## RATE LAWS AND COLLISION THEORY

TRISTRAM DE PIRO

## Abstract.

We begin with the reaction rate formula, given in [5];

$$
\xi^{\prime}(0)=\frac{\alpha_{1} Q(T, P)\left(\operatorname{grad}(Q)(T, P) \cdot \gamma_{12}^{\prime}(0)\right)}{\operatorname{grad}(Q)(T, P) \cdot \gamma_{12}^{\prime}(0)-c Q(T, P)}(*)
$$

where;

$$
\alpha_{1}=\frac{\beta^{c+1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{c} n_{i}}, \beta=\sum_{i=1}^{c} n_{i}
$$

$c$ is the number of substances, $n_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq c$ are the molar amounts, $Q$ is the equilibrium coefficient and $\gamma$ is the reaction path, with $\gamma_{12}(0)=(T, P)$. As we do in the paper [5], we can write $\gamma_{12}^{\prime}(0)=\lambda(\cos (\theta), \sin (\theta))$, and we noted that $\xi^{\prime}(0)$ is monotonic in $\lambda$, so we can assume that $\lambda$ is large. Then;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi^{\prime}(0)=\frac{\alpha_{1} \lambda Q(T, P)(\operatorname{grad}(Q)(T, P) \cdot(\cos (\theta), \sin (\theta)))}{\lambda \operatorname{grad}(Q)(T, P) \cdot(\cos (\theta), \sin \theta)-c Q(T, P)} \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{1} Q(T, P)(\operatorname{grad}(Q)(T, P) \cdot(\cos (\theta), \sin (\theta)))}{\operatorname{grad}(Q)(T, P) \cdot(\cos (\theta), \sin \theta)-\frac{c Q(T, P)}{\lambda}} \\
& \simeq \frac{\alpha_{1} Q(T, P)(\operatorname{grad}(Q)(T, P) \cdot(\cos (\theta), \sin (\theta)))}{\operatorname{grad}(Q)(T, P) \cdot(\cos (\theta), \sin \theta)} \\
& =\alpha_{1} Q(T, P)(* *)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can expand (**) as;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi^{\prime}(0)=Q(T, P) \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{c} n_{i}\right)^{c+1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{c} n_{i}} \\
& =\frac{Q(T, P)}{\prod_{i=1}^{c} n_{i}}\left(\sum_{i_{1}+\ldots i_{j}+\ldots i_{c}=c+1} C_{i_{1}}^{c+1} C_{i_{2}}^{c+1-i_{1}} \ldots C_{i_{j+1}}^{c+1-i_{1}-\ldots i_{j}} \ldots C_{i_{c}}^{c+1-i_{1}-\ldots-i_{c-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{c} n_{j}^{i_{j}}\right) \\
& =\frac{Q(T, P)}{\prod_{i=1}^{c} n_{i}}\left(\sum_{i_{1}+\ldots i_{j}+\ldots i_{c}=c+1} \frac{(c+1)!}{i_{1}!\ldots i_{j}!\ldots i_{c}!} \prod_{j=1}^{c} n_{j}^{i_{j}}\right) \\
& =Q(T, P)\left(\sum_{i_{1}+\ldots i_{j}+\ldots i_{c}=c+1} \frac{(c+1)!}{i_{1}!\ldots i_{j}!\ldots i_{c}!} \prod_{j=1}^{c} n_{j}^{i_{j}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =Q(T, P)\left(\sum_{\mu_{1}+\ldots \mu_{j}+\ldots \mu_{c}=1, \mu_{j} \geq-1} \frac{(c+1)!}{\left(\mu_{1}+1\right)!\ldots\left(\mu_{j}+1\right)!\ldots\left(\mu_{c}+1\right)!} \prod_{j=1}^{c} n_{j}^{\mu_{j}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mu_{1}+\ldots \mu_{j}+\ldots \mu_{c}=1, \mu_{j} \geq-1} k_{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{j}, \ldots \mu_{c}}(T, P) \prod_{j=1}^{c} n_{j}^{\mu_{j}}(* * *)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q(T, P)$ is the equilibrium constant and;

$$
k_{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{j}, \ldots \mu_{c}}(T, P)=\frac{(c+1)!Q(T, P)}{\left(\mu_{1}+1\right)!\ldots\left(\mu_{j}+1\right)!\ldots\left(\mu_{c}+1\right)!}
$$

For ideal and dilute solutions, we obtained in [5], the explicit formula for $Q(T, P)$;

$$
Q(T, P)=e^{\frac{\epsilon \ln \left(\frac{P}{P \mathrm{o}}\right)-\epsilon(T, P)}{R T}}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a constant and $\epsilon(T, P)$ is an error term. If we denote the molar activation energy by $E_{a}=\epsilon(T, P)-\epsilon \ln \left(\frac{P}{P^{\circ}}\right)$, so that;

$$
Q(T, P)=e^{\frac{-E_{a}}{R T}}
$$

Then $(* * *)$ includes the Arrhenius relation in the rate constant and provides a general rate law. We want to recover a version of this formula using collision theory, based on probability, rather than thermodynamics. We consider an elementary reaction involving two substances, which we model as ideal gases, by allowing the motion of molecules to be random. We use the work in [6] as a basis for the definitions. We start with a 1-dimensional model, generalising to 3dimensions later.

Definition 0.1. Let $\eta \in{ }^{*} \mathcal{N} \backslash \mathcal{N}$, be infinite and odd, and let $\nu=\frac{\eta^{2}}{2}$, $\nu \in{ }^{*} \mathcal{Q}_{\geq 0} \backslash \mathcal{Q}$. We let;

$$
\overline{\Omega_{\eta}}=\left\{x \in{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}: 0 \leq x<1\right\}
$$

with the nonstandard measure $\mu_{\eta}$, defined by $\mu_{\eta}\left(\left[\frac{i}{\eta}, \frac{i+1}{\eta}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{\eta}$, for $0 \leq i \leq \eta-1$. We let $L\left(\mu_{\eta}\right)$ be the corresponding Loeb measure.

$$
\text { Let }{\bar{\Omega} \eta_{\text {even }}}=\left\{\frac{i}{\eta}: 0 \leq i \leq \eta-1, i \text { even }\right\}
$$

with the corresponding counting measure $\mu_{\eta}$, defined by $\mu_{\eta}\left(\frac{i}{\eta}\right)=\frac{1}{\eta}$, for $0 \leq i \leq \eta-1$, $i$ even, nd Loeb measure $L\left(\mu_{\eta}\right)$.
$\bar{\Omega}_{\eta_{o d d}}=\left\{\frac{i}{\eta}: 0 \leq i \leq \eta-1, i\right.$ odd $\}$
with the corresponding counting measure $\mu_{\eta}$, defined by $\mu_{\eta}\left(\frac{i}{\eta}\right)=\frac{1}{\eta}$, for $0 \leq i \leq \eta-1$, $i$ odd, and Loeb measure $L\left(\mu_{\eta}\right)$. We let;
$\overline{\mathcal{T}_{\nu}}=\left\{t \in{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}\right\}$
with counting measure $\mu_{\nu}$ and corresponding Loeb measure $L\left(\mu_{\nu}\right)$.
$\bar{\Omega}_{\kappa}=\left\{\left(s_{i}\right): 1 \leq i \leq \kappa, s_{i}=1\right.$ or -1$\}$
so that ${ }^{*} \operatorname{Card}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\kappa}\right)=2^{\kappa}$, with corresponding counting measure $\mu_{\kappa}$, $\mu_{\kappa}(s)=\frac{1}{2^{\kappa}}$, and Loeb measure $L\left(\mu_{\kappa}\right)$, We let; $\omega_{i}: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \rightarrow\{1,-1\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$, be defined by;
$\omega_{i}(s)=s_{i}$
We let;
$\overline{\mathcal{T}_{\nu, \kappa}}=\left\{t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}_{\nu}}: 0 \leq[\nu t] \leq \kappa\right\}$
We let $\chi: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \times \overline{\mathcal{T}_{\nu, \kappa}} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_{\eta}$, be defined by;
$\chi(s, t)=\frac{1}{\eta}\left({ }^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{[\nu t]} \omega_{j}(s)\right) \bmod [0,1), 1 \leq[\nu t] \leq \kappa$
$\chi(s, 0)=0$
with corresponding ${ }^{\circ} \chi(s, t)=\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\left({ }^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{[\nu t]} \omega_{j}(s)\right) \bmod [0,1]\right)^{\circ}$
We let $\bar{\chi}_{\text {even }}:{\overline{\Omega_{\eta}}}_{\text {even }} \times \overline{\Omega_{\kappa}} \times \overline{\mathcal{T}_{\nu, \kappa}} \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_{\eta}}$ be defined by;
$\bar{\chi}_{\text {even }}(x, s, t)=x+2 \chi(s, t) \bmod [0,1)$
with corresponding ${ }^{\circ} \bar{\chi}_{\text {even }}=(x+2 \chi(s, t) \bmod [0,1))^{\circ}$
We let $\bar{\chi}_{\text {odd }}: \bar{\Omega}_{\eta_{o d d}} \times \overline{\Omega_{\kappa}} \times \overline{\mathcal{T}_{\nu, \kappa}} \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_{\eta}}$ be defined by;
$\bar{\chi}_{\text {odd }}(x, s, t)=x+2 \chi(s, t) \bmod [0,1)$
with corresponding ${ }^{\circ} \bar{\chi}_{\text {even }}=(x+2 \chi(s, t) \bmod [0,1))^{\circ}$
We define the hitting pairing time $T:{\overline{\Omega_{\eta}}}_{\text {even }} \times \overline{\Omega_{\kappa}} \times{\overline{\Omega_{\eta}}}_{\text {odd }} \times \overline{\Omega_{\kappa}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}_{\nu, \kappa}}$ by;

$$
\left.T\left(x, s_{1}, y, s_{2}\right)=\mu^{\circ t}\left({ }^{\circ} \bar{\chi}_{\text {even }}\left(x, s_{1}, t\right)={ }^{\circ} \bar{\chi}_{\text {odd }}\left(y, s_{2}, t\right)\right),{ }^{1}\right)
$$

We extend the measure $\mu_{\kappa}$ to $\bar{\Omega}_{\kappa}^{2}$, by letting $\mu_{\kappa}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{2 \kappa}}$. We denote by $L\left(\mu_{\kappa}\right)$ again the corresponding Loeb measure.

