1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2}{r \xi}\left(4 \sqrt{6}| | \alpha_{4} \|_{\infty}+\frac{C 2^{\frac{7}{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|}{4 \sqrt{3}}\right)(D)
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently large $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, where $\xi_{R e}>0, \xi_{I m}>0$ are constants independent of $\bar{l}, \theta, \phi, \xi=\min \left(\xi_{R e}, \xi_{I m}\right)>0,\left\{D_{\bar{l}, \theta, \phi, R e}, D_{\bar{l}, \theta, \phi, I m}\right\}$ are the decay rates for the real and imaginary components of $\alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)$. We have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\alpha_{4}\right\|_{\infty}=\left|\frac{i P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|} \times \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}) \sin (\theta)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \sin (\theta)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right|\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})}{k^{2}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}\right|\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where;

$$
\frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})}{k^{2}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}=\frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \sin (\theta)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}
$$

Fix $\kappa>0$, then, as, for fixed $\bar{l} \neq \overline{0}, \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right|}{k^{2}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|} \in L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right)$, we can choose $\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}<\theta_{0, \bar{l}}<\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}<\phi_{0, \bar{l}}<\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}$, such that;

$$
\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} \int_{\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} \int_{\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \phi \leq \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} \frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right|}{k^{2}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right| \leq
$$

Then;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right| \\
& \leq \mid \int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} \int_{\left([0, \pi) \times[0,2 \pi) \backslash\left[\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}\right] \times\left[\phi_{\left.0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}\right]} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi \mid\right.\right.}^{+\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} \int_{\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} \int_{\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \phi \leq \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right|} \\
& \left.\leq\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} \int_{V_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right|+\kappa^{\prime}| | \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\leq \int_{V_{\bar{i}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}}\left(\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}_{>0}} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R\right|\right) d \theta d \phi+\kappa^{\prime}| | \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\,
$$

Using $(D)$, it follows that, for $l>1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right| \\
& \left.\leq 2 \pi^{2} \frac{2}{r \xi}\left(\left.4 \sqrt{6} l| | \alpha_{4}\right|_{V_{l, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}}| |_{\infty}+\frac{C^{2} \frac{7}{2}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|}{4 \sqrt{3} l}\right)+\kappa^{\prime}| | \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{4 \pi^{2}}{r \xi}\left(\left.\frac{4 \sqrt{6} P_{1,1} l}{2 \pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right| V_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}| | \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\,+\frac{C 2 \frac{\overline{7}}{\frac{7}{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|}{4 \sqrt{3 l}}\right) \\
& +\kappa^{\prime}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime} l}{l}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and, for $0<l \leq 1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right| \\
& \left.\leq 2 \pi^{2} \frac{2}{r \xi}\left(\left.4 \sqrt{6}| | \alpha_{4}\right|_{V_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}}| |_{\infty}+\frac{C 2^{\frac{7}{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{\sqrt{l}}\right|}{4 \sqrt{3}}\right)+\kappa^{\prime}| | \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{4 \pi^{2}}{r \xi}\left(\left.\frac{4 \sqrt{6} P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right|\right|_{V_{, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|+\frac{C 2^{\frac{7}{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|}{4 \sqrt{3}}\right) \\
& \left.+\kappa^{\prime}| | \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\,(H)
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $\delta>0$ arbitrary, then we have that, for $l>\delta$, sufficiently small $0<\kappa<\min \left(\frac{\delta}{2}, \delta^{2}\right)$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} \int_{\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \leq \phi \leq \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}} \frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi) \sin (\theta)}{\|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l} \mid}\right| d R d \theta d \phi \\
& =\int_{W_{\bar{i}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}} \frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}-\bar{l}||\bar{k}|^{2}} \\
& =\int_{\left(W_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}\right) \bar{\tau}} \frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{\left|\overline{b_{12}}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}||\bar{k}+\bar{l}|^{2}} d \bar{k} \\
& \leq \int_{B(\overline{0}, \kappa)} \frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}| \bar{k}+\left.\bar{l}\right|^{2}} d \bar{k}+\int_{\left(W_{\overline{l, \kappa_{1}}, \kappa_{2}}\right) \backslash \bar{\tau} \backslash(\overline{0}, \kappa)} \frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}| \bar{k}+\left.\bar{l}\right|^{2}} d \bar{k} \\
& \leq \frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}| | \frac{\bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k})}{\mid \bar{k}+\overline{l^{2}}} \|_{\infty, B(\overline{0}, \kappa)} \int_{0<R<\kappa} \frac{1}{R} R^{2}|\sin (\theta)| d R d \theta d \phi+\frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{\left(W_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}\right) \overline{\bar{l}} \mid B(\overline{0}, \kappa)} \frac{\left|\overline{b_{12}}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}||\bar{k}+\bar{l}|^{2}} d \bar{k} \\
& \left.\left.\leq \frac{2 P_{1,1}}{\delta^{2} \pi^{2}}| | \bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k}) \|_{\infty, B(\overline{0}, \kappa)} \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{\left(W_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}\right) \bar{l}} \right\rvert\, \frac{\bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k})}{|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|^{2}}\right) \mid d \bar{k} \\
& =\frac{2 P_{1,1}}{\delta^{2} \pi^{2}}| | \bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k})| |_{\infty, B(\overline{0}, \kappa)} \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{\left(W_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}\right)}\left|\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{R^{2}}\right| R^{2} \sin (\theta) d R d \theta d \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{2 P_{1,1}}{\delta^{2} \pi^{2}}| | \bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k})| |_{\infty, B(\overline{0}, \kappa)} \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left|\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}-\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}\right|\left|\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}-\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}\right|_{S^{1}(1)} \int_{\mathcal{R}>0}\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R)\right| d R \\
& \leq \frac{2 P_{1,1}}{\delta^{2} \pi^{2}}| | \bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k})\left\|_{\infty, B(\overline{0}, \kappa)} \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left|\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}-\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}} \| \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}-\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}\right|_{S^{1}(1)} K\right. \\
& \leq \frac{2 P_{1,1}}{\delta^{2} \pi^{2}}| | \bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k}) \|_{\infty, B(\overline{0}, \kappa)} \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2}+\frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \kappa \\
& \leq \frac{2 P_{1,1}}{\pi^{2}}\left\|\bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k})\right\|_{\infty, B(\overline{0}, \kappa)} \frac{\delta^{2}}{2}+\frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \kappa=\kappa^{\prime}(M) \\
& \text { for }\left|\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}-\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}\right|=\left|\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}-\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}\right|_{S^{1}(1)},\left|\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}-\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}\right| \leq \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{K}}(G)
\end{aligned}
$$

where;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}=\left(\left[\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}\right] \times\left[\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}\right] \times \mathcal{R}_{>0}\right) \\
& \left(W_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}\right)_{\bar{l}}=\left\{\bar{k}: \bar{k}+\bar{l} \in W_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, we can assume that $\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R)\right|$ is independent of $\{\theta, \phi\}$, with $\left\|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R)\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)} \leq K$, independently of $\bar{l}$, due to the decay.

In particularly, choosing $\theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}=\theta_{0, \bar{l}}+\frac{\kappa}{2 \sqrt{K}}, \theta_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}=\theta_{0, \bar{l}}-\frac{\kappa}{2 \sqrt{K}}$, $\phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{2}}=\phi_{0, \bar{l}}+\frac{\kappa}{2 \sqrt{K}}, \phi_{0, \bar{l}, \kappa_{1}}=\phi_{0, \bar{l}}-\frac{\kappa}{2 \sqrt{K}}$, we have that $(G)$ holds and $d\left(\bar{l}, V_{\bar{l}, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}\right) \geq l \sin \left(\frac{\kappa}{2 \sqrt{K}}\right) \geq \frac{l \kappa}{4 \sqrt{K}}$, for sufficiently small $\kappa$. We then have that;

$$
\left|\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right|_{\bar{l}_{l, \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}}}\left|\leq \frac{4 \sqrt{K}}{l \kappa}\right|\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)\right|_{\infty}=\frac{4 \sqrt{K} D}{l \kappa}
$$

where $D \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, independent of $\bar{l}$. From $(H),(M)$, we obtain that, for $l>1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right| \\
& \leq \frac{4 \pi^{2}}{r \xi}\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{6} P_{1,1} l}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{K} D}{l \kappa}\right)\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|+\frac{\left.C 2^{\frac{7}{2}} \cdot \frac{\vec{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\,}{4 \sqrt{3} l}\right) \\
& \left.+\kappa^{\prime}| | \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\,(l>\delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, for $0<l \leq 1$;

$$
\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}>0} \int_{0 \leq \theta<\pi} \int_{0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi} \alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r R} d R d \theta d \phi\right|
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{4 \pi^{2}}{r \xi}\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{6} P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{4 \sqrt{K} D}{l \kappa}\right)\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|+\frac{C 2^{\frac{7}{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|}{4 \sqrt{3}}\right) \\
& \left.+\kappa^{\prime}| | \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\,(l>\delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that $\left\{\frac{\left|\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right|}{l^{2}}, \frac{\left|\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right|}{l}\right\} \subset L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right)$, and integrating $g(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|}$ over $\mathcal{R}^{3} \times B(\overline{0}, \delta)$ separately, using Lemma 0.9 , looking at all components, for sufficiently large $r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, need uniformity in $\bar{l}$ version of Lemma 0.12, follows that,

$$
\left|\int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} g(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) e^{i r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|} d \bar{k} d \bar{l}\right| \leq A \delta+\frac{F(\kappa)}{r}+H \kappa^{\prime}
$$

where $\{A, H\} \subset \mathcal{R}$. Follows that?(split again $\operatorname{Re}(g), \operatorname{Im}(g))$

$$
\left\lvert\, \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} g(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) \sin (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|) d \bar{k} d \bar{l} \leq B \delta+\frac{T(\kappa)}{r}+S \kappa^{\prime}\right.
$$

for sufficiently large $r$, In particular as $\kappa^{\prime}>0, \delta>0$ can be made arbitrarily small, and;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} g(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) \cos (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|) d \bar{k} d \bar{l}\right|<A \delta+H \kappa^{\prime} \\
& \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} g(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t) \cos (r|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|) d \bar{k} d \bar{l}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

so no radiation condition holds.

