

A NONSTANDARD POISSON SUMMATION FORMULA

TRISTRAM DE PIRO

ABSTRACT. We formulate and prove a nonstandard Poisson Summation formula.

We formulate a nonstandard version of the Poisson Summation formula, which might be useful in further applications;

Definition 0.1. We let $\eta = \nu^2$, where ν is an odd prime. We let;

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\eta = \left\{ \frac{i}{\sqrt{\eta}} : i \in {}^*\mathcal{Z}, -\frac{(\eta-1)}{2} \leq i \leq \frac{(\eta-1)}{2} \right\}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\eta = [0, 1)_\eta = \left\{ \frac{i}{\sqrt{\eta}} : i \in {}^*\mathcal{Z}, 0 \leq i \leq \sqrt{\eta} - 1 \right\}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta = \{i \in {}^*\mathcal{Z} : 0 \leq i \leq \sqrt{\eta} - 1\}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\eta = \{i \in {}^*\mathcal{Z} : -\sqrt{\eta} \leq i \leq \sqrt{\eta} - 1\}$$

We recall the rescaled inversion theorems from [2] and [3];

If $f \in V(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\eta)$, then, for $y \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_\eta$;

$$\mathcal{F}_\eta(f)(y) = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\eta} f(x) \exp_\eta(-2\pi i y x) d\mu_\eta(x)$$

$$f(x) = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\eta} \mathcal{F}_\eta(f)(y) \exp_\eta(2\pi i x y) d\mu_\eta(y)$$

If $g \in V(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\eta)$, then, for $m \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta$;

$$\mathcal{F}_\eta(g)(m) = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\eta} g(x) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(-2\pi i m x) d\mu_\eta(x)$$

$$g(x) = {}^*\sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta} \mathcal{F}_\eta(g)(m) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(2\pi i m x)$$

Let $f \in S(\mathcal{R})$, with corresponding f_η , then we define $g \in V(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\eta)$ by;

$$g(x) = {}^*\sum_{n \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_t} f_\eta(x + n)$$

where $t = \lceil \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2} - 1 \rceil = \frac{\sqrt{\eta}-3}{2}$.

Lemma 0.2. *We have that;*

$$* \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_t} f_\eta(m) \simeq * \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\eta, |m| \leq \lceil \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2} \rceil} \mathcal{F}_\eta(f_\eta)(m)$$

In particular;

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{F}(f)(m) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} f(m)$$

where \mathcal{F} denotes the usual Fourier transform.

Proof. For $m \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta$, with $0 \leq m \leq \lceil \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2} \rceil$, we have;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\eta(g)(m) &= \int_{\mathcal{T}_\eta} (* \sum_{n \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_t} f_\eta(x+n)) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(-2\pi i x m) d\mu_\eta(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{T}_\eta} (* \sum_{n \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_t} f_\eta(x+n)) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(-2\pi i(x+n)m) d\mu_\eta(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{R}_\eta} f_\eta(x) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(-2\pi i x m) d\mu_\eta(x) \\ &\quad - \int_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\eta}-3}}^{\frac{\eta-1}{2\sqrt{\eta}}} f_\eta(x) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(-2\pi i x m) d\mu_\eta(x) \\ &\quad - \int_{-\frac{\eta-1}{2\sqrt{\eta}}}^{-\frac{\sqrt{\eta}-3}{2}} f_\eta(x) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(-2\pi i x m) d\mu_\eta(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{R}_\eta} f_\eta(x) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(-2\pi i x m) d\mu_\eta(x) + \epsilon \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{R}_\eta} f_\eta(x) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(-2\pi i x \frac{m\sqrt{\eta}}{\sqrt{\eta}}) d\mu_\eta(x) + \epsilon \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{R}_\eta} f_\eta(x) \exp_\eta(-2\pi i x m) d\mu_\eta(x) + \epsilon \\ &= \mathcal{F}_\eta(f_\eta)(m) + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } |\epsilon| &\leq \int_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\eta}-3}}^{\frac{\eta-1}{2\sqrt{\eta}}} |f_\eta(x)| d\mu_\eta(x) + \int_{-\frac{\eta-1}{2\sqrt{\eta}}}^{-\frac{\sqrt{\eta}-3}{2}} |f_\eta(x)| d\mu_\eta(x) \\ &\leq \int_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{\eta}-3}}^{\frac{\eta-1}{2\sqrt{\eta}}} \frac{C}{|x_\eta|^3} d\mu_\eta(x) + \int_{-\frac{\eta-1}{2\sqrt{\eta}}}^{-\frac{\sqrt{\eta}-3}{2}} \frac{C}{|x_\eta|^3} d\mu_\eta(x), \quad (C \in \mathcal{R}, \text{ as } f \in S(\mathcal{R})) \\ &\leq 2 \left[-\frac{C}{2x^2} \right]_{\frac{\sqrt{\eta}-5}{2}}^{\frac{\eta-1}{2\sqrt{\eta}}} \\ &\leq 2 \frac{C}{2(\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{4})^2} = \frac{D}{\eta}, \text{ where } D = 16C \end{aligned}$$