We let $\chi_{\text {ext }, 1}: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa}^{2} \times \overline{\mathcal{T}_{\nu, \kappa}} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_{\eta}$, be defined by;
$\chi_{e x t, 1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, t\right)=\frac{1}{\eta}\left({ }^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{[\nu t]} \omega_{j}\left(s_{1}\right)\right), 1 \leq[\nu t] \leq \kappa$
$\chi_{e x t, 1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, 0\right)=0$
We let $\chi_{e x t, 2}: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa}^{2} \times \overline{\mathcal{T}_{\nu, \kappa}} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_{\eta}$, be defined by;
$\chi_{e x t, 2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, t\right)=\frac{1}{\eta}\left({ }^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{[\nu t]} \omega_{j}\left(s_{2}\right)\right), 1 \leq[\nu t] \leq \kappa$
$\chi_{e x t, 2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, 0\right)=0$
with corresponding ${ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1}$ and ${ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 2}$.

Lemma 0.2. For $\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\} \subset{ }^{*} \mathcal{T}_{\nu, \kappa}$, the random variables $\chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t_{1}}$ and $\chi_{\text {ext }, 2, t_{2}}$ are $*$-independent, and the random variables ${ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t_{1}}$ and ${ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 2, t_{2}}$ are independent. The processes ${ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t}$ and ${ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 2, t}$ are rescaled Brownian motion by a factor of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. The process $B_{t}={ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 2, t}$ is Brownian motion.

Proof. Choose $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \subset{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}$, then;

$$
\mu_{\kappa}\left(\left\{\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right): \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}, \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{2}}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{2}\right\}\right)
$$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mu_{\kappa}\left(\left\{\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right): \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{1}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}, \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{2}\right\}\right) \\
& =\mu_{\kappa}\left(\left\{s_{1}: \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{1}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\right\}\right) \mu_{\kappa}\left(\left\{s_{2}: \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{2}\right\}\right) \\
& =\mu_{\kappa}\left(\left\{\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right): \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\right\}\right) \mu_{\kappa}\left(\left\{\left(s_{1} s_{2}\right): \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{2}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

For the second claim, choose $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \subset \mathcal{R}$, then;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(\mu_{\kappa}\right)\left(\left\{\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right):{ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{1},{ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 2, t_{2}}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{2}\right\}\right) \\
& =L\left(\mu_{\kappa}\right)\left(\left\{\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right):{ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{1}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}{ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{2}\right\}\right) \\
& =L\left(\mu_{\kappa}\right)\left(\left\{s_{1}:{ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{1}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\right\}\right) L\left(\mu_{\kappa}\right)\left(\left\{s_{2}:{ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{2}\right\}\right) \\
& =L\left(\mu_{\kappa}\right)\left(\left\{\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right):{ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\right\}\right) L\left(\mu_{\kappa}\right)\left(\left\{\left(s_{1} s_{2}\right):{ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t_{1}}\left(s_{2}\right) \leq\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\lambda_{2}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The next claim follows from the steps in Chapter 8 of [7], or using [1], noting that the additional factor is not required in the calculation, and using the fact that $\nu=\frac{\eta^{2}}{2}$. It follows that, for $t_{1}<t_{2}$, the increments ${ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{2}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{1}}$ and ${ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{2}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{1}}$ follow the normal distribution $N\left(0, \frac{t_{2}-t_{1}}{2}\right)$, with variance $\frac{t_{2}-t_{1}}{2}$. It also follows that, for $t_{1}<t_{2} \leq t_{3}<t_{4}$, the increments;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{2}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{1}} \text { and }{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{4}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{3}} \text { are independent } \\
& { }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{2}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{1}} \text { and }{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{4}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{3}} \text { are independent, }(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the last claim, follow the steps in Theorem 8.8 of [7]. (i) is clear. For (ii), we have, by the above, that the increments ${ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t_{2}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t_{1}}$ and ${ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{2}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{1}}$ are independent. In particular the difference of the increments $\left({ }^{\circ} \chi_{\text {ext }, 1, t_{2}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{1}}\right)-\left({ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{2}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{1}}\right)$ follows the normal distribution $N\left(0, t_{2}-t_{1}\right)$, with variance $t_{2}-t_{1}$, and so do the increments $B_{t_{2}}-B_{t_{1}}$. For (iii), we can combine $(A)$ with the argument in the second claim. Letting;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A={ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{2}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{1}}, B={ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{2}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{1}} \\
& C={ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{4}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 1, t_{3}}, D={ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{4}}-{ }^{\circ} \chi_{e x t, 2, t_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(A-B \leq x, C-D \leq y) \\
& =\int_{z_{1}} \int_{z_{2}} P\left(B=z_{1}, D=z_{2}, A \leq x+z_{1}, C \leq y+z_{2}\right) d z_{1} d z_{2} \\
& =\int_{z_{1}} \int_{z_{2}} P\left(B=z_{1}, D=z_{2}\right) P\left(A \leq x+z_{1}, C \leq y+z_{2}\right) d z_{1} d z_{2} \\
& =\int_{z_{1}} \int_{z_{2}} P\left(B=z_{1}\right) P\left(D=z_{2}\right) P\left(A \leq x+z_{1}\right) P\left(C \leq y+z_{2}\right) d z_{1} d z_{2} \\
& =\int_{z_{1}} P\left(B=z_{1}\right) P\left(A \leq x+z_{1}\right) d z_{1} \int_{z_{2}} P\left(D=z_{2}\right) P\left(C \leq y+z_{2}\right) d z_{2} \\
& =\int_{z_{1}} P\left(B=z_{1}, A \leq x+z_{1}\right) d z_{1} \int_{z_{2}} P\left(D=z_{2}, C \leq y+z_{2}\right) d z_{2} \\
& \left.=P(A-B \leq x) P(C-D \leq y),{ }^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that the increments $A-B$ and $C-D$ are independent.

Definition 0.3. For Brownian motion $\left\{B_{t}: t \in \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}\right\}$, we let $\tau$ be $a$ stopping time with two barriers $0<x<1$ and $x-1<0$, so that;
$\tau=\min \left\{t: B_{t}=x\right.$ or $\left.B_{t}=x-1\right\}$
We let $\tau_{1}$ be the stopping time for the barrier $x$;
$\tau_{1}=\min \left\{t: B_{t}=x\right\}$
$\tau_{2}$ the stopping time for the barrier $1-x$;
$\tau_{2}=\min \left\{t: B_{t}=1-x\right\}$
$\tau_{3}$ the stopping time for the barrier -1 ;
$\tau_{3}=\min \left\{t: B_{t}=-1\right\}$
$\tau_{4}$ the stopping time for the barrier 1;

[^1]$$
\tau_{4}=\min \left\{t: B_{t}=1\right\}
$$

Lemma 0.4. We have that the probability distribution of $\tau$ is given by;

$$
f_{\tau}(t)=\left[-\frac{x}{\sqrt{2 \pi t^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 t}\right)-\frac{1-x}{\sqrt{2 \pi t^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{(1-x)^{2}}{2 t}\right)\right] \int_{v=t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi(v-t)^{3}}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2(v-t)}\right) d v
$$

$\ldots$.. Use to calculate expected hitting time on probability space $\bar{\Omega}_{\eta}^{2} \times \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa}^{2}$ and mean free path from velocity distributions, applications to fusion.

Proof. The distributions of $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}$ and $\tau_{4}$ are well known, see [9];

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\tau_{1}}(t)=\frac{x}{\sqrt{2 \pi t^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 t}\right) \\
& f_{\tau_{2}}(t)=\frac{1-x}{\sqrt{2 \pi t^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{(1-x)^{2}}{2 t}\right) \\
& f_{\tau_{3}}(t)=f_{\tau_{4}}(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi t^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that, for $t_{1}<t_{2}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}, \tau_{2}=t_{2}\right)=P\left(\tau_{2}=t_{2} \mid \tau_{1}=t_{1}\right) P\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}\right) \\
& =P\left(\tau_{3}=t_{2}-t_{1}\right) P\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}\right) \\
& =\frac{x}{\sqrt{2 \pi t_{1}^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 t_{1}}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{3}}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $t_{1}>t_{2}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}, \tau_{2}=t_{2}\right)=P\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1} \mid \tau_{2}=t_{2}\right) P\left(\tau_{2}=t_{2}\right) \\
& =P\left(\tau_{4}=t_{1}-t_{2}\right) P\left(\tau_{2}=t_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1-x}{\sqrt{2 \pi t_{2}^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{(1-x)^{2}}{2 t_{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)^{3}}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as the increments $B_{t_{1}}$ and $B_{t-t_{1}}$ are independent.
It follows that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\tau>t)=P\left(\tau_{1}>t, \tau_{2}>t\right) \\
& =\int_{u=t}^{\infty} \int_{v=u}^{\infty} \frac{x}{\sqrt{2 \pi u^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 u}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi(v-u)^{3}}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2(v-u)}\right) d v d u
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\int_{v=t}^{\infty} \int_{u=v}^{\infty} \frac{1-x}{\sqrt{2 \pi v^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{(1-x)^{2}}{2 v}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi(u-v)^{3}}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2(u-v)}\right) d u d v
$$