Lemma 0.8. We have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})\right| \leq \frac{C 2^{\frac{5}{2}}}{R^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|, \text { for } R>4 l \sqrt{3}, l>1 \\
& R>4 \sqrt{3}, 0<l \leq 1 \\
& \left|R e\left(\alpha_{4}\right)(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l}) \leq\left|\frac{C 2^{\frac{5}{2}}}{R^{2}}\right| \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|, \text { for } R>4 l \sqrt{3}, l>1 \\
& R>4 \sqrt{3}, 0<l \leq 1 \\
& \left|I m\left(\alpha_{4}\right)(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})\right| \leq \frac{C 2^{\frac{5}{2}}}{R^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|, \text { for } R>4 l \sqrt{3}, l>1 \\
& R>4 \sqrt{3}, 0<l \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$
In particularly, the families $\left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{4}\right)(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l}): \bar{l} \in \mathcal{R}^{3}, \bar{l} \neq \overline{0}, \theta \neq\right.$ $\left.\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{3}}{l_{1}}\right), \phi \neq \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{2}}{l_{1}}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{4}\right)(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l}): \bar{l} \in \mathcal{R}^{3}, \bar{l} \neq \overline{0}, \theta \neq\right.$ $\left.\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{3}}{l_{1}}\right), \phi \neq \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{2}}{l_{1}}\right)\right\}$ are of moderate decrease $n_{\bar{l}, \theta, \phi}$, with;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{\bar{l}, \theta, \phi}=4 l \sqrt{3}, l>1 \\
& n_{\bar{l}, \theta, \phi}=4 \sqrt{3}, 0<l \leq 1 \\
& \text { and } D_{\bar{l}, \theta, \phi}=C 2^{\frac{5}{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\alpha_{4}\right| \leq\left|\frac{P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})}{k^{2}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}\right|\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \\
& \left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right| \leq \frac{D}{|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|^{4}},|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|>0 \text { (change this) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$
so that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})\right| \leq\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \frac{C}{|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|^{5}} \\
& =C\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \frac{1}{\left[\left(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi)-l_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi)-l_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(R \cos (\theta)-l_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{5}{2}}} \\
& =\frac{C}{R^{5}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \frac{1}{\left[\left(\sin (\theta) \cos (\phi)-\frac{l_{1}}{R}\right)^{2}+\left(\sin (\theta) \sin (\phi)-\frac{l_{2}}{R}\right)^{2}+\left(\cos (\theta)-\frac{l_{3}}{R}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{5}{2}}} \\
& =\frac{C}{R^{5}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \frac{1}{\left[1-\frac{2 l_{1} \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi)}{R}-\frac{2 l_{2} \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi)}{R}-\frac{2 l_{3} \cos (\theta)}{R}+\frac{l^{2}}{R^{2}}\right]^{\frac{5}{5}}} \\
& =\frac{C}{R^{5}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \frac{1}{\left(1-x+\frac{l^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ and;
$|x| \leq \frac{2\left(\left|l_{1}\right|+\left|l_{2}\right|+\left|l_{3}\right|\right)}{R} \leq \frac{2 l \sqrt{3}}{R} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, for $R>4 l \sqrt{3}$
so that;

$$
\left.\left|\alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})\right| \leq \frac{C 2^{\frac{5}{2}}}{R^{5}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \leq \frac{C 2^{\frac{5}{2}}}{R^{2}} \right\rvert\, \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \text { (for } R>4 l \sqrt{3}, l>1,
$$
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$$
R>4 \sqrt{3}, 0<l \leq 1)
$$

In particularly;

$$
\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha_{4}\right)(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})\right| \leq \frac{C 2^{\frac{5}{2}}}{R^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|
$$

for $R>4 l \sqrt{3}, l>1, R>4 \sqrt{3}, 0<l \leq 1$
$\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{4}\right)(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{4}(R, \theta, \phi, t, \bar{l})\right| \leq \frac{C 2 \frac{5}{2}}{R^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right|$
for $R>4 l \sqrt{3}, l>1, R>4 \sqrt{3}, 0<l \leq 1$

Lemma 0.9. We have that;

$$
\frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right|}{\left.|\bar{k}|\right|^{2}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{\frac{1}{\prime} 2}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \in L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{6}\right), \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{I}}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}||\bar{k}-\bar{l}|^{2}}\left|\frac{\vec{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| \in L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{6}\right)
$$

Proof. For the first claim, fix $\bar{l} \neq \overline{0}$, then;

$$
\left.\frac{1}{|\bar{k}|^{2}}\right|_{B\left(\bar{l}, \frac{l}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{4}{l^{2}},\left.\frac{1}{|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|}\right|_{\mathcal{R}^{3} \backslash B\left(\bar{l}, \frac{l}{2}\right)} \leq \frac{2}{l}
$$

so that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}|^{2}|\bar{k} \bar{l}|} \left\lvert\, d \bar{k}=\int_{B\left(\bar{l}, \frac{l}{2}\right)} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}|^{2} \mid \bar{k}-\bar{l}} d \bar{k}+\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3} \backslash B\left(\bar{l}, \frac{l}{2}\right)} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}|^{2}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|} d \bar{k}\right. \\
& \leq \frac{4}{l^{2}} \int_{B\left(\bar{l}, \frac{l}{2}\right)} \frac{\mid \bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k}| |}{|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|} d \bar{k}+\frac{2}{l} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3} \backslash B\left(\bar{l}, \frac{l}{2}\right)} \frac{\left\lvert\, \frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k}) \mid}{|\bar{k}|^{2}} d \bar{k}\right.}{\leq \frac{4}{l^{2}} \int_{B\left(\bar{l}, \frac{l}{2}\right)} \frac{\mid \bar{b}_{12,2}(\bar{k} \mid}{|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|} d \bar{k}+\frac{2}{l} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}|^{2}} d \bar{k}} \\
& =\frac{4}{l^{2}} \int_{B\left(\overline{0}, \frac{l}{2}\right)} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}|} d \bar{k}+\frac{2}{l} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\mid \bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k} \mid}{|\bar{k}|^{2}} d \bar{k} \\
& =\frac{4}{l^{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{l}{2}} \int_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi,-\pi \leq \phi \leq \pi} \frac{\left\lvert\, \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12}(R, \theta, \phi)\right|}{R} R^{2} \sin (\theta) d R d \theta d \phi+\frac{2}{l} \int_{B(\overline{0}, 1)} \frac{\left|\overline{b_{12}}, \bar{l}(\bar{k})\right|}{\left.| | \bar{k}\right|^{2}} d \bar{k}\right.}{} \\
& +\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3} \backslash B(\overline{0}, 1)} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}|^{2}} d \bar{k} \\
& \leq \frac{8 \pi^{2}}{l^{2}}\left[\frac{R^{2}}{2}\right]_{0}^{\frac{l}{2}}+\frac{2}{l} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi,-\pi \leq \phi \leq \pi} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12}(R, \theta, \phi)\right|}{R^{2}} R^{2} \sin (\theta) d R d \theta d \phi+\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3} \backslash B(\overline{0}, 1)}\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right| d \bar{k} \\
& \leq \pi^{2}+\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{l}[R]_{0}^{1}+C
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\pi^{2}+\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{l}+C
$$

where $C=\left\|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right)}$ is independent of $\bar{l}$. It follows that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} \frac{\mid \overline{b_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k}) \mid}}{|\bar{k}|^{2}|\bar{k}-\bar{l}|} \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\left|d \bar{k} d \bar{l} \leq \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\pi^{2}+\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{l}+C\right)\right| \frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l} \right\rvert\, d \bar{l} \\
& =\left(\pi^{2}+C\right) \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\left\lvert\, \frac{d_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{|\bar{l}|} d \bar{l}+4 \pi^{2} \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\mid \bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{|\bar{l}|^{2}} d \bar{l}\right.}{\leq\left(\pi^{2}+C\right)\left(\int_{B(\overline{0}, 1)} \frac{\mid \bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{|\bar{l}|} d \bar{l}+\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3} \backslash B(\overline{0}, 1)}\left|\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right| d \bar{l}\right)} \\
& +4 \pi^{2}\left(\int_{B(\overline{0}, 1)} \frac{\mid \bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{|\bar{l}|^{2}} d \bar{l}+\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3} \backslash B(\overline{0}, 1)}\left|\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})\right| d \bar{l}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\pi^{2}+C\right)\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi,-\pi \leq \phi \leq \pi}| | \bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(R, \theta, \phi) \mid R \sin (\theta) d \theta d \phi+D\right) \\
& +4 \pi^{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi,-\pi \leq \phi \leq \pi} \|\left|\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(R, \theta, \phi)\right| \sin (\theta) d \theta d \phi+D\right) \\
& \leq\left(\pi^{2}+C\right)\left(\pi^{2}+D\right)+4 \pi^{2}\left(2 \pi^{2}+D\right) \\
& =9 \pi^{4}+\pi^{2} C+5 \pi^{2} D+C D
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D=\left\|\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right)}$
For the second claim, fix $\bar{l} \neq \overline{0}$, then, using the substitution $\bar{k}^{\prime}=\bar{k}-\bar{l}$ and the previous proof, we obtain that;

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}||\bar{k}-\bar{l}|^{2}}\left|d \bar{k}=\int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}|^{2}|\bar{k}+\bar{l}|}\right| d \bar{k} \leq \pi^{2}+\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{l}+C
$$