For $m \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta$, with $[\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}] < m \leq \sqrt{\eta} - 1$, writing $m = \sqrt{\eta} - r$, where $1 \leq r \leq \sqrt{\eta} - [\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}]$, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp_\eta(-2\pi i m x) \\ &= \exp_\eta(-2\pi i(\sqrt{\eta} - r)x) \\ &= \exp_\eta(-2\pi i(-r)x) \\ &= \exp_\eta(-2\pi i(m - \sqrt{\eta})x) \end{aligned}$$

An identical calculation gives that;

$$\mathcal{F}_\eta(g)(m) = \mathcal{F}_\eta(f_\eta)(m - \sqrt{\eta}) + \epsilon$$

$$\text{where } |\epsilon| \leq \frac{D}{\eta}$$

By the inversion theorem, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta} \mathcal{F}_\eta(g)(m) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(2\pi i x m) \\ &= \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta, 0 \leq m \leq [\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}]} (\mathcal{F}_\eta(f_\eta)(m) + \epsilon) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(2\pi i x m) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{N}}_\eta, [\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}] < m \leq \sqrt{\eta} - 1} (\mathcal{F}_\eta(f_\eta)(m - \sqrt{\eta}) + \epsilon) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(2\pi i x m) \\ &= \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\eta, 0 \leq m \leq [\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}]} (\mathcal{F}_\eta(f_\eta)(m) + \epsilon) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(2\pi i x m) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\eta, -[\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}] \leq m \leq -1} (\mathcal{F}_\eta(f_\eta)(m) + \epsilon) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(2\pi i x(\sqrt{\eta} - m)) \\ &\simeq \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\eta, 0 \leq m \leq [\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}]} \mathcal{F}_\eta(f_\eta)(m) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(2\pi i x m) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\eta, -[\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}] \leq m \leq -1} (\mathcal{F}_\eta f_\eta)(m) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(2\pi i x(\sqrt{\eta} - m)) \\ &\text{as } \left| \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\eta, 0 \leq m \leq [\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}]} \epsilon \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(2\pi i x m) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_\eta, -[\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}] \leq m \leq -1} \epsilon \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(2\pi i x(\sqrt{\eta} - m)) \right| \\ &\leq \epsilon \sqrt{\eta} = \frac{D\sqrt{\eta}}{\eta} = \frac{D}{\sqrt{\eta}} \simeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

In particular;

$$g(0) = {}^* \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}_t}} f_\eta(m) \simeq {}^* \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}_\eta}, |m| \leq [\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}]} \mathcal{F}_\eta(f_\eta)(m), (**)$$

as required.

For the final part, observe that, as $f \in S(R)$, $|f_\eta(m)| \leq \frac{E}{m^2}$, where $E \in \mathcal{R}$. Moreover, by definition of f_η , and the fact that $m\sqrt{\eta}$ is an integer, we have that $f_\eta(m) = f(m)$. Let $L = {}^* \sum_{\mathcal{Z}} f(m)$, let ϵ be arbitrary, and choose $m_0 \in \mathcal{N}$ with $\frac{E}{m_0-1} < \frac{2\epsilon}{3}$, and $L - {}^* \sum_{|m| \leq m_0} f(m) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Then;

$$\begin{aligned} & |L - {}^* \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}_t}} f_\eta(m)| \\ &= |L - {}^* \sum_{|m| \leq m_0} f(m) - {}^* \sum_{|m| > m_0, m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}_t}} f_\eta(m)| \\ &\leq |L - {}^* \sum_{|m| \leq m_0} f(m)| + |{}^* \sum_{|m| > m_0, m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}_t}} f_\eta(m)| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + {}^* \sum_{|m| > m_0, m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}_t}} \frac{E}{m^2} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \int_{m_0-1}^{\infty} \frac{E}{m^2} \text{ (by transfer)} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{3} + [\frac{-E}{m}]_{m_0-1}^{\infty} \text{ (by transfer)} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{E}{m_0-1} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{2\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