and, using the FTC;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\tau}(t)=-\frac{d}{d t} P(\tau>t) \\
& =-\int_{v=t}^{\infty} \frac{x}{\sqrt{2 \pi t^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 t}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi(v-t)^{3}}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2(v-t)}\right) d v \\
& -\int_{u=t}^{\infty} \frac{1-x}{\sqrt{2 \pi t^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{(1-x)^{2}}{2 t}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi(u-t)^{3}}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2(u-t)}\right) d u \\
& =-\frac{x}{\sqrt{2 \pi t^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 t}\right) \int_{v=t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi(v-t)^{3}}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2(v-t)}\right) d v \\
& -\frac{1-x}{\sqrt{2 \pi t^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{(1-x)^{2}}{2 t}\right) \int_{v=t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi(v-t)^{3}}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2(v-t)}\right) d v \\
& =\left[-\frac{x}{\sqrt{2 \pi t^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 t}\right)-\frac{1-x}{\sqrt{2 \pi t^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{(1-x)^{2}}{2 t}\right)\right] \int_{v=t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi(v-t)^{3}}} \exp \left(\frac{-1}{2(v-t)}\right) d v
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 0.5. Let $\nu>0$ be infinite, $\{a, b\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{>0}, B: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \times \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu, \kappa} \rightarrow{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}$ be nonstandard Brownian motion;

$$
B(t, \omega)={\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} * \sum_{i=1}^{[\nu t]} \omega_{i}, ~}_{\text {in }}
$$

with stopping times;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{1}=\min _{t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu, \kappa}}\left\{B_{t}=\frac{[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right\} \\
& \tau_{2}=\min _{t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu, \kappa}}\left\{B_{t}=\frac{-[b \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right\} \\
& \tau_{3}=\min _{t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu, \kappa}}\left\{B_{t}=-\frac{[b \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}-\frac{[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right\} \\
& \text { then, if }\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu, \kappa}, \text { with } 0<t_{1}<t_{2} \\
& \mu_{\kappa}\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}, \tau_{2}=t_{2}\right)=\mu_{\kappa}\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}\right) \mu_{\kappa}\left(\tau_{3}=t_{2}-t_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}, \tau_{2}=t_{2}\right)=\left\{\omega: B_{t_{1}}(\omega)=\frac{[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}, B_{t}(\omega) \cap\left\{\frac{[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}},-\frac{[b \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right\}=\right. \\
& \left.\emptyset, 0 \leq t<t_{1}, B_{t}(\omega) \neq-\frac{[b \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}, t_{1}<t<t_{2}, B_{t_{2}}(\omega)=-\frac{[b \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right\}(*)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $p r_{1}: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_{\left[t_{1} v\right]}$ be the projection onto the first $\left[t_{1} \nu\right]$ coordinates, and define $X_{t_{1}} \subset \bar{\Omega}_{\left[t_{1} v\right]}$ by $\operatorname{pr}_{1}\left(\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}\right)\right)$. Clearly, we have that $\mu_{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}\left(X_{t_{1}}\right)=\mu_{\kappa}\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}\right)$. Let $p r_{2}: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}$ be the projection onto the first $\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-\left[t_{1} \nu\right]$ coordinates, and define $X_{t_{1}, t_{2}} \subset \bar{\Omega}_{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}$ by $p r_{2}\left(\left(\tau_{3}=t_{2}-t_{1}\right)\right)$. Clearly, we have that $\mu_{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}\left(X_{t_{1}, t_{2}}\right)=\mu_{\kappa}\left(\tau_{3}=t_{2}-t_{1}\right)$. Let $p r_{3}: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}$ be the projection onto coordinates $\left[t_{1} \nu\right]+1$ to $\left[t_{2} \nu\right]$, then we have that, by (*);

$$
\omega \in\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}, \tau_{2}=t_{2}\right) \text { iff } p r_{1}(\omega) \in X_{t_{1}} \text { and } p r_{3}(\omega) \in X_{t_{1}, t_{2}}
$$

Let $p r_{4}: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}$ be the projection onto the first $\left[t_{2} \nu\right]$ coordinates, and let $X_{t_{2}}=p r_{4}\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}, \tau_{2}=t_{2}\right)$, then;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{\kappa}\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}, \tau_{2}=t_{2}\right)=\mu_{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}\left(X_{t_{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{{ }^{*} \operatorname{Card}\left(X_{t_{2}}\right)}{2^{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}} \\
& =\frac{{ }^{*} \operatorname{Card}\left(X_{t_{1}}\right){ }^{*} \operatorname{Card}\left(X_{t_{1}, t_{2}}\right)}{2^{\left[t_{1} \nu\right] 2^{\left.\left[t_{2} \nu\right]\right]-\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}}} \\
& =\mu_{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}\left(X_{t_{1}}\right) \mu_{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}\left(X_{t_{1}, t_{2}}\right) \\
& =\mu_{\kappa}\left(\tau_{1}=t_{1}\right) \mu_{\kappa}\left(\tau_{3}=t_{2}-t_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 0.6. Let $f: \mathcal{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, in the variables $(t, x)$ be analytic, such that, on a bounded region $V \subset \mathcal{R}^{2}$, all the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial^{i+j} f}{\partial x^{i} \partial t^{j}} \leq E_{V} i!j!$, for some $E_{V} \in \mathcal{R}$, with transfer $f^{*}:{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}^{2} \rightarrow{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}$, let $B: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \times \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu, \kappa} \rightarrow{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}$ be nonstandard Brownian motion, and let $g: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \times \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu, \kappa} \rightarrow{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}$ be defined by;

$$
g(t, \omega)=f^{*}\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)
$$

We define;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d g_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)=g\left(\omega, \frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}\right)-g\left(\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right) \\
& d t=\frac{1}{\nu} \\
& d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)=\frac{\omega_{[t \nu]+1}^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\nu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define the nonstandard derivatives;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{\partial f^{*}}{\partial t}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}=\left.\frac{\partial f^{*}}{\partial t}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)}=\nu\left(f^{*}\left(\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu \nu}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)-f^{*}\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu \nu}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)\right) \\
& \left.\frac{\partial f^{*}}{\partial B_{t}}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}=\left.\frac{\partial f^{*}}{\partial B_{t}}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)}=\nu\left(f^{*}\left([t \nu], B_{\frac{[t \nu]+1}{}}(\omega)\right)-f^{*}\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}\right)\right) \\
& \left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}=\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu \nu}{\nu}}(\omega)}=\left.\frac{\partial f^{*}}{\partial x}\right|_{\left(\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right)} \\
& \left.\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}=\left.\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)}=\left.\frac{\partial^{2} f^{*}}{\partial x^{2}}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define the filtration $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{\frac{i}{\nu}}: 0 \leq i \leq \kappa\right\}$ on $\bar{\Omega}_{\kappa}$ by letting $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{i}{\nu}}$ be generated as $a *-\sigma$ algebra by the basic sets;

$$
U_{\bar{k}_{i}}=\left\{\bar{\omega} \in \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa}:(\bar{\omega}(j))_{1 \leq j \leq i}=\bar{k}_{i}\right\}
$$

where $\bar{k}_{i}$ is a sequence of 1 's and -1 's of length $i$.
We say that a process $M: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \times \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu, \kappa} \rightarrow{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}$ is adapted to the filtrartion if $M_{t}$ is $*$-measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}$. We define internal integrals by;

For $t_{1}<t_{2}$;

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} M(t, \omega) d t=\int_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}} M(t, \omega) d t=\frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{i=\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}} M\left(\frac{i}{\nu}, \omega\right)
$$

For $t_{1}<t_{2}$;

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} M(t, \omega) d B_{t}=\int_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}} M(t, \omega) d B_{t}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} * \sum_{i=\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}} M\left(\frac{i}{\nu}, \omega\right) \omega_{i+1}
$$

If $M_{t}$ is adapted to the filtration, we define;

$$
E\left(M_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right)=E\left(M_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}} \left\lvert\, \mathcal{F}_{\frac{[s \nu]}{\nu}}\right.\right)
$$

to be the orthogonal projection of $M_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}$ onto the *-subspace of *measurable random variables with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{[s \nu]}{\nu}}$, see [8] for more details, so that;

$$
E\left(M_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{0}\right)=E\left(M_{t}\right)=\int_{\overline{\Omega_{\kappa}}} M_{t}(\omega) d \mu_{\kappa}(\omega)
$$

We define $M_{t}$ to be a nonstandard martingale if $E\left(M_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right)=M_{s}$
We define $M_{t}$ to be a quasi-nonstandard martingale, on $[0, \lambda]$ if for $0 \leq \frac{[s \nu]}{\nu} \leq \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu} \leq \frac{[\lambda \nu]}{\nu}$;
$E\left(M_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \simeq M_{s}$ and $\left|E\left(M_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right)-M_{s}\right| \leq \frac{C}{\nu^{\frac{1}{12}}}$
for some $C \in \mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 0.7. We have that;

$$
d g_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)=\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}+\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}\right) d t+\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\left.\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right)}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}+C_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)
$$

where $\left|C_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right| \leq \frac{C_{[t \nu]}^{\nu}, \omega}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ and $C_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ if $\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}$ and $B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)$ are finite.