Following the above proof again, we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{R}^{6}} \frac{\left|\bar{b}_{12 \bar{l}}(\bar{k})\right|}{|\bar{k}||\bar{k}-\bar{l}|^{2}}\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}}{l}(\bar{l})\right| d \bar{k} d \bar{l} \leq \int_{\mathcal{R}^{3}}\left(\pi^{2}+\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{l}+C\right)\left|\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right| d \bar{l} \\
& \leq 9 \pi^{4}+\pi^{2} C+5 \pi^{2} D+C D
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 0.10. We say that $f \in C(\mathcal{R})$ is of moderate decrease if there exists a constant $D \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ with $|f(x)| \leq \frac{D}{|x|^{2}}$ for $|x|>1$. We say that $f \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ is of moderate decrease if there exists a constant $D \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ with $|f(x)| \leq \frac{D}{|x|^{2}}$ for $|x|>1$. We say that $f \in C(\mathcal{R})$ is of moderate decrease $n$, if there exists a constant $D_{n} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ with $|f(x)| \leq \frac{D_{n}}{|x|^{2}}$ for $|x|>n$. We say that $f \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ is of moderate
decrease $n$ if there exists a constant $D_{n} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ with $|f(x)| \leq \frac{D_{n}}{|x|^{2}}$ for $|x|>n$. We say that $f \in C(\mathcal{R})$ is of very moderate decrease if there exists a constant $D \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ with $|f(x)| \leq \frac{D}{|x|}$ for $|x|>1$. We say that $f \in C(\mathcal{R})$ is of very moderate decrease $n$ if there exists a constant $D_{n} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ with $|f(x)| \leq \frac{D}{|x|}$ for $|x|>n$. We say that $f \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ is of very moderate decrease if there exists a constant $D \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ with $|f(x)| \leq \frac{D}{|x|}$ for $|x|>1$. We say that $f \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ is of very moderate decrease $n$ if there exists a constant $D_{n} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ with $|f(x)| \leq \frac{D_{n}}{|x|}$ for $|x|>n$. We say that $f \in C(\mathcal{R})$ is non-oscillatory if there are finitely many points $\left\{y_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}$ for which $\left.f\right|_{\left(y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)}$ is monotone, $1 \leq$ $i \leq n-1$, and $\left.f\right|_{\left(-\infty, y_{1}\right)}$ and $\left.f\right|_{\left(y_{n}, \infty\right)}$ is monotone. We denote by $\operatorname{val}(f)$ the minimum number of such points. We say that $f \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ is nonoscillatory if there are finitely many points $\left\{y_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ for which $\left.f\right|_{\left(y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)}$ is monotone, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $\left.f\right|_{\left(0, y_{1}\right)}$ and $\left.f\right|_{\left(y_{n}, \infty\right)}$ is monotone. Similarily, we denote by val $(f)$ the minimum number. We say that $f \in C(\mathcal{R})$ is oscillatory if there exists an increasing sequence $\left\{y_{i}: i \in \mathcal{Z}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}$, for which $\left.f\right|_{\left(y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)}$ is monotone, $i \in \mathcal{Z}$, and there exists $\delta>0$, with $y_{i+1}-y_{i}>\delta$, for $i \in \mathcal{Z}$. We say that $f \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ is oscillatory if there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{i}: i \in \mathcal{N}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}$, for which $\left.f\right|_{\left(0, y_{1}\right)}$ is monotone, and $\left.f\right|_{\left(y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)}$ is monotone, $i \in \mathcal{N}$, and there exists $\delta>0$, with $y_{1}>\delta$ and $y_{i+1}-y_{i}>\delta$, for $i \in \mathcal{N}$.

Lemma 0.11. Let $f \in C(\mathcal{R})$ and $\frac{d f}{d x} \in C(\mathcal{R})$ be of moderate decrease, with $\frac{d f}{d x}$ non-oscillatory, then defining the Fourier transform by;

$$
\mathcal{F}(f)(k)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{R}} f(x) e^{-i k x} d x
$$

we have that, there exists a constant $C \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that;

$$
|\mathcal{F}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{C}{|k|^{2}}
$$

for sufficiently large $k$. Let $f \in C(\mathcal{R})$ and $\frac{d f}{d x} \in C(\mathcal{R})$ be of moderate decrease, with $\frac{d f}{d x}$ oscillatory, then, similarly;
we have that, there exists a constant $C \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that;

$$
|\mathcal{F}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{C}{|k|^{2}}
$$

for sufficiently large $k$.

The same result holds in the two claims, replacing moderate decrease with moderate decrease $n$.

Proof. As $f$ is of moderate decrease, we have that $f \in L^{1}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x)=0$ Similarly, $\frac{d f}{d x} \in L^{1}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\frac{d f}{d x}$ is continuous. We have, using integration by parts, that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y \\
& =\left[f(y) e^{-i k y}\right]_{-\infty}^{\infty}+i k \int_{\mathcal{R}} f(y) e^{-i k y} d y \\
& =i k \int_{\mathcal{R}} f(y) e^{-i k y} d y \\
& =i k \mathcal{F}(f)(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, for $|k|>1$;

$$
|\mathcal{F}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)\right|}{|k|},(\dagger)
$$

As $\frac{d f}{d x}$ is of moderate decrease, for any $\epsilon>0$, we can find $N_{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that;

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-N_{\epsilon}}^{N_{\epsilon}} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\epsilon(*)
$$

As $\left.\frac{d f}{d x}\right|_{-N_{\epsilon}, N_{\epsilon}}$ is continuous and non-oscillatory, by the proof of Lemma 0.9 in [7], using underflow, we can find $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that, for all $|k|>D_{\epsilon}$, we have that;

$$
\left|\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-N_{\epsilon}}^{N_{\epsilon}} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\frac{E_{\epsilon}}{|k|},(* *)
$$

It is easy to see from the proof, that $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon}\right\}$ can be chosen uniformly in $\epsilon$. Then, from $(*),(* *)$, and the triangle inequality, we obtain that, for $|k|>D_{\epsilon}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-N_{\epsilon}}^{N_{\epsilon}} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|+\left|\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-N_{\epsilon}}^{N_{\epsilon}} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& <\epsilon+\frac{E_{\epsilon}}{|k|}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, as $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon}\right\}$ were uniform and $\epsilon$ was arbitrary, we obtain that;
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$$
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)\right|<\frac{E}{|k|}, \text { for }|k|>D
$$

and, from $(\dagger)$, for $|k|>D$, that;
$|\mathcal{F}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)\right|}{|k|}<\frac{E}{|k|^{2}}$
For the second claim, we can follow the proof of the second claim in Lemma 0.13 . The final claim is a simple adaptation of the first two claims.

Lemma 0.12. Let $f \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ be of moderate decrease, with $f$ nonoscillatory, and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)=M$, with $M \in \mathcal{R}$, then defining the half Fourier transform $\mathcal{G}$, by;
$\mathcal{G}(f)(k)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-i k x} d x$
we have that, there exists a constant $E \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that;
$|\mathcal{G}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{E}{|k|}$
for sufficiently large $|k|$. Moreover, we can choose;
$E=2\|f\|_{\infty} \operatorname{val}(f)$
Let $f \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ be of moderate decrease, with $f$ oscillatory, and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)=M$, with $M \in \mathcal{R}$, then, similarly;
we have that, there exists a constant $E \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that;
$|\mathcal{G}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{E}{|k|}$
for sufficiently large $|k|$. Moreover, we can choose $E=\frac{\left(4\|f\|_{\infty}+D\right)}{\delta}$, where $D$ and $\delta$ are given in Definition 0.10.

The first claim is the same, replacing moderate decrease with moderate decrease $n$. The second claim is the same, replacing moderate decrease with moderate decrease $n$, with the modification that we can choose $E=\frac{2 n\|f\|_{\infty}}{\delta}+\frac{2 D_{n}}{n \delta}$.

Proof. As $f$ is of moderate decrease and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)=M$, we have that $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x)=0$.

As $f$ is of moderate decrease, for any $\epsilon>0$, we can find $N_{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that;

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}(f)(k)-\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon}} f(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\epsilon(*)
$$

As $\left.f\right|_{0, N_{\epsilon}}$ is continuous and non-oscillatory, by the proof of Lemma 0.9 in [7], using underflow, we can find $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that, for all $|k|>D_{\epsilon}$, we have that;

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon}} f(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\frac{E_{\epsilon}}{|k|},(* *)
$$

It is easy to see from the proof, that $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon}\right\}$ can be chosen uniformly in $\epsilon$, Splitting the calculation into real and imaginary components, it is straightfoward to see that it is possible to choose $E_{\epsilon}$ with $E_{\epsilon}=2\|f\|_{\infty} \operatorname{val}(f)$, noting that the infinitesimal correction existing after the use of underflow, drops out after taking the standard part. Then, from $(*),(* *)$, and the triangle inequality, we obtain that, for $|k|>D_{\epsilon}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\mathcal{G}(f)(k)| \\
& \leq\left|\mathcal{G}(f)(k)-\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon}} f(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon}} f(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& <\epsilon+\frac{E_{\epsilon}}{|k|}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, as $\left\{D_{\epsilon, \rho}, E_{\epsilon}\right\}$ were uniform and $\epsilon$ was arbitrary, we obtain that;

$$
|\mathcal{G}(f)(k)|<\frac{E}{|k|}, \text { for sufficiently large }|k|
$$

For the second claim, after choosing $N \in \mathcal{N}$, we have that $\left.f\right|_{(0, N)}$ is non-oscillatory, and, moreover, there are at most $\frac{N}{\delta}$ monotone intervals. As in $(* *)$, and inspection of the proof in [7], we get;

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{N} f e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\frac{E_{N}}{|k|}
$$

for sufficiently large $|k|$, where $E_{N}=\frac{2 N C}{\delta}$ and $C=\max _{x \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}}|f|$.
Choosing $N>1$, as $f$ is of moderate decrease, we can assume that $|f| \leq \frac{D}{x^{2}}$, for $x>N$. Then, using the proof in [7] again, the definition of oscillatory, and noting that ${ }^{*} \sum_{y_{i}^{*}>N} \frac{D}{y_{i}^{2}} \simeq \sum_{y_{i}>N} \frac{D}{y_{i}^{2}}$, we have that, for sufficiently large $|k|$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{N}^{\infty} f e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\left(\frac{2}{|k|} \sum_{y_{i}>N} \frac{D}{y_{i}^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{2}{|k|} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z} \geq 0} \frac{D}{\left(y_{i_{0}}+n \delta\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2 D}{\delta|k|} \int_{y_{i_{0}}}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{2}} \\
& =\frac{2 D}{\delta|k| y_{i_{0}}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 D}{\delta|k| N}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y_{i_{0}} \geq N$ and $y_{i_{0}} \leq y_{i}$, for all $y_{i} \geq N$. It follows that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\mathcal{G}(f)(k)|=\left|\int_{0}^{N} f e^{-i k y} d y+\int_{N}^{\infty} f e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{0}^{N} f e^{-i k y} d y\right|+\left|\int_{N}^{\infty} f e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& \leq \frac{E_{N}}{|k|}+\frac{2 D}{\delta|k| N} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{|k|}\left(\frac{N C}{\delta}+\frac{D}{\delta N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows, using $(\dagger)$, that;

$$
\left\lvert\, \mathcal{G}(f)(k) \leq \frac{E}{|k|}\right.
$$

where $E=2\left(\frac{N C}{\delta}+\frac{D}{\delta N}\right)$
In particular, choosing $N=2$, we can take;

$$
E=2\left(\frac{2 C}{\delta}+\frac{D}{2 \delta}\right)=\frac{(4 C+D)}{\delta}=\frac{\left(4\|f\|_{\infty}+D\right)}{\delta}
$$

For the final claim, the modification for the first part is the same. In the second part, choose $N \geq n$, rather than $N>1$ in the proof, and replace $D$ with $D_{n}$, to get $E=2\left(\frac{N C}{\delta}+\frac{D_{n}}{\delta N}\right)$, then, taking $N=n$, we obtain $E=2\left(\frac{n C}{\delta}+\frac{D_{n}}{\delta n}\right)$.