As ϵ was arbitrary, we have that, ${}^* \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}_t}} f_\eta(m) \simeq {}^* \sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} f(m)$, and as ${}^* \sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} f(m)$ is standard, $\circ({}^* \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}_t}} f_\eta(m)) = {}^* \sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} f(m)$, (\dagger). Observe now that, as f_η is S -continuous and S -integrable, for $m \in \mathcal{Z}$ finite, $\circ \mathcal{F}_\eta(f_\eta)(m) = \mathcal{F}(f)(m)$. Moreover, by Lemma 0.19 of [4], we have that;

$$\mathcal{F}_\eta((f_\eta)^{D^2})(m) = \chi_\eta^2(m) \mathcal{F}_\eta(f_\eta)(m)$$

where D denotes the forward derivative and;

$$\chi_\eta(m) = \sqrt{\eta}(\exp_\eta(-\frac{2\pi im}{\sqrt{\eta}}) - 1)$$

By Lemma 0.20 of [4], we have the estimate, $4m^2 \leq \chi_\eta^2(m) \leq 64m^2$, for $m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}_\eta}$, with $|m| \leq [\frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2}]$. By Lemma 0.21 of [4];

$$|(f_\eta)^{D^2}(x)| \leq \frac{F}{|x_\eta|^2}, \text{ for } |x| \geq 1, x \in \overline{\mathcal{R}_\eta}$$

$$(f_\eta)^{D^2}(x) \leq G, \text{ for } |x| < 1, x \in \overline{\mathcal{R}_\eta}, (*)$$

Using (*) and the above Lemmas, it follows that;

$$|\mathcal{F}_\eta(f_\eta)(m)| = \left| \frac{\mathcal{F}_\eta((f_\eta)^{D^2})(m)}{\chi_\eta^2(m)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{\mathcal{F}_\eta((f_\eta)^{D^2})(m)}{4m^2} \right| \leq \frac{H}{m^2}$$

where $H \in \mathcal{R}$. Now, proceeding as above, we conclude that;

$$\circ(* \sum_{m \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}_\eta}, |m| \leq \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{2} \rfloor} f_\eta(m)) = * \sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{F}(f)(m), (\dagger\dagger)$$

Combining (\dagger), (\dagger\dagger) and (**), we obtain the summation formula $* \sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} f(m) = * \sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{F}(f)(m)$ as required. □

The above result assumes that $f \in S(\mathcal{R})$. We make the following definition;

Definition 0.3. *We say that f is piecewise differentiable and analytic on $[0, 1]$ if there exist finitely many points $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$, with $0 < p_1 < \dots < p_r < 1$, such that f is analytic on the intervals $(0, p_1)$, $(p_r, 1)$ and (p_j, p_{j+1}) , for $1 \leq j \leq r - 1$, left and right differentiable at the points $\{0, p_1, \dots, p_r, 1\}$, and continuous on $[0, 1]$, with the endpoints identified.*

With this definition, we have the following nonstandard version of Dirichlet's Theorem, the proof of which follows easily from the results in [1].

Theorem 0.4. *If g is piecewise differentiable and analytic on $[0, 1]$, with measurable counterpart g_η on $\overline{\mathcal{T}_\eta}$, then, for $x \in * [0, 1]$, with;*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}} = \{i \in * \mathcal{Z} : -\frac{\sqrt{\eta}-1}{2} \leq i < \frac{\sqrt{\eta}-1}{2}\}$$

$$S(k, g_\eta) = * \sum_{|m| \leq k, m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}} \mathcal{F}_\eta(g_\eta)(m) \exp_{\sqrt{\eta}}(2\pi i m x)$$

and notation as in Definition 0.1, we have that there exists Q infinite, $Q = \eta^{\frac{1}{4}}$, independent of x , such that $S(k, g_\eta)(x) \simeq g_\eta(x)$, for all $0 < k \leq Q$, k infinite.

Definition 0.5. *We say that $h \in P(\mathcal{R})$ if;*

- (i). $\sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}} h(x + n)$ is piecewise differentiable and analytic on $[0, 1]$.

(ii). h is bounded and there exists a constant $C \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $|h(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^2}$, for $|x| > 1$.

We then have the following nonstandard version of the Poisson summation formula;

Theorem 0.6. *If $h \in P(\mathcal{R})$, with measurable counterpart h_η on $\overline{\mathcal{R}_\eta}$, then;*

there exists Q infinite, $Q = \eta^{\frac{1}{4}}$, such that;

$$*\sum_{|m| \leq k, m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}} h_\eta(m) \simeq *\sum_{|m| \leq k, m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}} \mathcal{F}_\eta(h_\eta)(m)$$

for all k infinite with $0 < k \leq Q$.