There exist $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\} \subset{ }^{*} \mathcal{N}$ infinite, and $V_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}} \subset \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa}$, such that for $0 \leq \frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}<\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu} \leq \frac{\left[\lambda_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}$, with $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ finite, $\omega \in V_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$, we have that;
$g\left(\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega\right)-g\left(\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega\right) \simeq \int_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-1}{\nu}}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}+\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu \nu}{\nu}}\right) d t+\int_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-1}{\nu}}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\left.\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right)}\right) B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}$
and, moreover;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \nu^{-\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \text { with } \mu_{\kappa}\left(V_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right) \simeq 1 \text { and } \mu_{\kappa}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \backslash V_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

For $g_{t}$ constant on $\bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \backslash V_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$, fort finite, if $\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, t}+\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, t}\right)=$ 0 , for $0 \leq \frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu} \leq t \leq \frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu} \leq \lambda_{2}$, then;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|E\left(\left.g_{\frac{[t 2 \nu]}{\nu}}-g_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu \nu\right]}{\nu}}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\nu^{\frac{1}{12}}} \\
& \simeq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and $g_{t}$ is a quasi-nonstandard martingale on $[0, T]$, for $T$ finite.

Proof. We have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d g_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)=g\left(\omega, \frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}\right)-g\left(\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right) \\
& =f^{*}\left(\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)-f^{*}\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $f$ is analytic, for $\{t, x\} \subset \mathcal{R},\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}$, with $\max \left(\left|h_{1}\right|,\left|h_{2}\right|\right)<$ $\frac{1}{2}$, we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(t+h_{1}, x+h_{2}\right)=f(t, x)+\left.h_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right|_{t, x}+\left.h_{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right|_{t, x}+\left.\frac{h_{2}^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}\right|_{t, x} \\
& +\left.\sum_{(i, j): i \geq 1, j \geq 1} \frac{\partial^{i+j} f}{\partial t^{i} \partial x^{j}}\right|_{t, x} \frac{h_{h}^{i} h_{2}^{j}}{i!j!}+\left.\sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{\partial^{i} f}{\partial t^{i}}\right|_{t, x} \frac{h_{1}^{i}}{i!}+\left.\sum_{j \geq 3} \frac{\partial^{j} f}{\partial x^{j}}\right|_{t, x} \frac{h_{2}^{j}}{j!}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|f\left(t+h_{1}, x+h_{2}\right)-f(t, x)-h_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right|_{t, x}-h_{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right\rvert\, t t x \\
& \leq M_{t, x}\left|h_{1}\right|\left|h_{2}\right| \sum_{(i, j): i \geq 1, j \geq 1}\left|h_{1}\right|^{i-1}\left|h_{2}\right|^{j-1}+M_{t, x}\left|h_{1}\right|^{2} \sum_{i \geq 2}\left|h_{1}\right|^{i-2}+M_{t, x}\left|h_{2}\right|^{3} \sum_{i \geq 3}\left|h_{1}\right|^{i-3} \mid \\
& =M_{t, x}\left|h_{1}\right|\left|h_{2}\right| \sum_{(i, j): i \geq 0, j \geq 0}\left|h_{1}\right|^{i}\left|h_{2}\right|^{j}+\frac{M_{t, x}\left|h_{1}\right|^{2}}{1-\left|h_{1}\right|}+\frac{M_{t, x}\left|h_{2}\right|^{3}}{1-\left|h_{2}\right|} \\
& \leq M_{t, x}\left|h_{1}\right|\left|h_{2}\right| \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{\left|h_{1}\right|^{i}}{1-\left|h_{2}\right|}+2 M_{t, x}\left|h_{1}\right|^{2}+2 M_{t, x}\left|h_{2}\right|^{3} \\
& \leq \frac{M_{t, x}\left|h_{1}\right|\left|h_{2}\right|}{\left(1-\left|h_{1}\right|\right)\left(1-\left|h_{2}\right|\right)}+2 M_{t, x}\left|h_{1}\right|^{2}+2 M_{t, x}\left|h_{2}\right|^{3} \\
& \leq 4 M_{t, x}\left|h_{1}\right|\left|h_{2}\right|+2 M_{t, x}\left|h_{1}\right|^{2}+2 M_{t, x}\left|h_{2}\right|^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

By transfer, we obtain that, for $\{t, x\} \subset{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}, D \in{ }^{*} \mathcal{R},|(t, x)| \leq D$, $\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}\right\} \subset{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, with $\max \left(\left|h_{1}\right|,\left|h_{2}\right|\right)<\frac{1}{2}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|f^{*}\left(t+h_{1}, x+h_{2}\right)-f^{*}(t, x)-h_{1}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right|_{t, x}-\left.h_{2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^{*}\right|_{t, x}-\left.\frac{h_{2}^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{t, x} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq 4 M_{t, x}\left|h_{1}\right|\left|h_{2}\right|+2 M_{t, x}\left|h_{1}\right|^{2}+2 M_{t, x}\left|h_{2}\right|^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $M_{t, x} \leq M_{D}$, and $M_{D} \in \mathcal{R}$ if $(t, x)$ is finite, so that, with;

$$
h_{1}=\frac{1}{\nu}<\frac{1}{2}
$$

$$
h_{2}=B_{\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}}-B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}=\frac{\omega_{[t \nu]+1}^{\omega}}{\sqrt{\nu}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|h_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}<\frac{1}{2} \\
& h_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{\nu} \\
& h_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{\nu^{2}} \\
& \left\lvert\, h_{2}^{3} \leq \frac{1}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|f^{*}\left(\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)-f^{*}\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)-h_{1}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right|\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)-h_{2}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^{*} \right\rvert\,\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right) \\
& -\frac{h_{2}^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}\right)^{*}\left|\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)\right| \\
& \left.=\left|f^{*}\left(\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)-f^{*}\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)-\frac{1}{\nu}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right| \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{\omega_{[t \nu]+1}^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\nu}}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^{*}\left|\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)-\frac{1}{2 \nu}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu \nu}{\nu}}(\omega)\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& =\left\lvert\, f^{*}\left(\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)-f^{*}\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu \nu}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)-\left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}\right)^{*} \right\rvert\,\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)\right] d t-\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)^{*}\left|\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right| \\
& \left.\left.\leq 4 M_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)}\left|h_{1}\right|\left|h_{2}\right|+2 M_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu}{\nu}}^{\nu}} \right\rvert\, \omega\right)\left|h_{1}\right|^{2}+2 M_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)}\left|h_{2}\right|^{3} \\
& \leq \frac{4 M_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{[t \nu]}^{\nu}}(\omega)}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}}+\frac{2 M_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{[t \nu]}^{\nu}}(\omega)}{\nu^{2}}+\frac{2 M_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{[t \nu]}^{\nu}}(\omega)}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& \leq \frac{6 M_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{[t \nu]}^{\nu}}(\omega)}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $M_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)}$ finite, if $\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right)$ is finite.
For the second claim, we can use the result in [1], see also [7], that a.e $(V) L\left(\mu_{\kappa}\right)$, for $\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}$ finite, $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}$, the map $\left(\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega\right) \mapsto B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)$, $(\dagger)$ is near standard and finite. We can approximate $V$ by $V_{n}, n \in \mathcal{N}$, such that $V_{n}$ is $\mu_{\kappa}$ measurable, $V_{n} \subset V_{n+1} \subset V$, and $\mu_{\kappa}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \backslash V_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}$, then, as the map $(\dagger)$ is internal, $\left|\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right| \leq M_{n}$, with $M_{n} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$. By assumption, we can then assume that, for $(i, j) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2}, \omega \in V_{n}$, $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}$, with $t_{2}$ finite, $\frac{\stackrel{1}{ }^{*} \partial^{i+j} f}{\partial t^{i} \partial x^{j}} \leq R_{n} i!j$ !, for $|(t, x)| \leq M_{n}$, with $R_{n} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$. Then, using the previous result, for $\omega \in V_{n}, 0 \leq \frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu} \leq \frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega\right)-g\left(\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega\right)=* \sum_{i=\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-1}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}} d g_{\frac{i}{\nu}} \\
& =* \sum_{i=\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-1}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}}\left[\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{i}{\nu}}+\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{i}{\nu}}\right) d t+\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{i}{\nu}}\right) d B_{\frac{i}{\nu}}+C_{\frac{i}{\nu}}(\omega)\right] \\
& \simeq * \sum_{i=\frac{\left[t t_{1}\right]}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t t_{2} \nu\right]-1}{\nu}}\left[\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{i}{\nu}}+\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{i}{\nu}}\right) d t+\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{i}{\nu}}\right) d B_{\frac{i}{\nu}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

as for $t_{1}<t_{2}$ finite;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\right|^{*} \sum_{i=\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-1}{\left.\nu t_{1} \nu\right]}}^{\nu} \\
& \left.C_{\frac{i}{\nu}}(\omega) \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq\left[t_{2} \nu\right] \max _{0 \leq i \leq\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-1}\left|C_{\frac{i}{\nu}}(\omega)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{R_{n}\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& \leq \frac{\nu^{\frac{5}{4}}}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& =\nu^{-\frac{1}{4}} \\
& \simeq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{n}$ is the uniform bound in $M_{t, x}$ given above. Fixing $\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}$ finite, letting $n$ vary with $\mu_{\kappa}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \backslash V_{n, \frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}}\right)<\frac{1}{n}, \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \backslash V_{n, \frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}}$ decreasing, we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{n \in \mathcal{N}: \left\lvert\, g\left(\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega\right)-g\left(\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega\right)-\left(\int_{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-1}{\nu}}^{\nu}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}+\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\left.\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right)}\right) d t\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-1}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t_{1}\right.}{\nu}}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}\right) \left\lvert\, \leq \nu^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right., \text { for } \omega \in V_{n, \frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}}, 0 \leq \frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu} \leq \\
& \left.\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