Lemma 0.13. Let $f \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ and $\frac{d f}{d x} \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ be of moderate decrease, with $\frac{d f}{d x}$ non-oscillatory, and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)=0, \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{d f}{d x}(x)=$ $M$, with $M \in \mathcal{R}$, then defining the half Fourier transform $\mathcal{G}$, by;
$\mathcal{G}(f)(k)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-i k x} d x$
we have that, there exists a constant $E \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that;
$|\mathcal{G}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{E}{|k|^{2}}$
for sufficiently large $k$. Moreover, we can choose $E=2\left\|\frac{d f}{d x}\right\|_{\infty}$ val $\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)$
Let $f \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ and $\frac{d f}{d x} \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ be of moderate decrease, with $\frac{d f}{d x}$ oscillatory, and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)=0, \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{d f}{d x}(x)=M$, with $M \in \mathcal{R}$, then, similarly;
we have that, there exists a constant $E \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that;

$$
|\mathcal{G}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{E}{|k|^{2}}
$$

for sufficiently large $k$, Moreover, we can choose $E=\frac{\left(4\left\|\frac{d f}{d x}\right\|_{\infty}+D\right)}{\delta}$.
The first claim is the same, replacing moderate decrease with moderate decrease $n$. The second claim is the same, replacing moderate decrease with moderate decrease $n$, with the modification that we can choose $E=\frac{2 n\left\|\frac{d f}{d x}\right\|_{\infty}}{\delta}+\frac{2 D_{n}}{n \delta}$.

Proof. As $f$ is of moderate decrease and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)=0$, we have that $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x)=0$. Similarly, $\frac{d f}{d x} \in L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ and $\frac{d f}{d x}$ is continuous. We have, using integration by parts, that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y \\
& =\left[f(y) e^{-i k y}\right]_{0}^{\infty}+i k \int_{0}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-i k y} d y \\
& =i k \int_{0}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-i k y} d y \\
& =i k \mathcal{G}(f)(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, for $|k|>1$;

$$
|\mathcal{G}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{\left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)\right|}{|k|},(\dagger)
$$

As $\frac{d f}{d x}$ is of moderate decrease, for any $\epsilon>0$, we can find $N_{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that;

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)-\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon}} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\epsilon(*)
$$

As $\left.\frac{d f}{d x}\right|_{0, N_{\epsilon}}$ is continuous and non-oscillatory, by the proof of Lemma 0.9 in [7], using underflow, we can find $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that, for all $|k|>D_{\epsilon}$, we have that;

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon}} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\frac{E_{\epsilon}}{|k|},(* *)
$$

It is easy to see from the proof, that $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon}\right\}$ can be chosen uniformly in $\epsilon$. Then, from $(*),(* *)$, and the triangle inequality, we obtain that, for $|k|>D_{\epsilon}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)-\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon}} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon}} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& <\epsilon+\frac{E_{\epsilon}}{|k|}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, as $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon}\right\}$ were uniform and $\epsilon$ was arbitrary, we obtain that;

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)\right|<\frac{E}{|k|}, \text { for }|k|>D
$$

and, from $(\dagger)$, for $|k|>D$, that;

$$
|\mathcal{G}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{\left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)\right|}{|k|}<\frac{E}{|k|^{2}}
$$

The choice of $E$ is the same as in the proof of Lemma 0.12 . For the second claim, the proof up to $(\dagger)$ is the same. After choosing $N \in \mathcal{N}$, we have that $\left.\frac{d f}{d x}\right|_{(0, N)}$ is non-oscillatory, and, moreover, there are at most $\frac{N}{\delta}$ monotone intervals. As in $(* *)$, and inspection of the proof in [7], we get;

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{N} \frac{d f}{d x} e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\frac{E_{N}}{|k|}
$$

where $E_{N} \leq \frac{2 N C}{\delta}$ and $C=\max _{x \in \mathcal{R}>0}\left|\frac{d f}{d x}\right|$.
Choosing $N>1$, as $\frac{d f}{d x}$ is of moderate decrease, we can assume that $\left|\frac{d f}{d x}\right| \leq \frac{D}{x^{2}}$, for $x>N$. Then, using the proof in [7] again, and the
definition of oscillatory, we have that, for sufficiently large $|k|$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{d f}{d x} e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\left(\frac{2}{|k|} \sum_{y_{i}>N} \frac{D}{y_{i}^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{2}{|k|} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}_{\geq 0}} \frac{D}{\left(y_{i_{0}}+n \delta\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2 D}{\delta|k|} \int_{y_{i_{0}}}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{2}} \\
& =\frac{2 D}{\delta|k| y_{i_{0}}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 D}{\delta|k| N}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y_{i_{0}} \geq N$ and $y_{i_{0}} \leq y_{i}$, for all $y_{i} \geq N$. It follows that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{N} \frac{d f}{d x} e^{-i k y} d y+\int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{d f}{d x} e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{0}^{N} \frac{d f}{d x} e^{-i k y} d y\right|+\left|\int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{d f}{d x} e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& \leq \frac{E_{N}}{|k|}+\frac{2 D}{\delta|k| N} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{|k|}\left(\frac{N C}{\delta}+\frac{D}{\delta N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows, using $(\dagger)$, that;

$$
|\mathcal{G}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{\left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)\right|}{|k|}<\frac{E_{N}}{|k|^{2}}
$$

where $E_{N}=2\left(\frac{N C}{\delta}+\frac{D}{\delta N}\right)$
As in Lemma 0.12 , we can choose $E$ as in the final claim of the two parts.

For the final claim, the modification for the first part is the same. In the second part, choose $N \geq n$, rather than $N>1$ in the proof, and replace $D$ with $D_{n}$, to get $E_{N}=2\left(\frac{N C}{\delta}+\frac{D_{n}}{\delta N}\right)$, then, taking $N=n$, we obtain $E=2\left(\frac{n C}{\delta}+\frac{D_{n}}{\delta n}\right)$.
Definition 0.14. We say that a family $W=\left\{f_{\bar{v}}: \bar{v} \in V\right\}$, with $f_{\bar{v}} \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ and $V \subset \mathcal{R}^{n}$ open, is of moderate decrease if there exists constants $D_{\bar{v}} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ with $\left|f_{\bar{v}}(x)\right| \leq \frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{|x|^{2}}$ for $|x|>1$. We say that a family $W=\left\{f_{\bar{v}}: \bar{v} \in V\right\}$, with $f_{\bar{v}} \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ and $V \subset \mathcal{R}^{n}$ open, is of moderate decrease $n_{\bar{v}}$ if there exists constants $D_{\bar{v}} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ with $\left|f_{\bar{v}}(x)\right| \leq \frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{|x|^{2}}$ for $|x|>n_{\bar{v}}$, where $n: V \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ is continuous. We
say that the family $\left\{f_{\bar{v}}: \bar{v} \in V\right\}$ is non-oscillatory if there are finitely many points $\left\{y_{i, \bar{v}}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}$ for which $\left.f_{\bar{v}}\right|_{\left(y_{i, \bar{v}}, y_{i+1, \bar{v})}\right.}$ is monotone, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $\left.f\right|_{\left(-\infty, y_{1, \bar{v}}\right)}$ and $\left.f\right|_{\left(y_{n, \bar{v}, \infty)}\right)}$ is monotone. We denote by $\operatorname{val}(W)$ the minimum number of such points. We say that a family $W=\left\{f_{\bar{v}}: \bar{v} \in V\right\}$, with $f_{\bar{v}} \in C\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ is oscillatory if there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{i, \bar{v}}: i \in \mathcal{N}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}$, for which $\left.f\right|_{\left(0, y_{1, \bar{v}}\right)}$ is monotone, and $\left.f\right|_{\left(y_{i, \bar{v}}, y_{i+1, \bar{v}}\right)}$ is monotone, $i \in \mathcal{N}$, and there exists $\delta_{\bar{v}}>0$, with $y_{1}>\delta_{\bar{v}}$ and $y_{i+1}-y_{i}>\delta_{\bar{v}}$, for $i \in \mathcal{N}$.

Lemma 0.15. Let a family $W=\left\{f_{\bar{v}}: \bar{v} \in V\right\}$ be of moderate decrease, with $W$ non-oscillatory, and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f_{\bar{v}}(x)=M_{\bar{v}}$, with $M_{\bar{v}} \in \mathcal{R}$, then we have that, there exists constants $E_{\bar{v}} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that;

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k)\right| \leq \frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{|k|}
$$

for sufficiently large $|k|$, independent of $\bar{v}$. Moreover, we can choose;

$$
E_{\bar{v}}=2\left\|f_{\bar{v}}\right\|_{\infty} \operatorname{val}(W)
$$

Let a family $W=\left\{f_{\bar{v}}: \bar{v} \in V\right\}$ be of moderate decrease and oscillatory, and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f_{\bar{v}}(x)=M_{\bar{v}}$, with $M_{\bar{v}} \in \mathcal{R}$, then, similarly;
we have that, there exists constants $E_{\bar{v}} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that;

$$
|\mathcal{G}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{|k|}
$$

for sufficiently large $|k|$, independent of $\bar{v}$. Moreover, we can choose

$$
E_{\bar{v}}=\frac{\left(4\left\|f_{f_{\bar{v}}}\right\|_{\infty}+D_{\bar{v}}\right)}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}
$$

where $D_{\bar{v}}$ and $\delta_{\bar{v}}$ are given in Definition 0.20.
The first claim is the same, replacing moderate decrease with moderate decrease $n_{\bar{v}}$. The second claim is the same, replacing moderate decrease with moderate decrease $n_{\bar{v}}$, with the modification that we can choose $E_{\bar{v}}=\frac{2 n_{\bar{v}}\left\|f_{\bar{v}}\right\|_{\infty}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}+\frac{2 D_{\bar{v}}}{n_{\bar{v}} \delta_{\bar{v}}}$.