In particular;

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} h(m) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{F}(h)(m)$$

Proof. The proof follows the structure of Lemma 0.2. We let;

$$g_\eta(x) = *\sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}_t} h_\eta(x + n)$$

$$h_{per}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}} h(x + n)$$

By condition (ii) of 0.5, we have that $g_\eta(x) \simeq h_{per,\eta}$ on $*[0, 1]$. More specifically, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} & |g_\eta(x) - h_{per,\eta}(x)| \\ &= |*\sum_{n \in *\mathcal{Z}} h_\eta(x + n) - *\sum_{n \in \mathcal{Z}_t} h_\eta(x + n)| \\ &\leq \frac{E}{t} = \frac{F}{\sqrt{\eta}} \end{aligned}$$

for appropriate constants $\{E, F\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{>0}$. As in Lemma 0.2, with a slight correction in the error term, we have that;

$$|\mathcal{F}_\eta(g_\eta)(m) - \mathcal{F}_\eta(h_\eta)(m)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\eta}}$$

for some $C \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ and $m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}$. We have that;

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathcal{F}_\eta(h_{per,\eta})(m) - \mathcal{F}_\eta(g_\eta)(m)| \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{T}_\eta} |h_{per,\eta}(x) - g_\eta(x)| d\mu_\eta(x) \\
& \leq \frac{F}{\sqrt{\eta}}
\end{aligned}$$

so that;

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathcal{F}_\eta(h_{per,\eta})(m) - \mathcal{F}_\eta(h_\eta)(m)| \\
& \leq \frac{F}{\sqrt{\eta}} + \frac{C}{\sqrt{\eta}} \\
& = \frac{G}{\sqrt{\eta}}
\end{aligned}$$

where $G = F + C$

Using condition (i) of Definition 0.5 and Theorem 0.4, we can find an infinite $Q = \eta^{\frac{1}{4}}$ with;

$$h_{per,\eta}(0) \simeq^* \sum_{|m| \leq k, m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}} \mathcal{F}_\eta(h_{per,\eta})(m)$$

for all infinite k with $0 < k \leq Q$. As $\frac{QF}{\sqrt{\eta}} \simeq 0$, we obtain that;

$$h_{per,\eta}(0) \simeq^* \sum_{|m| \leq k, m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}} \mathcal{F}_\eta(h_\eta)(m)$$

for all infinite k with $0 < k \leq Q$. Finally;

$$g(0) \simeq h_{per,\eta}(0) \simeq^* \sum_{|m| \leq k, m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}} h_\eta(m)$$

by condition (i) of Definition 0.5 and infinite k with $0 < k \leq Q$. Combining these results, gives that;

$$^* \sum_{|m| \leq k, m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}} h_\eta(m) \simeq^* \sum_{|m| \leq k, m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}} \mathcal{F}_\eta(h_\eta)(m)$$

for all infinite k with $0 < k \leq Q$, as required. For the deduction of the standard Poisson summation formula, first observe that from condition (ii) in Definition 0.5 and the proof of Lemma 0.2, we have that;

$$\circ (^* \sum_{|m| \leq k, m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}} h_\eta(m)) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} h(m)$$

Now, extend the standard infinite sequence, $(\sum_{|m|\leq n} \mathcal{F}(h)(m))$ to an internal sequence $(s_n)_{0\leq n\leq Q}$. By condition (i), we have that $\mathcal{F}(h)(m) \simeq \mathcal{F}_\eta(h_\eta)(m)$, for $m \in \mathcal{Z}$. In particular, by overflow, there exists Q' infinite, with $s_n \simeq {}^* \sum_{|m|\leq n, m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}} \mathcal{F}_\eta(h_\eta)(m)$, for all n infinite with $0 < n \leq Q' \leq Q$. For such n , using the previous result in the Theorem, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &\simeq {}^\circ({}^* \sum_{|m|\leq n, m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}} \mathcal{F}_\eta(h_\eta)(m)) \\ &= {}^\circ({}^* \sum_{|m|\leq n, m \in \mathcal{Z}_{\sqrt{\eta}}} h_\eta(m)) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} h(m) \end{aligned}$$

Using Robinson's result on the limit criteria, see [5], we obtain that;

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{F}(h)(m) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{Z}} h(m)$$

as required. □

REFERENCES

- [1] A Nonstandard Proof of Dirichlet's Theorem, available at <http://www.curvalinea.net>, T. de Piro, (2020).
- [2] A Simple Proof of the Inversion Theorem using Nonstandard Analysis, available at <http://www.magneticstrix.net>, T. de Piro, (2013).
- [3] A Simple Proof of the Uniform Convergence of Fourier Series using Nonstandard Analysis, available at <http://www.magneticstrix.net>, T. de Piro, (2013).
- [4] Nonstandard Methods for Solving Schrodinger's Equation, available at <http://www.magneticstrix.net>, T. de Piro, (2019)
- [5] Nonstandard Analysis, Princeton University Press, A. Robinson, (1996)
- [6] Fourier Analysis: An Introduction, Princeton Lecture Series, E. Stein, R. Shakarchi, (2003).

FLAT 3, REDESDALE HOUSE, 85 THE PARK, CHELTENHAM, GL50 2RP.