contains $\mathcal{N}$, so by overflow, contains $\lambda_{1} \in{ }^{*} \mathcal{N}$ infinite, and we find $V_{\lambda_{1}, \frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}}$ with $\mu_{\kappa}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \backslash V_{\lambda_{1}, \frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}}\right)<\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}$, such that, for $\omega \in V_{\lambda_{1}, \frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}}$;

$$
\left\lvert\, g\left(\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega\right)-g\left(\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega\right)-\left(\int_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]^{\nu}}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu-1\right.}{\nu}}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}+\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\left.\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right) d t}\right) d t\right.\right.
$$

$$
+\int_{\frac{\left.\left[t_{2} \nu\right]\right]-1}{\nu}}^{\left.\left.\left.\frac{\left[\left(\frac{\partial f}{\nu}\right.\right.}{\partial B_{t}}\right)\left.^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}\right) \left\lvert\, \leq \nu^{-\frac{1}{4}}(X) .\right.}
$$

for $0 \leq \frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu} \leq \frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}$. We then have that, for $m \in \mathcal{N}$, the statement $(X)$ holds for $V_{\lambda_{1}, m}$, so that by overflow again, we can find $\lambda_{2} \in{ }^{*} \mathcal{N}$, such that $(X)$ holds for $V_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}$. In particular, $\mu_{\kappa}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \backslash V_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}\right)<\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \simeq 0$

For the final claim, if $\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, t}+\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, t}\right)=0$, for $\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu} \leq t \leq$ $\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu} \leq \frac{\left[\lambda_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}$, then, by the second claim, for $\omega \in V_{\lambda_{1}, \frac{\left[\lambda_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\frac{\left[t t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega\right)-g\left(\frac{\left[t t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega\right) \simeq \int_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]-1}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-1}{\nu}}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}+\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}\right) d t+\int_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu \nu\right]}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-1}{\nu}}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\left.\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right)}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}} \\
& =\int_{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]-1}{\nu}}^{\frac{\left[\nu^{\nu}\right.}{\nu}}
\end{aligned}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\left.\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right)}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(D) \quad .
$$

whereas, if $\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu} \leq t \leq \frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}$, with $t_{1}, t_{2}$ finite, as $g_{t}$ is constant, for $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \backslash V_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}} ;$

$$
g\left(\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega\right)-g\left(\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega\right)=0(C)
$$

It follows, using the method of [8], Lemma 0.13 , and $(C),(D)$;
$E\left(\left.g_{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}}-g_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}}\right)$
$\simeq E\left(\left.\int_{\frac{\left[t_{t} \nu\right] \mid-1}{\nu}}^{\nu}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{\frac{\left[t t^{\prime} \nu\right]}{\nu}}\right)$
$=0$
with $\left|E\left(\left.g_{\frac{\left[t_{2} \nu\right]}{\nu}}-g_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{\frac{\left[t_{1} \nu\right]}{\nu}}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\nu^{\frac{1}{4}}} \leq \frac{1}{\nu^{\frac{1}{12}}}$
and, for $0<s<t$ finite;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(g_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right)=E\left(g_{t}-g_{s}+g_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \\
& \simeq E\left(g_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \\
& =g_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\left|E\left(g_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right)-g_{s}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\nu^{\frac{1}{14}}}$
so that $g_{t}$ is a quasi-nonstandard martingale on $[0, T]$, for $T$ finite.

Lemma 0.8. Let $B_{t}$ be nonstandard Brownian motion, then if $x=$ $k \sqrt{\nu}$, where $0 \leq k \leq[t \nu]$;

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\left|B_{t}\right| \geq \frac{k}{\nu}\right) \leq 2^{*} \exp \left(\frac{-k^{2}}{2[t \nu]}\right)
$$

In particularly, $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\left|B_{t}\right| \geq x\right) \leq 2^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 t}\right)$.

Proof. For $n$ finite, with $X_{n, t}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{[t n]} \omega_{i}$, we have that, for $0 \leq k \leq$ [tn];

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{n, t} \geq \frac{k}{\sqrt{n}}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{n, t}^{\prime} \geq k\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{X^{\prime}+1}{2} \geq \frac{k+1}{2}\right) \\
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{n, t} \leq \frac{-k}{\sqrt{n}}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{n, t}^{\prime} \leq-k\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{X^{\prime}+1}{2} \leq \frac{-k+1}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{[t n]} \omega_{i}$ and $\frac{X^{\prime}+1}{2}$ follows the Binomial distribution with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ and $[t n]$ trials. We have tht $E\left(\frac{X^{\prime}+1}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$, so, by Hoeffding's inequality;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{X^{\prime}+1}{2}\right) \geq \frac{k+1}{2} \leq e^{\frac{-k^{2}}{2[t n]}} \\
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(\frac{X^{\prime}+1}{2}\right) \leq \frac{-k+1}{2} \leq e^{\frac{-k^{2}}{2[t n]}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { so that } \operatorname{Pr}\left(\left|X_{n, t}\right| \geq \frac{k}{\sqrt{n}}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{n, t}^{\prime} \geq k\right)+\operatorname{Pr}\left(X_{n, t}^{\prime} \leq-k\right) \leq 2 e^{\frac{-k^{2}}{2[t n]}}
$$

The result is uniform in $n \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, so transfers to the case where $\nu \in{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, and gives the first result. Then substituting, we have that ${ }^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{2[t \nu]}\right)={ }^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2} \nu}{2[t \nu]}\right) \leq{ }^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{x^{2}}{2 t}\right)$, which gives the second result.

Lemma 0.9. Let $f_{\lambda}(x, t)=e^{\alpha x-\frac{\alpha^{2} t}{2}}$, where $\alpha=\sqrt{2 i \lambda}$, for the principal root, $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}$, then;
$\left|f_{\lambda}(x, t)\right| \leq e^{\sqrt{|\lambda||x|}}$, for the positive square root.
and, similarly, for $\lambda \neq 0$;
$\frac{\left\lvert\, \frac{\partial^{i+j} f_{\lambda}}{\partial x^{i} \partial j^{j} \mid}\right.}{i!j!} \leq \max \left(1, e^{6|\lambda| \ln (|2 \lambda|)} e^{\sqrt{|\lambda| \mid}|x|}\right)$, uniformly in $(i, j) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2}$
for $\lambda=0$;
$\frac{\left\lvert\, \frac{\partial^{i+j} f_{\lambda}}{\partial x^{i} \partial t j}\right.}{i!j!} \leq 1$, uniformly in $(i, j) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2}$

Proof. For the first claim, we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f_{\lambda}(x, t)\right|=\left|e^{\sqrt{2 i \lambda} x-i \lambda t}\right| \\
& =\left|e^{\sqrt{2 i \lambda} x}\right| \\
& =\left|e^{\sqrt{2 \lambda} x\left(\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)+i \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right)}\right|,(\lambda \geq 0) \\
& =\left|e^{\sqrt{2 \lambda} x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}\right| \\
& =e^{\lambda x} \\
& \leq e^{\lambda|x|} \\
& \left|f_{\lambda}(x, t)\right|=\left|e^{\sqrt{-2 \lambda} x\left(\cos \left(\frac{3 \pi}{4}\right)+i \sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{4}\right)\right)}\right|,(\lambda \leq 0) \\
& =\left|e^{\sqrt{-2 \lambda} x \cos \left(\frac{3 \pi}{4}\right)}\right| \\
& =\left|e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{-2 \lambda} x}\right| \\
& =\left|e^{-\sqrt{-\lambda} x}\right| \\
& \leq e^{\sqrt{-\lambda}|x|}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second claim, using the first part;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\partial^{i+j} f_{\lambda}}{i!j!\partial x_{i} \partial t_{j}}\right|=\frac{\left|\alpha^{i}(-1)^{j} \alpha^{j}\right|\left|f_{\lambda}(x, t)\right|}{i!j!} \\
& \leq \frac{|\alpha|^{i+j} e \sqrt{|\lambda||x|}}{i!j!} \\
& \leq \frac{|2 \lambda|^{\frac{i+j}{2}}}{i!j!} e^{\sqrt{|\lambda||x|}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that, for $i \geq 6|\lambda|, j \geq 6|\lambda|, i!\geq|2 \lambda|^{\frac{i}{2}}, j!\geq|2 \lambda|^{\frac{j}{2}}$, so that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{\partial^{i+j} f_{\lambda}}{i!j!\partial x_{i} \partial t_{j}}\right| \leq \max \left(1, \max _{1 \leq i, j \leq 6|\lambda|} \frac{|2 \lambda| \frac{i+j}{2}}{i!j!} e^{\sqrt{|\lambda||x|}}\right. \\
& \leq \max \left(1,|2 \lambda|^{\frac{6|\lambda|+6|\lambda|}{2}}\right) e^{\sqrt{|\lambda||x|}} \\
& \leq \max \left(1,|2 \lambda|^{6|\lambda|}\right) e^{\sqrt{|\lambda||x|}} \\
& =\max \left(1, e^{6|\lambda| \ln (|2 \lambda|)}\right) e^{\sqrt{|\lambda||x|}}(\lambda \neq 0) \\
& \left|\frac{\partial^{i+j} f_{\lambda}}{i!j!\partial x_{i} \partial t_{j}}\right| \leq 1,(\lambda=0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 0.10. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{\neq 0}$ fixed, we can obtain infinite $x_{0}$ and $t_{0}$, such that for $|x| \leq x_{0}, 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$;
(i). $\frac{e^{6|\lambda|\lfloor n(|2 \lambda|) *} \exp (\sqrt{|\lambda| \mid} x \mid)[t \nu]}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \simeq 0$
(ii). ${ }^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{x_{0}^{2}}{2 t_{0}}\right) \simeq 0$