Proof. As each $f_{\bar{v}}$ is of moderate decrease and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f_{\bar{v}}(x)=M_{\bar{v}}$, we have that each $f_{\bar{v}} \in L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f_{\bar{v}}(x)=0$.

As each $f_{\bar{v}}$ is of moderate decrease, for any $\epsilon>0$, we can find $N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that;

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k)-\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}} f_{\bar{v}}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\epsilon(*)
$$

As each $\left.f_{\bar{v}}\right|_{0, N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}}$ is continuous and non-oscillatory, by the proof of Lemma 0.9 in [7], quantifying over the nonstandard parameter space ${ }^{*} V$, linking the parameters with $N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}$, and using underflow again, we can find $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that, for all $|k|>D_{\epsilon}$, we have that;

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}} f_{\bar{v}}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\frac{E_{\epsilon, \overline{\bar{v}}}}{|k|},(* *)
$$

It is easy to see from the proof, that $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}\right\}$ can be chosen uniformly in $\epsilon$, as the number of monotone intervals in the interval $\left(0, N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}\right)$ is always bounded by $\operatorname{val}(W)$. Splitting the calculation into real and imaginary components, it is again straightfoward to see that it is possible to choose $E_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}$ with $E_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}=2\left\|f_{\bar{v}}\right\|_{\infty} \operatorname{val}(W)$. Again, note that the infinitesimal correction existing after the use of underflow, drops out after taking the standard part, for each $f_{\bar{v}}$. Then, from $(*),(* *)$, and the triangle inequality, we obtain that, for $|k|>D_{\epsilon}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k)-\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}} f_{\bar{v}}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}} f_{\bar{v}}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& <\epsilon+\frac{E_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}}{|k|}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, as $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}\right\}$ were uniform and $\epsilon$ was arbitrary, we obtain that;

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k)\right|<\frac{E_{\overline{\bar{v}}}}{|k|} \text {, for sufficiently large }|k| \text {, independently of } \bar{v} \text {. }
$$

For the second claim, after choosing $N \in \mathcal{N}$, we have that each $\left.f_{\bar{v}}\right|_{(0, N)}$ is non-oscillatory, and, moreover, there are at most $\frac{N}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}$ monotone intervals. As in $(* *)$, and inspection of the proof in [7], we get;

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{N} f_{\bar{v}} e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\frac{E_{N}}{|k|}
$$

for sufficiently large $|k|$, independent of $\bar{v}$, where $E_{N}=\frac{2 N C_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}$ and $C_{\bar{v}}=\max _{x \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}}\left|f_{\bar{v}}\right|$.

Choosing $N>1$, as each $f_{\bar{v}}$ is of moderate decrease, we can assume that $\left|f_{\bar{v}}\right| \leq \frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{x^{2}}$, for $x>N$. Then, using the proof in [7] again, and the pendent of $\bar{v}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{N}^{\infty} f_{\bar{v}} e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\left(\frac{2}{|k|} \sum_{y_{i, \bar{v}}>N} \frac{D_{\overline{\bar{v}}}}{y_{i, \bar{v}}^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{2}{|k|} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}_{\geq 0}} \frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{\left(y_{i_{0, \bar{v}}}+n \delta_{\bar{v}}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2 D_{\overline{\bar{v}}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}} \left\lvert\, \int_{y_{i_{0}}, \bar{v}}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{2}}\right.} \\
& =\frac{2 D_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}|k| y_{i_{0}, \bar{v}}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 D_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}|k| N}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y_{i_{0}, \bar{v}} \geq N$ and $y_{i_{0}, \bar{v}} \leq y_{i, \bar{v}}$, for all $y_{i, \bar{v}} \geq N$. It follows that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k)\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{N} f_{\bar{v}} e^{-i k y} d y+\int_{N}^{\infty} f_{\bar{v}} e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{0}^{N} f_{\bar{v}} e^{-i k y} d y\right|+\left|\int_{N}^{\infty} f_{\bar{v}} e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& \leq \frac{E_{N}}{|k|}+\frac{2 D_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}|k| N} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{|k|}\left(\frac{N C_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}+\frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}} N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows, using $(\dagger)$, that;

$$
\left\lvert\, \mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k) \leq \frac{E_{N}}{|k|}\right.
$$

where $E_{N}=2\left(\frac{N C_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}+\frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{N \delta_{\bar{v}}}\right)$
In particular, choosing $N=2$, we can take;

$$
E=E_{2}=2\left(\frac{2 C_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}+\frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{2 \delta_{\bar{v}}}\right)=\frac{\left(4 C_{\overline{\widetilde{v}}}+D_{\bar{v}}\right)}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}=\frac{\left(4\left\|f_{\bar{v}}\right\|_{\infty}+D_{\bar{v}}\right)}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}
$$

For the final claim, the modification for the first part is the same. In the second part, choose $N \geq n_{\bar{v}}$, rather than $N>1$ in the proof, then, taking $N=n_{\bar{v}}$, we obtain $E=E_{n_{\bar{v}}}=2\left(\frac{n_{\bar{v}} C_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}+\frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{n_{\bar{v}} \delta_{\bar{v}}}\right)$

Lemma 0.16. Let a family $W=\left\{f_{\bar{v}}: \bar{v} \in V\right\}$ be of moderate decrease such that the family $W^{\prime}=\left\{\frac{d f}{d x} \bar{v}: \bar{v} \in V\right\}$ is of moderate decrease and non-oscillatory, with $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f_{\bar{v}}(x)=0, \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}(x)=M_{\bar{v}}$, with
$M_{\bar{v}} \in \mathcal{R}$, for $\bar{v} \in V$, then we have that, there exists constants $E_{\bar{v}} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that;

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k)\right| \leq \frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{|k|^{2}}
$$

for sufficiently large $k$, independent of $\bar{v}$. Moreover, we can choose $E_{\bar{v}}=2\left\|\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right\|_{\infty} \operatorname{val}\left(W^{\prime}\right)$

Let the families $W=\left\{f_{\bar{v}}: \bar{v} \in V\right\}$ and $W^{\prime}=\left\{\frac{d f}{d x}{ }_{\bar{v}}: \bar{v} \in V\right\}$ be of moderate decrease with $W^{\prime}$ oscillatory as well, with $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f_{\bar{v}}(x)=0$, $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}(x)=M_{\bar{v}}$, with $M_{\bar{v}} \in \mathcal{R}$, then, similarly, we have that, there exists constants $E_{\bar{v}} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that;

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k)\right| \leq \frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{|k|^{2}}
$$

for sufficiently large $k$, independent of $\bar{v}$. Moreover, we can choose;

$$
E_{\bar{v}}=\frac{\left(4\left\|\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right\|_{\infty}+D_{\bar{v}}\right)}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}
$$

where $D_{\bar{v}}$ and $\delta_{\bar{v}}$ are given in Definition 0.20.
The first claim is the same, replacing moderate decrease with moderate decrease $n_{\bar{v}}$. The second claim is the same, replacing moderate decrease with moderate decrease $n_{\bar{v}}$, with the modification that we can choose $E_{\bar{v}}=\frac{2 n_{\bar{v}}\left\|\frac{d \bar{f}_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}\right\|_{\infty}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}+\frac{2 D_{\bar{v}}}{n_{\bar{v}}}$.

Proof. As each $f_{\bar{v}}$ is of moderate decrease and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} f_{\bar{v}}(x)=0$, we have that each $f_{\bar{v}} \in L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f_{\bar{v}}(x)=0$. Similarly, each $\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x} \in L^{1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{>0}\right)$ and each $\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}$ is continuous. We have, using integration by parts, that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right)(k)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y \\
& =\left[f_{\bar{v}}(y) e^{-i k y}\right]_{0}^{\infty}+i k \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{\bar{v}}(y) e^{-i k y} d y \\
& =i k \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{\bar{v}}(y) e^{-i k y} d y \\
& =i k \mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, for $|k|>1$;

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k)\right| \leq \frac{\left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right)(k)\right|}{|k|},(\dagger)
$$

As $\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}$ is of moderate decrease, for any $\epsilon>0$, we can find $N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}} \in \mathcal{N}$ such that;

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right)(k)-\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}} \frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\epsilon(*)
$$

As $\left.\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right|_{0, N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}}$ is continuous and non-oscillatory, by the proof of Lemma 0.9 in [7], using underflow and quantifying over the nonstandard parameter space again, linked to the parameters $N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}$, we can find $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}\right\} \subset$ $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that, for all $|k|>D_{\epsilon}$, we have that;

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}} \frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\frac{E_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}}{|k|},(* *)
$$

Again, as in the proof of Lemma $0.15,\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}\right\}$ can be chosen uniformly in $\epsilon$. Then, from $(*),(* *)$, and the triangle inequality, we obtain that, for $|k|>D_{\epsilon}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right)(k)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right)(k)-\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}} \frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{N_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}} \frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& <\epsilon+\frac{E_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}}{|k|}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, as $\left\{D_{\epsilon}, E_{\epsilon, \bar{v}}\right\}$ were uniform and $\epsilon$ was arbitrary, we obtain that;
$\left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right)(k)\right|<\frac{E_{\overline{\bar{u}}}}{|k|}$, for $|k|>D$, independent of $\bar{v}$
and, from $(\dagger)$, for $|k|>D$, that;

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k)\right| \leq \frac{\left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f_{\overline{\bar{v}}}}{d \bar{x}}\right)(k)\right|}{|k|}<\frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{|k|^{2}}
$$

where the choice of $E_{\bar{v}}$ is the same as in the proof of Lemma 0.15 . For the second claim, the proof up to $(\dagger)$ is the same. After choosing $N \in \mathcal{N}$, we have that each $\left.\frac{d f_{\vec{v}}}{d x}\right|_{(0, N)}$ is non-oscillatory, and, moreover, there are at most $\frac{N}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}$ monotone intervals. As in ( $\left.* *\right)$, and inspection of the proof in [7], we get;

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{N} \frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x} e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\frac{E_{N}}{|k|}
$$

where $E_{N} \leq \frac{2 N C_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}$ and $C_{\bar{v}}=\max _{x \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}}\left|\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right|$.
Choosing $N>1$, as $\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}$ is of moderate decrease, we can assume that $\left|\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right| \leq \frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{x^{2}}$, for $x>N$. Then, using the proof in [7] again, and the definition of oscillatory, we have that, for sufficiently large $|k|$, independent of $\bar{v}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x} e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\left(\frac{2}{|k|} \sum_{y_{i, \bar{v}}>N} \frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{y_{i, \bar{v}}^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{2}{|k|} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z} \geq 0} \frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{\left(y_{i_{0}, \bar{v}}+n \delta_{\bar{v}}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2 D_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}|k|} \int_{y_{i_{0}}, \bar{w}}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x^{2}} \\
& =\frac{2 D_{\overline{\bar{v}}}}{\delta_{\bar{v} \mid}|k| y_{i_{0}}, \bar{v}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 D_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}|k| N}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y_{i_{0}, \bar{v}} \geq N$ and $y_{i_{0}, \bar{v}} \leq y_{i, \bar{v}}$, for all $y_{i, \bar{v}} \geq N$. It follows that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right)(k)\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{N} \frac{d f}{d x} e^{-i k y} d y+\int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x} e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{0}^{N} \frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x} e^{-i k y} d y\right|+\left|\int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x} e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& \leq \frac{E_{N}}{|k|}+\frac{2 D_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}|k| N} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{|k|}\left(\frac{N C_{\bar{u}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}+\frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}} N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows, using $(\dagger)$, that;

$$
\left|\mathcal{G}\left(f_{\bar{v}}\right)(k)\right| \leq \frac{\left|\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{d f_{\bar{v}}}{d x}\right)(k)\right|}{|k|}<\frac{E_{\bar{v}}}{|k|^{2}}
$$

where $E_{\bar{v}}=2\left(\frac{N C_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}+\frac{D_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}} N}\right)$
As in Lemma 0.15, we can choose $E_{\bar{v}}$ as in the final claim of the two parts.

For the final claim, the modification for the first part is the same. In the second part, choose $N \geq n_{\bar{v}}$, rather than $N>1$ in the proof, then, taking $N=n_{\bar{v}}$, we obtain $E_{\bar{v}}=2\left(\frac{n_{\bar{v}} C_{\bar{v}}}{\delta_{\bar{v}}}+\frac{D_{\overline{\bar{v}}}}{n_{\bar{v} \delta_{\bar{v}}}}\right)$

Lemma 0.17. For fixed $\bar{l} \in \mathcal{R}^{3}, t \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, we have that the polar representation of $e^{i(k-l) c t}, \bar{k} \in \mathcal{R}^{3}, k=|\bar{k}|, l=|\bar{l}|$, is given by;

$$
e^{-i l c t} e^{i r c t}
$$

$$
\text { for } r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}, 0 \leq \theta<\pi,-\pi \leq \phi \leq \pi
$$

Moreover, the real and imaginary parts of $e^{-i l c t} e^{i r c t}$ are oscillatory, with spacings;

$$
\delta_{\text {real }, \bar{l}}=\delta_{\text {real }, \bar{l}}=\frac{\pi}{c t}
$$

If $f$ is non-oscillatory, analytic, of moderate decrease, with $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \ln (f)^{\prime \prime}(r)=$ 0 , then $f \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i l c t} e^{i r c t}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{-i l c t} e^{i r c t}\right)$ are oscillatory, with a fixed lower bound $\delta$ on the spacing, independent of $\bar{l}$.

Proof. The first claim is clear. We have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i l c t} e^{i r c t}\right)=\cos ((r-l) c t) \\
& \operatorname{Im}\left(e^{-i l c t} e^{i r c t}\right)=\sin ((r-l) c t)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that the maxima of $\cos ((r-l) c t)$ occur when $\sin ((r-l) c t)=$ 0 and $-\cos ((r-l) c t)<0$, so when $r=l+\frac{\pi}{2 c t}+\frac{2 n \pi}{c t}$, for $n \in \mathcal{Z}$. The minima of $\cos ((r-l) c t)$ occur when $\sin ((r-l) c t)=0$ and $\cos ((r-l) c t)<0$, so when $r=l+\frac{\pi}{2 c t}+\frac{(2 n+1) \pi}{c t}$, for $n \in \mathcal{Z}$. It follows that $\cos ((r-l) c t)$ is monotone in the intervals $\left[l+\frac{\pi}{2 c t}+\frac{2 n \pi}{c t}, l+\frac{\pi}{2 c t}+\frac{(2 n+1) \pi}{c t}\right]$, for $n \in \mathcal{Z}$, and the spacing is given by;

$$
\left(l+\frac{\pi}{2 c t}+\frac{(2 n+1) \pi}{c t}\right)-\left(l+\frac{\pi}{2 c t}+\frac{2 n \pi}{c t}\right)=\frac{\pi}{c t}
$$

A similar calculation follows for $\sin ((r-l) c t)$. For the final claim, we have that;

$$
\begin{align*}
& (f \cos ((r-l) c t))^{\prime}=0 \\
& \text { iff } f^{\prime} \cos ((r-l) c t)-f \sin ((r-l) c t)=0 \\
& \text { iff } \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}=\tan ((r-l) c t)(\dagger)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $G(r, \overline{( }))=\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}-\tan ((r-l) c t)$, then, we have that, for $\bar{l} \neq 0$, the differential;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d G=\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_{1}}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_{2}}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda_{3}}\right) \\
& =\left(\ln (f)^{\prime \prime}-c t \sec ^{2}((r-1) c t), \frac{\lambda_{1} c t}{\lambda} \sec ^{2}((r-1) c t), \frac{\lambda_{2} c t}{\lambda} \sec ^{2}((r-1) c t)\right. \\
& \left., \frac{\lambda_{3} c t}{\lambda} \sec ^{2}((r-1) c t)\right) \neq 0(C)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that;

$$
\left|\frac{\partial \tan ((r-l) c t)}{\partial r}\right|=\left|c t \sec ^{2}((r-l) c t)\right| \geq c t
$$

With the assumption that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \ln (f)^{\prime \prime}(r)=0$, we have that that there exists $L \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that $\left\lvert\, \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right. \|_{(L, \infty)}<c t$. It follows that the spacing between solutions to $(\dagger)$ in $(L, \infty)$ is at least $\frac{\pi}{2 c t}$. We have that, for $\bar{l} \neq \overline{0},\left.\left(f^{\prime} \cos ((r-l) c t)-f \sin ((r-l) c t)\right)\right|_{(0, L)}$ is analytic, so, for fixed $\bar{l} \neq \overline{0}$, there exist finitely many solutions to $(\dagger)$ in ( $0, L]$. Let;

$$
\delta_{L}=\inf \left(\delta_{\bar{l}, L}: \bar{l} \neq \overline{0}\right)
$$

where $\delta_{\bar{l}}$ is the spacing between solutions to $(\dagger)$ on $(0, L]$, for fixed $\bar{l}$. Then, if $\delta_{L}=0$, we would have obtain a branch point in the zero set of $G(r, \overline{( }))$, contradicting $(C)$. It follows that $\delta_{L}>0$. Let $\delta=$ $\min \left(\delta_{L}, \frac{\pi}{2 c t}\right)$, then as $\left.f \cos ((r-l) c t)\right|_{y_{i}, y_{i+1}}$ is monotone, for $i \in \mathcal{Z}$, where $y_{i}$ is a solution to $(\dagger)$, we have that $f \cos ((r-l) c t)$ is oscillatory with a lower bound on the spacing given by $\delta>0$, independent of $\bar{l}$. A similar calculation hods for $f \sin (r-l) c t$.

Lemma 0.18. For fixed $\bar{l} \in \mathcal{R}^{3}, t \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, we have that the polar representation of $e^{i(k-l) c t}, \bar{k} \in \mathcal{R}^{3}, k=|\bar{k}|, l=|\bar{l}|$, is given by;

$$
e^{i r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}, r \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}, 0 \leq \theta<\pi,-\pi \leq \phi \leq \pi
$$

where;

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})=1
$$

SOME ARGUMENTS FOR THE WAVE EQUATION IN QUANTUM THEORY 5: NO RADIATION OF LIGHB uniformly in $\{\theta, \phi\}$. Moreover, for $\theta \neq \cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{3}}{l}\right), \phi \neq \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{2}}{l_{1}}\right)$, the real and imaginary parts of $e^{i r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}$ are oscillatory.

If $f$ is non-oscillatory, analytic, of moderate decrease, with $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \ln (f)^{\prime \prime}(x)=$ 0 then $f \cos (\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))$ and $f \sin (r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))$ are oscillatory, for $\theta \neq \cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{3}}{l}\right), \phi \neq \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{2}}{l_{1}}\right)$.