Proof. Let $t_{0}=\log ^{*}(\nu), x_{0}=\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}$, then, for $|x| \leq x_{0}$;
$\left.\right|^{*} \exp (\sqrt{|\lambda|}|x|)\left|\leq\left|{ }^{*} \exp \left(\sqrt{|\lambda|} x_{0}\right)\right|\right.$
$=\left|{ }^{*} \exp \left(\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3}\right)\right|$
$=\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}$
so that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{{ }^{*} \exp (\sqrt{|\lambda|}|x|)[t \nu]}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \frac{\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}[t \nu]}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& \leq \frac{\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}\left[\log ^{*}(\nu) \nu\right]}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& \leq \frac{\nu^{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\log ^{*}(\nu \nu) \nu+1\right)}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& =\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{\nu^{\frac{1}{6}}}+\frac{1}{\nu^{\frac{1}{6}}} \\
& \simeq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and, as $e^{6|\lambda| \ln (|2 \lambda|)}$ is finite, we have that;

$$
\frac{e^{6|\lambda|[n(|2 \lambda|) *} \exp (\sqrt{|\lambda||x|)[t \nu]}}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \simeq 0
$$

which gives $(i)$. For ( $i i$ ), we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{x_{0}^{2}}{2 t_{0}}\right)={ }^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)^{2}}{2 \log ^{*}(\nu)}}{9}\right) \\
& ={ }^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{18|\lambda|}\right) \\
& =\nu^{-\frac{1}{18|\lambda|}} \\
& \simeq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 0.11. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{\neq 0}$, we define stopped nonstandard Brownian motion $\overline{B_{t, \lambda}}: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \times \mathcal{T}_{\nu, \kappa} \rightarrow{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}$ by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{B_{t, \lambda}}(\omega)=B_{t}(\omega) \text {, if } \max _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t}\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right| \leq \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}} \\
& \overline{B_{t, \lambda}}(\omega)=\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}} \text {, if } \max _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t}\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right|>\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}} \\
& \text { and for } \min _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t}\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right|>\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}, B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)>\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}} \\
& \overline{B_{t, \lambda}}(\omega)=-\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}} \text {, if } \max _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t}\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right|>\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{\sqrt[3]{|\lambda|}} \\
& \text { and for } \min _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t}\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right|>\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}, B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)<-\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 0.12. For $0 \leq t \leq{ }^{*} \log (v)$, we have that;

$$
\mu_{\kappa}\left(\max _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t}\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right|>\frac{* \log (\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right) \simeq 0
$$

Proof. We have, using Lemma 0.8 and the reflection principle for random walks, see [9], that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{\kappa}\left(\max _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t}\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right|>\frac{{ }^{\log (\nu)}}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right) \\
& \leq \mu_{\kappa}\left(\max _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t} B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)>\frac{* \log (\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right)+\mu_{\kappa}\left(\min _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t} B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)<-\frac{* \log (\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <2 \mu_{\kappa}\left(B_{t}(\omega)>\frac{* \log (\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right)+2 \mu_{\kappa}\left(B_{t}(\omega)<-\frac{* \log (\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right) \\
& =2 \mu_{\kappa}\left(\left|B_{t}(\omega)\right|>\frac{* \log (\nu)}{\sqrt[3]{|\lambda|}}\right) \\
& \leq 2 \mu_{\kappa}\left(\left|B_{t}(\omega)\right|>\frac{[* \log (\nu) \sqrt{\nu]}}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|} \nu}\right) \\
& \leq 4^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(\frac{\left(\tilde{*}^{\log (\nu) \sqrt{\nu \mid}}\right.}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda| \nu})^{2}}\right.}{2 t}\right) \\
& \leq 4^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(\frac{{ }^{\log (\nu) \sqrt{\nu}-1}}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda| \nu})^{2}}\right.}{2 t}\right) \\
& =4^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(\frac{{ }^{*} \log (\nu)-2}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right)^{2}}{2 t}\right) \\
& \leq 4^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(\frac{{ }^{*} \log (\nu)-2}{3} \sqrt{|\lambda|}\right)^{2}}{2^{*} \log (\nu)}\right) \\
& =4^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{* \log (\nu)^{2}-4^{*} \log (\nu)+4}{18|\lambda|{ }^{*} \log (\nu)}\right) \\
& =4^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{{ }^{*} \log (\nu)+4-\frac{4}{{ }^{\operatorname{Tlog}(\nu)}}}{18|\lambda|}\right) \\
& \leq 8 \nu^{\frac{-1}{18|\lambda|} *} \exp \left(\frac{4}{18|\lambda|}\right) \\
& \simeq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 0.13. If $X_{t}: \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \rightarrow{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}$ is a $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-measurable random variable, with $X_{t} \simeq 0$, then, for $0 \leq s \leq t, E\left(X_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \simeq 0$ as well.
Proof. For $n \in \mathcal{N}$, we have that $\left|X_{t}\right|<\frac{1}{n}$, so that by Jensen's inequality and monotonicity, we have;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|E\left(X_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right)\right| \leq E\left(\left|X_{t}\right| \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \\
& <E\left(\left.\frac{1}{n} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} E\left(1 \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $n \in \mathcal{N}$ was arbitrary, we obtain that $E\left(X_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \simeq 0$.

Definition 0.14. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$, we define $M_{\alpha, t}={ }^{*} \exp \left(\alpha B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}-\frac{\alpha^{2}[t \nu]}{2 \nu}\right)$. For $\alpha=\sqrt{2 i \lambda}$, we define the stopped process $\bar{M}_{\alpha, t}$ by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{M}_{\alpha, t}(\omega)=M_{\alpha, t}(\omega) \text {, if } \max _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t \mid}\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right| \leq \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}} \\
& \bar{M}_{\alpha, t}(\omega)={ }^{*} \exp \left(\alpha \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}-\frac{\alpha^{2}\left[t^{\prime} \nu\right]}{2 \nu}\right) \text {, if } \max _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t}\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right|>\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}} \\
& \text { and for } \min _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t}\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right|>\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}, B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)>\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}} \\
& \bar{M}_{\alpha, t}(\omega)={ }^{*} \exp \left(-\alpha \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{\sqrt[3]{|\lambda|}}-\frac{\alpha^{2}\left[t^{\prime} \nu\right]}{2 \nu}\right) \text {, if } \max _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t}\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right|>\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}} \\
& \text { and for } \min _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t}\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right|>\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}, B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)<-\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 0.15. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}, \alpha=\sqrt{2 i \lambda}, \bar{M}_{\alpha, t}$ is a quasi-nonstandard martingale.

Proof. Let $U_{t} \subset \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa}$ be defined by;

$$
U_{t}=\left\{\omega: \max _{0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq t} B_{t^{\prime}} \leq \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right\}
$$

$V \subset \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa} \times \mathcal{T}_{\nu, \kappa}$ be defined by;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\left\{(t, \omega): 0 \leq t \leq{ }^{*} \log (\nu), \omega \in U_{t}\right\} \\
& V^{c}=\left\{(t, \omega): 0 \leq t \leq{ }^{*} \log (\nu), \omega \notin U_{t}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa}$, let;

$$
t_{\omega}=\min _{t^{\prime}, 0 \leq t^{\prime} \leq *} \log (\nu)\left(\left|B_{t^{\prime}}(\omega)\right|>\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right)-\frac{1}{\nu}
$$

be the partial function, so that $\omega \in U_{t_{\omega}}$ but $\omega \notin U_{t_{\omega}+\frac{1}{\nu}}$. Let $V^{*} \subset V$ be defined by;
$V^{*}=\left\{\left(t_{\omega}, \omega\right): \omega \in \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa}, t_{\omega}\right.$ defined $\}$
Then, for $(t, \omega) \in V^{c}$, we have that $\left.d \bar{M}_{\alpha, t}\right|_{[t \nu], \omega} ^{\nu}=0$. For $(t, \omega) \in$ $V \backslash V^{*}$, , by the definition of $V$ and $V^{*}$, the process $\bar{M}_{\alpha, t}$ agrees with $M_{\alpha, t}$ at $(t, \omega)$ and $\left(t+\frac{1}{\nu}, \omega\right)$. We have that, letting $f(t, x)=$ $\exp \left(\alpha x-\frac{\alpha^{2} t}{2}\right)$;

$$
\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{*}\right|_{(t, \omega)}+\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{(t, \omega)}=0\right.
$$

so, following the proof of Lemma 0.7 and using Lemma 0.9;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.d \bar{M}_{\alpha, t}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}=\left.d M_{\alpha, t}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}} \\
& =\left(\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{\left.\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}}+\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial B_{t}^{2}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}\right) d t+\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}+C_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega) \\
& =\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}+C_{\frac{[t \nu \nu}{\nu}}(\omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

where;

$$
\left|C_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}(\omega)\right| \leq \frac{e^{6|\lambda| \ln (|2 \lambda|) *} \exp (\sqrt{|\lambda|}|x|)}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}},|x| \leq \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}} .
$$