Proof. Making the substitution, $k_{1}=r \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), k_{2}=r \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi)$, $k_{3}=r \cos (\theta)$, we obtain;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{i(k-l) c t}=e^{i\left[\left(r \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi)-l_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(r \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi)-l_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(r \cos (\theta)-l_{3}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} c t} \\
& =e^{i\left(r^{2}-\left(2 l_{1} \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi)+2 l_{2} \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi)+2 l_{3} \cos (\theta)\right)+l^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} c t} \\
& =e^{i r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}
\end{aligned}
$$

where;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})=\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\left(2 l_{1} \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi)+2 l_{2} \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi)+2 l_{3} \cos (\theta)\right)+\right. \\
& \left.\frac{l^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear, as $\left|2 l_{1} \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi)+2 l_{2} \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi)+2 l_{3} \cos (\theta)\right| \leq 2\left(\left|l_{1}\right|+\right.$ $\left.\left|l_{2}\right|+\left|l_{3}\right|\right)$, that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})=1$, uniformly in $\{\theta, \phi\}$. For the next claim, we show that $\cos (r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))$ is oscillatory, leaving the other case to the reader. We have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \cos (r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))}{\partial r}=0 \\
& \text { iff }-\sin (r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))\left(\operatorname{ct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})+r c t \frac{\partial \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}{\partial r}\right)=0 \\
& \text { iff } \sin (r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))=0 \text { or } \operatorname{ct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})+r c t \frac{\partial \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}{\partial r}=0 \\
& \text { iff } r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})=\frac{\pi}{2}+n \pi,(n \in \mathcal{Z}) \\
& \text { or } \operatorname{ct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})+\frac{r c t}{2 \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}} \gamma(\theta, \phi, \bar{l})-\frac{2 l^{2}}{r^{3}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where;

$$
\gamma(\theta, \phi, \bar{l})=2 l_{1} \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi)+2 l_{2} \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi)+2 l_{3} \cos (\theta)
$$

We have;

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})+\frac{r c t}{2 \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}} \gamma(\theta, \phi, \bar{l})-\frac{2 l^{2}}{r^{3}}\right)\right]=c t \neq 0
$$

so that, by continuity, the zeros of;

$$
c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})+\frac{r c t}{2 \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}} \gamma(\theta, \phi, \bar{l})-\frac{2 l^{2}}{r^{3}}\right)
$$

are located in a compact interval $[0, K]$, for some $K \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$. With the assumption on $\{\theta, \phi\}$, we have that;

$$
\operatorname{ct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})+\frac{r c t}{2 \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}} \gamma(\theta, \phi, \bar{l})-\frac{2 l^{2}}{r^{3}}\right)
$$

is analytic, so it can only have a finite number of zeros located in the interval $[0, K],(*)$. We have that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})=\infty$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})=c t l$, so, by the intermediate value theorem, we can find an infinite number of solutions to $\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})=\frac{\pi}{2}+n \pi$, $n \in \mathcal{Z}$, located in $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$. As;

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left[c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})+\frac{r c t}{2 \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}} \gamma(\theta, \phi, \bar{l})-\frac{2 l^{2}}{r^{3}}\right)\right]=c t
$$

and;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left[c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})+\frac{r c t}{2 \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}} \gamma(\theta, \phi, \bar{l})-\frac{2 l^{2}}{r^{3}}\right)\right] \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}{\partial r} \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial c t|\bar{k}(r, \theta, \phi)-\bar{l}|}{\partial r}
\end{aligned}
$$

is finite, we have that $\frac{\partial r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}{\partial r}$ is bounded by $M \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ on $\mathcal{R}_{>0}$.
Using the mean value theorem, if $r_{n}$ is a solution to $\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})=$ $\frac{\pi}{2}+n \pi$, and $r_{m}$ is a solution to $\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})=\frac{\pi}{2}+m \pi$, then
$\left|r_{n}-r_{m}\right| \geq \frac{\left|\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+n \pi\right)-\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+n \pi\right)\right|}{M}$
$=\frac{|(n-m)| \pi}{M}$
$\geq \frac{\pi}{M},(n \neq m)$
By the observation (*), and the fact that;
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$$
\left[\operatorname{ct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})+\frac{r c t}{2 \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}} \gamma(\theta, \phi, \bar{l})-\frac{2 l^{2}}{r^{3}}\right)\right]
$$

is monotone on $(K, \infty)$, there can be at most a finite number $\left\{n_{i_{1}}, \ldots, n_{i_{p}}\right\}$ for which there exist multiple solutions $r_{n, n_{i_{j}}} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ to $\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})=$ $\frac{\pi}{2}+n_{i} \pi$. Let $Z$ denote the $\left\{r_{i}: i \in \mathcal{N}\right\}$ for which there exists a solution to $\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})=\frac{\pi}{2}+n \pi, n \in \mathcal{Z}$, and $Z_{0}$ the finite set consisting of solutions to $\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})=\frac{\pi}{2}+n_{i_{j}} \pi, 1 \leq j \leq$ $p$ and the zeros on $[0, K]$, corresponding to $(*)$. Ordering $Z \cup Z_{0}$ as a set $\left\{r_{i}: i \in \mathcal{N}\right\}$, it is clear that $\left.\cos (\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))\right|_{\left(r_{i}, r_{i+1}\right)}$ is monotone. Choosing $\delta=\min \left(\frac{\pi}{M}, d\left(Z \backslash Z_{0}, Z_{0}\right), \operatorname{Sep}\left(Z_{0}\right)\right)>0$, where $\operatorname{Sep}\left(Z_{0}\right)=\min \left(d\left(r, r^{\prime}\right):\left\{r, r^{\prime}\right\} \subset Z_{0}, r \neq r^{\prime}\right)$, we obtain the result that $\cos (\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))$ is oscillatory.

For the final claim, we can, without loss of generality, assume that there exists $L \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ for which $\left.f\right|_{(L, \infty)}$ is monotone decreasing and $\left.f\right|_{(L, \infty)}>0$. Then, by the product rule, we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (f \cos (r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})))^{\prime}=0 \\
& \text { iff } \left.f^{\prime} \cos (r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))\right)-f \sin (r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))(\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))^{\prime}=0 \\
& \text { iff } \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}=\tan (r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))(r \operatorname{ct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))^{\prime}(\dagger)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}(\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))^{\prime}=c t$, in particularly, we can assume that $(\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))^{\prime}>0$ in $(L, \infty)$, so that $\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})$ is increasing in $(L, \infty)$. By the hypotheses, $\left.\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right|_{(L, \infty)}<0$, so that for a solution $r_{1}$ to $(\dagger)$ in $(L, \infty)$, we must have that $\tan \left(r_{1} \operatorname{ct\nu }\left(r_{1}, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}\right)\right)<0$, $(* * * * *)$. Moreover, by the assumption;

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \ln (f)^{\prime \prime}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{\prime}(x)=0(* * *)
$$

As $\tan ^{\prime}(x) \geq 1$, for $x \in \mathcal{R}$, and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}(\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))^{\prime}=c t$, by the chain rule, we can assume that $\left|\frac{\partial(\tan (r \operatorname{ct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})))}{\partial r}\right| \geq \frac{c t}{2}$, in $(L, \infty)$, $(* * * *)$. Combining, $(* * *),(* * * *),(* * * * *)$, it follows that for $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ solving $(\dagger)$ in $(L, \infty)$, the separation $\left|r_{2}-r_{1}\right| \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$. By the assumptions, we have that $f \cos (\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))$ is analytic on $[0, L+1)$, so that $(f \cos (\operatorname{rct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})))^{\prime}$ is analytic on $[0, L+1)$. It follows there can only be finitely many solutions to $(\dagger)$ in $(0, L)$, and, therefore, similarly to the above, $f \cos (r \operatorname{ct\nu }(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))$ is oscillatory. The argument for $f \sin (r c t \nu(r, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}))$ is similar and left to the reader.

Lemma 0.19. With notation as in Lemmas 0.18 and 0.7, if;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)=\alpha(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)=\frac{i P_{1,1}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)+\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{(\operatorname{Rsin}(\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l})}\right) \times\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(\bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right)\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) \sin (\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

and;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta(\bar{k}, \bar{l}, t)=\beta(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)=\frac{-i Q_{0,0}}{2 \pi^{2}}\left[\left(\bar{b}_{11, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)+\frac{\bar{b}_{12, \bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi)}{|(R \sin (\theta) \cos (\phi), R \sin (\theta) \sin (\phi), R \cos (\theta))-\bar{l}|}\right) \times\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(\bar{d}_{11}^{\prime}(\bar{l})+\frac{\bar{d}_{12}^{\prime}(\bar{l})}{l}\right)\right] \cdot \bar{u}_{\bar{l}}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})\right] \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) \sin (\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

then;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)=\alpha_{1}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)=e^{-i l c t} \alpha_{1}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i R c t \nu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})} \\
& \beta(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)=\beta_{1}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) \mu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)=e^{-i l c t} \beta_{1}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t) e^{i R c t \nu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}
\end{aligned}
$$

For fixed $\bar{l} \neq \overline{0}$ and $\theta \neq \cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{3}}{l}\right), \phi \neq \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{2}}{l_{1}}\right)$, if the real and imaginary components of $e^{-i l c t} \alpha_{1}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 0.18, then the real and imaginary components of $\alpha$ are oscillatory. Similarly, if the real and imaginary components of;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left\{e^{-i l c t} \beta_{1}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t), e^{-i l c t} R \frac{\partial \beta_{1}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)}{\partial R}, i c t e^{-i l c t} R \beta_{1}(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)(\nu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})+\right. \\
& \left.\left.R \frac{\partial \nu(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l})}{\partial R}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfy the conditions of Lemma 0.18, then the real and imaginary components of $\frac{\partial R \beta(R, \theta, \phi, \bar{l}, t)}{\partial R}$ are oscillatory.

Proof. We have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)=\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i l c t} \alpha_{1} e^{i R c t \nu}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i l c t} \alpha_{1} \cos (\operatorname{Rct} \nu)\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(i e^{-i l c t} \alpha_{1} \sin (\operatorname{Rct} \nu)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i l c t} \alpha_{1}\right) \cos (\operatorname{Rct} \nu)+\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{-i l c t} \alpha_{1}\right) \sin (\operatorname{Rct} \nu) \\
& \operatorname{Im}(\alpha)=\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{-i l c t} \alpha_{1} e^{i R c t \nu}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{-i l c t} \alpha_{1} \cos (R c t \nu)\right)+\operatorname{Im}\left(i e^{-i l c t} \alpha_{1} \sin (R c t \nu)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{-i l c t} \alpha_{1}\right) \cos (\operatorname{Rct} \nu)+\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i l c t} \alpha_{1}\right) \sin (R c t \nu)
\end{aligned}
$$ so the first claim, follows from Lemma 0.18 .