For $(t, \omega) \in V^{*}$, we have that, using Lemma 0.9 again;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|d \bar{M}_{\alpha, t}\right|_{\omega, \left.\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu} \right\rvert\,}\left|=\left|\bar{M}_{\alpha, t}\right| \frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}, \omega\right. \\
& =\left.\right|^{*} \exp \left(\alpha \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}-\frac{\alpha^{2}[t \nu]+1}{2 \nu}\right)-{ }^{*} \exp \left(\alpha \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega{ }^{\left.\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}-\frac{c}{\sqrt{\nu}}-\frac{\alpha^{2}[t \nu]}{2 \nu}\right) \mid}\right. \\
& \left.=\left.\right|^{*} \exp \left(\alpha \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right)^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha^{2}[t \nu]}{2 \nu}\right)| |^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \nu}\right)-{ }^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{c}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& \left.=\left.\right|^{*} \exp \left(\sqrt{2 i \lambda} \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right)^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{i \lambda[t \nu]}{\nu}\right)| |^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{i \lambda}{\nu}\right)-{ }^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{c}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& \left.=\left.\right|^{*} \exp \left(\sqrt{2 i \lambda} \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right)| | 1-\frac{i \lambda}{\nu}+O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{2}}\right)-1+\frac{c}{\sqrt{\nu}}-O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq * \exp \left(\sqrt{|\lambda|} \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right) \frac{G}{\sqrt{\nu}} \\
& =\frac{G \sigma^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{\nu}} \\
& \simeq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

with $B_{\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}}(\omega)>\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}, 0<c \leq 1, G \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$
and;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|d \bar{M}_{\alpha, t}\right| \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega
\end{aligned}\left|=\left|\bar{M}_{\alpha, t}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}, \omega}-\bar{M}_{\alpha, t}\right| \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega\left|,{ }^{*} \exp \left(-\alpha \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}-\frac{\alpha^{2}[t \nu]+1}{2 \nu}\right)-{ }^{*} \exp \left(-\alpha \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}+\frac{c}{\sqrt{\nu}}-\frac{\alpha^{2}[t \nu]}{2 \nu}\right)\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.=\left.\left|{ }^{*} \exp \left(-\alpha \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right)^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha^{2}[t \nu]}{2 \nu}\right)\right|\right|^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \nu}\right)-{ }^{*} \exp \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& \left.=\left.\right|^{*} \exp \left(-\sqrt{2 i \lambda} \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right)^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{i \lambda[t \nu]}{\nu}\right)| |^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{i \lambda}{\nu}\right)-{ }^{*} \exp \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& \left.=\left.\right|^{*} \exp \left(-\sqrt{2 i \lambda} \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}\right)| | 1-\frac{i \lambda}{\nu}+O\left(\frac{1}{\nu^{2}}\right)-1-\frac{c}{\sqrt{\nu}}-O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right) \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq^{*} \exp \left(\sqrt{|\lambda|} \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}} \frac{G}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right. \\
& =\frac{G \nu^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{\nu}} \\
& \simeq 0(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $B_{\frac{[t \nu]+1}{\nu}}(\omega)<-\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|}}, 0<c \leq 1, G \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$
It follows, using the proof of Lemma 0.7 again, that, for $0 \leq t \leq^{*}$ $\log (\nu)$;

$$
\bar{M}_{\alpha, t}-\bar{M}_{\alpha, 0}=\int_{0}^{\left(t \wedge t_{\omega}\right)-\frac{1}{\nu}}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}+\epsilon(\omega, t)+\delta(\omega, t)(C)
$$

where, using Lemma $0.10(i),(A)$, and the fact that $t \leq^{*} \log (\nu)$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\epsilon(\omega, t)| \leq \frac{e^{6|\lambda| \ln (|2 \lambda|) *} \exp \left(\sqrt{|\lambda| \frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|})}[t \nu]}\right.}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& \simeq 0 \\
& |\delta(\omega, t)| \leq \frac{G \nu^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{\nu}} \\
& \simeq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that $t_{\omega}=\tau-\frac{1}{\nu}$, where $\tau$ is the stopping time for the barrier $\frac{\log ^{*}(\nu)}{\sqrt[3]{|\lambda|}}$, so that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{0}^{\left(t \wedge t_{\omega}\right)-\frac{1}{\nu}}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}-\int_{0}^{(t \wedge \tau)}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{0}^{\left(t \wedge t_{\omega}\right)-\frac{1}{\nu}}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}-\int_{0}^{\left(t \wedge t_{\omega}\right)}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\left.\frac{[t \nu], \omega}{\nu}\right)}\right) d B_{\left.\frac{\left[\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right.}{} \right\rvert\,}+\left|\int_{0}^{\left(t \wedge t_{\omega}\right)}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}-\int_{0}^{(t \wedge \tau)}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]]}{\nu}, \omega}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu \nu}{\nu}}\right|\right. \\
& =\left|\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{\left[\left(t \wedge t_{\omega}\right) \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega} d B_{\frac{[(t \wedge t \omega) \nu]}{\nu}}\left|+\left|\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[\tau \nu]}{\nu}, \omega} d B_{\left.\frac{[t \nu \nu}{\nu} \right\rvert\,}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.=\left|\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{\left(\left(t \wedge t_{\omega}\right) \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega} d B_{\frac{\left.\left[\left(t \wedge t_{\omega}\right) \nu\right]\right]}{\nu}} \right\rvert\, \\
& \left.\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}\left|\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{\left[\left(t \wedge t_{\omega}\right) \nu\right]}{\nu}, \omega} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha \nu \nu^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{\nu}} \\
& \simeq 0(B)
\end{aligned}
$$

By proofs in [8], we have that $\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}\right) d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}$ is a nonstandard martingale, and by Lemma $0.16, \int_{0}^{(t \wedge \tau)}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\left.\omega, \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right)} d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}\right.$ is a nonstandard martingale as well. It follows from $(B)$ and Lemma 0.13, that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\left.\int_{0}^{\left(t \wedge t_{\omega}\right)-\frac{1}{\nu}}\left(\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega}\right) d B_{\frac{\mid t \nu]}{\nu}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \\
& \simeq E\left(\left.\left.\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{\mid t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega} d B_{\left.\frac{[t \nu \nu}{\nu} \right\rvert\,} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \\
& =\left.\int_{0}^{s \wedge \tau}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega} d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}} \\
& \left.\simeq \int_{0}^{\left(s \wedge t_{\omega}\right)-\frac{1}{\nu}}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial B_{t}}\right)^{*}\right|_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}, \omega} d B_{\frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and from $(C)$ and Lemma 0.13 again, that;

$$
E\left(\bar{M}_{\alpha, t}-\bar{M}_{\alpha, 0} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \simeq \bar{M}_{\alpha, s}-\bar{M}_{\alpha, 0}
$$

As $\bar{M}_{\alpha, 0}=1$, we obtain that;

$$
E\left(\bar{M}_{\alpha, t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right) \simeq M_{\alpha, s}
$$

as well. By the proof, using the explicit inequality in Lemma 0.13 , we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|E\left(\bar{M}_{\alpha, t}-\bar{M}_{\alpha, 0} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right)-\left(\bar{M}_{\alpha, s}-\bar{M}_{\alpha, 0}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2 G \nu^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{\nu}}+\frac{2 \alpha \nu^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\nu}}}+\frac{2 e^{6|\lambda| l \ln (|2 \lambda|) *} \exp \left(\sqrt{|\lambda|} \frac{\log (\nu)}{3 \sqrt{|\lambda|})}\right)\left[^{*} \log (\nu) \nu\right]}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& \leq 2 G \nu^{-\frac{1}{6}}+2 \alpha \nu^{-\frac{1}{6}}+2 e^{6|\lambda| \ln (|2 \lambda|)} \frac{\nu^{\frac{1}{3}} * \log (\nu) \nu}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& \leq 2 G \nu^{-\frac{1}{6}}+2 \alpha \nu^{-\frac{1}{6}}+2 e^{6|\lambda| \ln (|2 \lambda|)} \frac{* \log (\nu)}{\nu^{\frac{1}{6}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\leq \frac{H_{\lambda}}{\nu \frac{1}{12}}$
where $H_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ depends on $\lambda$. Clearly, we then obtain that;
$\left|E\left(\bar{M}_{\alpha, t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right)-\bar{M}_{\alpha, s}\right| \leq \frac{H_{\lambda}}{\nu^{\frac{1}{12}}}$
as well, so that $\bar{M}_{\alpha, t}$ is a quasi nonstandard martingale, for $0 \leq t \leq$ ${ }^{*} \log (\nu)$.

Lemma 0.16. If $M_{t}$ is a nonstandard martingale, and $\tau$ is a stopping time for the barrier $\frac{[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}$, with $a \in{ }^{*} \mathcal{R}, \tau=\min \left\{t: B_{t}=\frac{[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}, t \in\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{T}_{\nu, \kappa}\right\}$, then the process $M_{t \wedge \tau}$ is a nonstandard martingale. In particular the process $M_{\alpha, t \wedge \tau}$ is a nonstandard martingale. The process $\bar{M}_{\alpha, t \wedge \tau}$, for $\alpha=\sqrt{2 i \lambda}, \lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}, \tau$ is a stopping time for the barrier $\frac{[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}$, with $a \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, is a quasi-nonstandard martingale. The process $\bar{M}_{\alpha, t \wedge \tau}$, for $\alpha=\sqrt{2 i \lambda}, \lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{<0}, \tau$ is a stopping time for the barrier $\frac{-[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}$, with $a \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$, is a quasi-nonstandard martingale.
Proof. For the first claim, the proof for the discrete case can be found in [9]. It is sufficient to show that the event $\left(\tau \leq \frac{i}{\nu}\right) \in \mathcal{F}_{\frac{i}{\nu}}$. This follows as;

$$
\left(\tau \leq \frac{i}{\nu}\right) \text { iff } \bigwedge_{\bar{\omega}_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{i}\left(\bar{\omega}_{i}\right)_{j}=[a \sqrt{\nu}]
$$

where $\bar{\omega}_{i}$ is a sequence of 0 's nd 1 's of length $i$. The disjunction is a *-finite union of the basic sets $U_{\bar{k}_{i}}$, so belongs to the $*-\sigma$ algebra $\mathcal{F}_{\frac{i}{\nu}}$. The last claim is a consequence of this lemma and lemma 0.15.

For the second claim.....
Lemma 0.17. We have that, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}, a \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$;

$$
E\left(\bar{M}_{\alpha, \tau}\right) \simeq 1, E\left(\exp ^{*}(-\lambda \tau)\right) \simeq{ }^{*} \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2 \lambda}[a \nu]}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right)
$$

Proof. As $\bar{M}_{\alpha, t \wedge \tau}$ is a quasi nonstandard martingale, we have that;

$$
E\left(\bar{M}_{\alpha, t \wedge \tau}\right) \simeq E\left(\bar{M}_{\alpha, 0 \wedge \tau}\right)=E\left(\bar{M}_{\alpha, 0}\right)=1(*)
$$

Let $\kappa_{1}=\nu^{\frac{4}{3}}<\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}<\kappa$, so that $\frac{\kappa_{1}}{\nu^{\frac{3}{2}}}=\frac{1}{\nu^{\frac{1}{6}}} \simeq 0$, and $(*)$ goes through $\simeq$.

By Lemma 0.18, we have that;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\tau \geq \frac{\kappa_{1}}{\nu}\right) \leq \frac{A[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\left[\frac{\kappa_{1}}{\nu} \nu\right]}} \\
& =\frac{A[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\left[\kappa_{1}\right]}} \\
& =\frac{A[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\left[\nu^{\left.\frac{4}{3}\right]}\right.}} \\
& \simeq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that;

$$
\left.M_{\alpha, \tau}\right|_{\left(\tau \geq \frac{\kappa_{1}}{\nu}\right)^{c}}=\left.M_{\alpha, \frac{\kappa_{1}}{\nu} \wedge \tau}\right|_{\left(\tau \geq \frac{\kappa_{1}}{\nu}\right)^{c}}
$$

so that as $M_{\alpha, t \wedge \tau}$ is bounded by ${ }^{*} \exp \left(\alpha \frac{[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right)$, we have that $E\left(M_{\alpha, \tau}\right) \simeq$ 1, with;

$$
\left|E\left(M_{\alpha, \tau}\right)-1\right| \leq \frac{2 A\left[a \sqrt{\nu}^{*}\right.}{\sqrt{\left[\nu^{\frac{4}{3}}\right]}} \exp \left(\alpha \frac{[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right)
$$

Lemma 0.18. We have that, for $\kappa \geq \max \left(2,3 a, a^{2}\right)$;
$P\left(T_{a} \geq \kappa\right) \leq \frac{C_{a}}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$
where $C_{a}=\frac{8 a e \sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{\pi}}$, for a random walk, starting at 0 , with steps 1 and -1 , and barrier $a>0$, stopping time $T_{a}$;

For nonstandard Brownian motion $B_{t}$, with barrier $\frac{[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}, a \in \mathcal{R}$, and stopping time $\tau$, we have that there exists $A \in \mathcal{R}$, with;

$$
P\left(\tau \geq \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right) \leq A \frac{[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{[t \nu]}}
$$

for $[t \nu] \geq \max \left(2,3[a \sqrt{\nu}],[a \sqrt{\nu}]^{2}\right)$. In particular, for $t \geq a^{2}+1$, when $t \in \mathcal{R}$, we have that;

$$
P\left(\tau \geq \frac{[t \nu]}{\nu}\right) \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{2} A a}{\sqrt{t}} .
$$

Proof. We have that, see [3];
$P\left(T_{a}=n\right)=\frac{a}{n} C_{\frac{n-a}{2}}^{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}}$
for $n \geq a>0, n-a$ even.

It follows that, using Stirling's approximation, for $\kappa>\max \left(2,3 a, a^{2}\right)$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(T_{a} \geq \kappa\right)=\sum_{n=\kappa, n-a \text { even }}^{\infty} \frac{a}{n} C_{\frac{n-a}{2}}^{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \\
& =\sum_{n=\kappa, n-a}^{\infty} \text { even } \frac{a}{n} \frac{n!}{\frac{n-a}{2}!\frac{n+a}{2}!} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=\kappa, n-a \text { even }}^{\infty} \frac{a}{n} \frac{\sqrt{2 \pi n}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n} e^{\frac{1}{12 n}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi\left(\frac{n-a}{2}\right)\left(\frac{n-a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{n-a}{2}}} \sqrt{2 \pi\left(\frac{n+a}{2}\right)\left(\frac{n+a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{n+a}{2}}} e^{\frac{1}{12\left(\frac{n-a}{2}\right)+1}} e^{\frac{1}{12\left(\frac{n+a}{2}\right)+1}}} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=\kappa, n-a \text { even }}^{\infty} \frac{4 a}{n} \frac{\sqrt{2 \pi n}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n}}{\sqrt{2 \pi\left(\frac{n-a}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{n-a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{n-a}{2}} \sqrt{2 \pi\left(\frac{n+a}{2}\right)\left(\frac{n+a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{n+a}{2}}} \frac{1}{2^{n}}} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=\kappa, n-a}^{\infty} \text { even } \frac{4 a}{n} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{n}} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n}}{\left(\frac{n-a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{n-a}{2}}\left(\frac{n+a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{n+a}{2}}} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=\kappa, n-a \text { even }}^{\infty} \frac{4 a \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi} n \sqrt{n}} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n}}{\left(\frac{n-a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\frac{n+a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n-a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{-a}{2}}\left(\frac{n+a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{a}{2}}} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \\
& =\sum_{n=\kappa, n-a}^{\infty} \text { even } \frac{4 a \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi} n \sqrt{n}} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n}}{\left(\frac{n-a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\frac{n+a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\left(\frac{n-a}{n+a}\right)^{\frac{a}{2}} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=\kappa, n-a \text { even }}^{\infty} \frac{4 a \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi} n \sqrt{n}} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{n}\right)^{n}}{\left(\frac{n-a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(\frac{n+a}{2 e}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \\
& =\sum_{n=\kappa, n-a \text { even }}^{\infty} \frac{4 a \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi} n \sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{4 n^{2}}{n^{2}-a^{2}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \\
& =\sum_{n=\kappa, n-a \text { even }}^{\infty} \frac{4 a \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi} n \sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{n^{2}-a^{2}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
& =\sum_{n=\kappa, n-a \text { even }}^{\infty} \frac{4 a \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi} n \sqrt{n}}\left(1+\frac{a^{2}}{n^{2}-a^{2}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=\kappa, n-a \text { even }}^{\infty} \frac{4 a \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi} n \sqrt{n}}\left(1+\frac{2 a^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
& =\sum_{n=\kappa, n-a \text { even }}^{\infty} \frac{4 a \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi} n \sqrt{n}}\left(\left(1+\frac{2 a^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)^{n^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 n}} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=\kappa, n-a \text { even }}^{\infty} \frac{4 a \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi} n \sqrt{n}} e^{\frac{a^{2}}{n}} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=\kappa, n-a}^{\infty} \text { even } \frac{4 a e \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi} n \sqrt{n}} \\
& \leq \frac{4 a e \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\kappa-1}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{x \sqrt{x}} \\
& =\frac{4 a e \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left[\frac{-2}{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right]_{\kappa-1}^{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{4 a e \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{2}{(\kappa-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& \leq \frac{4 a e \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& \leq \frac{C_{a}}{\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{a}=\frac{8 a e \sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{\pi}}$
For the next claim, just observe that the above proof is uniform in a random walk with a barrier at $[a \sqrt{n}]$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$, so by transfer, we can obtain the result for infinite $\nu \in^{*} \mathcal{N}$, rescaling the walk by a factor of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}$ and moving the barrier to $\frac{[a \sqrt{\nu}]}{\sqrt{\nu}}$, the constant $A$ being $\frac{8 e \sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{\pi}}$. The last claim is just a simple exercise in nonstandard arithmetic, noting that for $t \geq a^{2}+1$, the max condition is automatically satisfied for $[t \nu]$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The set ${ }^{\circ} \bar{\chi}_{\text {even }}\left(x, s_{1}, t\right)={ }^{\circ} \bar{\chi}_{o d d}\left(y, s_{2}, t\right)$ is $L\left(\mu_{\nu}\right)$ measurable in $\mathcal{T}_{\nu, \kappa}$, as the intersection of internal sets $\bigcap_{n \in \mathcal{N}}\left|\bar{\chi}_{\text {even }}\left(x, s_{1}, t\right)-\bar{\chi}_{\text {odd }}\left(y, s_{2}, t\right)\right|<\frac{1}{n}$. Each set in the intersection has an infimum $t_{n}$, and we obtain an increasing bounded sequence $\left\{t_{n}: n \in \mathcal{N}\right\}$. The set $\left\{{ }^{\circ} t_{n}: n \in \mathcal{N}\right\}$ is increasing and bounded, so has a limit, which we denote by $\mu^{\circ t}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For a cumulative density function $F(x, y)=P(X \leq x, Y \leq y)$, by $P(X=$ $x, Y \leq y)$, we mean $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x, y)$