We also have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\partial(R \beta)}{\partial R}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\partial\left(\operatorname{Re}^{-i l c t} \beta_{1} e^{i R c t \nu}\right)}{\partial R}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i l c t} \beta_{1} e^{i R c t \nu}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(R \frac{\partial\left(e^{-i l c t} \beta_{1} e^{i R c t \nu}\right)}{\partial R}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i l c t} \beta_{1} e^{i R c t \nu}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i l c t} R \frac{\partial \beta_{1}}{R} e^{i R c t \nu}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(i c t e^{-i l c t} R \beta_{1}\left(\nu+R \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial R}\right) e^{i R c t \nu}\right) \\
& \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\partial(R \beta)}{\partial R}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\partial\left(R e^{-i l c t} \beta_{1} e^{i R c t \nu}\right)}{\partial R}\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i l c t} \beta_{1} e^{i R c t \nu}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(R \frac{\partial\left(e^{-i l c t} \beta_{1} e^{i R c t \nu}\right)}{\partial R}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{-i l c t} \beta_{1} e^{i R c t \nu}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i l c t} R \frac{\partial \beta_{1}}{R} e^{i R c t \nu}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(i c t e^{-i l c t} R \beta_{1}\left(\nu+R \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial R}\right) e^{i R c t \nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the second claim follows, using the previous calculation and Lemma 0.18.

Definition 0.20. We say that $f \in C(\mathcal{R} \backslash\{0\})$ is of moderate decrease if there exists a constant $D \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ with $|f(x)| \leq \frac{D}{|x|^{2}}$ for $|x|>1$. We say that $f \in C(\mathcal{R} \backslash\{0\})$ is of very moderate decrease if there exists a constant $D \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ with $|f(x)| \leq \frac{D}{|x|}$ for $|x|>1$. We say that $f \in C(\mathcal{R} \backslash\{0\})$ is non-oscillatory if there are finitely many points $\left\{y_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}$ for which $\left.f\right|_{\left(y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right)}$ is monotone, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $\left.f\right|_{\left(-\infty, y_{1}\right)}$ and $\left.f\right|_{\left(y_{n}, \infty\right)}$ is monotone. We say that $f \in C(\mathcal{R} \backslash\{0\})$ is symmetrically asymptotic if $f$ and $\frac{d f}{d x}$ are of moderate decrease, $\frac{d f}{d x}$ is non-oscillatory, $\left\{f, \frac{d f}{d x}\right\} \subset L^{1}((-\epsilon, \epsilon))$, and for $\epsilon>0$;

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0-} f(y)=\lim _{y \rightarrow 0+} f(y)=M
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0-\frac{d f}{d x}}(y)=-\lim _{y \rightarrow 0+\frac{d f}{d x}}(y)=L \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L \in\{+\infty,-\infty\}, M \in \mathcal{R}$. We say that $f \in C(\mathcal{R} \backslash\{0\})$ is light symmetrically asymptotic if $f$ and $\frac{d f}{d x}$ are of very moderate decrease, $f$ and $\frac{d f}{d x}$ are non-oscillatory, $\left\{f, \frac{d f}{d x}\right\} \subset L^{1}((-\epsilon, \epsilon))$, and for $\epsilon>0$, the condition (*) holds.

Lemma 0.21. Let $f$ be symmetrically asymptotic, then we have that, for any $\delta>0$, there exist constants $\left\{C_{\delta}, D_{\delta}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that;

$$
|\mathcal{F}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{\delta}{|k|}+\frac{C_{\delta}}{|k|^{2}}, \text { for }|k|>D_{\delta}
$$

Proof. As $f$ is symmetrically asymptotic, we have that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0-} f(x)=$ $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} f(x)=M$, where $M \in \mathcal{R}$. In either case, we can apply integration by parts, to obtain ( $\dagger$ ) in Lemma 0.11 . The step ( $*$ ) follows from the fact that $\frac{d f}{d x}$ is of moderate decrease. As $\frac{d f}{d x}$ is non-oscillatory, we can find $x_{0}<0<x_{1}$, with $\left.\frac{d f}{d x}\right|_{x_{0}, 0}$ and $\left.\frac{d f}{d x}\right|_{0, x_{0}}$ monotone. In particular, for any $\delta>0$, we can find $x_{0}<y_{0}<0<y_{1}<x_{1}$ such that $\int_{\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)}\left|\frac{d f}{d x}(y)\right| d y<\delta\left((2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ and $\frac{d f}{d x}\left(y_{0}\right)=L_{1,0} \frac{d f}{d x}\left(y_{1}=L_{2,0}\right.$, with $\left\{L_{1,0}, L_{2,0}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}$. Then;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-N_{\epsilon}}^{N_{\epsilon}} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\left(-N_{\epsilon}, y_{0}\right) \cup\left(y_{1}, N_{\epsilon}\right)} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)}\left|\frac{d f}{d x}(y)\right| d y \\
& <\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, by the proof of Lemma 0.9 in [7], using underflow, we can find $\left\{D_{\epsilon, y_{0}, y_{1}}, E_{\epsilon, y_{0}, y_{1}}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, such that, for all $|k|>D_{\epsilon, y_{0}, y_{1}}$, we have that;

$$
\left|\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\left(-N_{\epsilon}, y_{0}\right) \cup\left(y_{1}, N_{\epsilon}\right)} \frac{d f}{d x}(y) e^{-i k y} d y\right|<\frac{E_{\epsilon, y_{0}, y_{1}}}{|k|},(* *)
$$

It is easy to see from the proof, that $\left\{D_{\epsilon, y_{0}, y_{1}}, E_{\epsilon, y_{0}, y_{1}}\right\}$ can be chosen uniformly in $\epsilon$, so that using the triangle inequality again, we obtain;

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)\right| \leq \epsilon+\delta+\frac{E_{\epsilon, y_{0}, y_{1}}}{|k|}
$$

for $|k|>D_{\epsilon, y_{0}, y_{1}}$
As $\epsilon$ was arbitrary, and $E_{\epsilon, y_{0}, y_{1}}$ is uniform in $\epsilon$, we obtain that;
$\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{d f}{d x}\right)(k)\right| \leq \delta+\frac{E_{y_{0}, y_{1}}}{|k|}$
for $|k|>D_{y_{0}, y_{1}}$.
so that, using $(\dagger)$ again;

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\mathcal{F}(f)(k)| \leq \frac{\delta}{|k|}+\frac{E_{y_{0}, y_{1}}}{|k|^{2}}, \\
& =\frac{\delta}{|k|}+\frac{C_{\delta}}{|k|^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\text { for }|k|>D_{\delta} \text {, where } C_{\delta}=E_{y_{0}, y_{1}} \text { and } D_{\delta}=D_{y_{0}, y_{1}} \text {. }
$$

Lemma 0.22. There exists a unique fundamental solution $(\bar{E}, \overline{0})$, with $\bar{E}$ decaying in the sense of [8], for given $(\rho, \bar{J})$, not vacuum. Without any decay condition, the difference $\bar{E}-\bar{E}^{\prime}$ of two such solutions $\left\{\bar{E}, \bar{E}^{\prime}\right\}$, is either $\overline{0}$ or static and unbounded with $\nabla \cdot \bar{E}=0$ and $\nabla \times \bar{E}=\overline{0}$, $(*)$, with the possibility $(*)$ being satisfiable. If $\left(\bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right)$ is a solution to Maxwell's equation in vacuum, then we cannot have that $\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}=\overline{0}$.

Proof. Suppose there exist two fundamental solutions $(\bar{E}, \overline{0})$ and $\left(\bar{E}^{\prime}, \overline{0}\right)$, then $\left(0, \overline{0}, \bar{E}-\bar{E}^{\prime}, \overline{0}\right)$ is a solution to Maxwell's equations in vacuum. It follows from Maxwell's fourth equation, that;

$$
\frac{\partial\left(\bar{E}-\bar{E}^{\prime}\right)}{\partial t}=\overline{0}
$$

and, from the relations in Lemma 4.1 of [9], that;

$$
\square^{2}\left(\bar{E}-\bar{E}^{\prime}\right)=\nabla^{2}\left(\bar{E}-\bar{E}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

By the decaying condition and properties of harmonic functions, we have that $\bar{E}-\bar{E}^{\prime}=\overline{0}$, so that $\bar{E}=\bar{E}^{\prime}$. Without the decay condition, we must have that $\bar{E}-\bar{E}^{\prime}$ is unbounded or $\bar{E}-\bar{E}^{\prime}=\overline{0}$, and from Maxwell's first and second equations, we must have that $\nabla \cdot \bar{E}=0$ and $\nabla \times \bar{E}=\overline{0}$ as well. The satisfiable claim follows from the fact that we can construct a solution $\left(0, \overline{0}, \bar{E}_{0}, \overline{0}\right)$ to Maxwell's equations in free space, by the requirements that;
(i). $\nabla \cdot \bar{E}_{0}=0$
(ii). $\frac{\partial \bar{E}_{0}}{\partial t}=\overline{0}$
(iii). $\nabla \times \bar{E}_{0}=\overline{0}$

It is possible to satisfy the requirements $(i),(i i i)$, for a function $\bar{f}: \mathcal{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, so that we can define $\bar{E}_{0}(\bar{x}, t)=\bar{f}(\bar{x})$ to satisfy the conditions $(i),(i i),(i i i)$. For the last claim, suppose that $\bar{E}+\bar{E}_{0}=\overline{0}$, then $\bar{E}=-\bar{E}_{0}$ and we have that, by Maxwell's equations, and $\left(\bar{E}_{0}, \bar{B}_{0}\right)$ a vacuum solution;

$$
\nabla \cdot \bar{E}=-\nabla \cdot \bar{E}_{0}=\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_{0}}=0
$$

so that $\rho=0$. Using the fact that $\nabla(\rho)+\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial \bar{J}}{\partial t}=\overline{0}$ and $\square^{2} \bar{J}=\overline{0}$, we have that $\frac{\partial \bar{J}}{\partial t}=\overline{0}$ and $\nabla^{2} \bar{J}=\overline{0}$, so that, as $\bar{J} \in S\left(\mathcal{R}^{3}\right)$, we must have that $\bar{J}=\overline{0}$ and $(\rho, \bar{J})$ is a vacuum solution, contradicting the hypotheses.
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