

# THE GEOMETRY OF LINEAR REGULAR TYPES

TRISTRAM DE PIRO

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with extending the results of [2] in the context of the solution set  $D$  of a regular *Lstp* defined over  $\emptyset$  in a simple theory  $T$ . In [7], a notion of  $p$ -weight is developed for regular types in stable theories. Here we show that the corresponding notion holds in simple theories and give a geometric analysis of associated structures  $G(D)$  and  $G(D)^{large}$ , the former of which appears in [2]. We show that  $D$  is linear iff  $G(D)$  and  $G(D)^{large}$  (localized, resp) are both modular with respect to the  $p$ -closure operator  $cl_p$ . Finally, we show that modularity of  $G(D)^{large}$  provides a local analogue of 1-basedness for the theory  $T$ .

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

For convenience we will assume that the ambient theory  $T$  is supersimple. In [4], Kim shows that forking inside simple theories satisfies the Independence Theorem over a model  $\mathcal{M}$ .

In order to apply the independence theorem over parameters, the notion of Lascar strong type is introduced. As is shown in [1], if  $T$  is supersimple, then  $T$  has elimination of hyperimaginaries and the notion of Lascar Strong Type simplifies to the following;

$$Lstp(\bar{a}/A) = Lstp(\bar{b}/A) \text{ iff } tp(\bar{a}/acl(A)) = tp(\bar{b}/acl(A)) \text{ iff } stp(\bar{a}/A) = stp(\bar{b}/A)$$

where  $acl(A)$  denotes the algebraic closure of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{M}^{eq}$ .

We can then apply the Independence Theorem for Lascar strong types;

$$\text{If } \bar{c} \downarrow_A \bar{d}, Lstp(\bar{a}/A) = Lstp(\bar{b}/A) \text{ and } \bar{a} \downarrow_A \bar{c}, \bar{b} \downarrow_A \bar{d}$$

---

The author was supported by the William Gordon Seggie Brown Research Fellowship.

then the nonforking extensions of  $tp(\bar{a}/A) = tp(\bar{b}/A)$  to  $A\bar{c}$  and  $A\bar{d}$  respectively can be amalgamated.

Given a complete type  $p(\bar{x}, b)$ , where  $b$  denotes a possibly infinite set of parameters, we define the parallelism class of  $p(\bar{x}, b)$  to be;

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{p(\bar{x}, c) : E(c, b)\}$$

where  $E$  is the transitive closure of the relation

$$R(c, b) \equiv p(\bar{x}, c) \cup p(\bar{x}, b) \text{ have a common non forking extension}$$

As is shown in [6], if  $b$  is an amalgamation base, then  $E$  is a type definable equivalence relation on  $tp(b)$ . If  $T$  is supersimple, then the parameter set of  $stp(a/B)$  is an amalgamation base and we define the canonical base  $C = Cb(Lstp(a/B))$  to be the  $E$  class of  $acl(B)$ . Again, assuming  $T$  supersimple,  $E$  is the intersection of definable equivalence relations on  $tp(acl(B))$  and we may take  $C$  to be a possibly infinite set of parameters in  $\mathcal{M}^{eq}$ . Note the assumption that  $T$  is supersimple is not critical in what follows provided we work with  $\mathcal{M}^{heq}$  instead of  $\mathcal{M}^{eq}$ . In general, we do not assume that our parameter sets are algebraically closed. As the notion of non-forking is invariant under algebraic closure in  $\mathcal{M}^{eq}$ , we often implicitly replace a parameter set  $B$  by its algebraic closure in  $\mathcal{M}^{eq}$ , hoping this will not cause confusion.

We will require the following facts about canonical bases as given in [6], [5] and [8];

**Fact 1.1.** 1. *The Independence Theorem holds for the restriction of a  $Lstp$  over  $A$  to the base  $C \subset acl(A)$ .*

Let  $A \subset B$  be sets and  $\bar{a}$  a tuple, then;

2.  $\bar{a} \downarrow_A^B$  iff  $Cb(Lstp(\bar{a}/B)) \subset acl(A)$ . As a consequence, if

$C = Cb(Lstp(\bar{a}/A))$ , then  $\bar{a} \downarrow_C^A$  and  $\bar{a} \downarrow_A^C$

3. If  $D = Cb(Lstp(\bar{a}/B))$  and  $\bar{a} \downarrow_A^B$ , then, using the fact that  $C$  and  $D$  are amalgamation bases,  $dcl(C) = dcl(D)$ .

4. If  $\{\bar{a}_i : i < \omega\}$  is a Morley sequence in  $Lstp(\bar{a}/A)$ , then  $C = Cb(Lstp(\bar{a}/A)) \subset dcl(\bar{a}_i : i < \omega)$

**Definition 1.2.** A pregeometry is a set  $S$  with a closure operation  $cl : P(S) \rightarrow P(S)$  satisfying the following axioms found in [7];

1. If  $A \subseteq S$ , then  $A \subseteq cl(A)$ ,  $cl(A) = cl(cl(A))$ .
2. If  $A \subseteq B \subseteq S$ , then  $cl(A) \subseteq cl(B)$ .
3. If  $A \subseteq S$ ,  $a, b \in S$ , then  $a \in cl(Ab) \setminus cl(A)$  implies  $b \in cl(Aa)$ .
4. If  $a \in S$  and  $a \in cl(A)$ , then there is some finite  $A_0 \subset A$  with  $a \in cl(A_0)$ .

We say that  $(S, cl)$  is modular if for  $A, B$  finite dimensional closed subsets of  $S$ ,  $dim(A \cup B) = dim(A) + dim(B) - dim(A \cap B)$ .

**Remarks 1.3.** A necessary and sufficient condition for modularity of a pregeometry  $(S, cl)$  is the following;

Whenever  $a, b \in S$ ,  $B \subset S$  is closed and finite dimensional,  $dim(ab) = 2$  and  $dim(ab/B) \leq 1$ , then there is  $c \in cl(ab) \cap cl(B)$  with  $c \notin cl(\emptyset)$  (\*)

## 2. REGULAR TYPES AND P-WEIGHT

Let  $p$  be a non-algebraic complete Lascar strong type over  $\emptyset$ . Recalling the definition of orthogonality in simple theories, see for example [8], we say that  $p$  is *regular* if it is orthogonal to all its forking extensions.

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $p$  is regular, the realisations  $D$  of  $p$  form a pregeometry with the the closure operation  $cl$  given by  $cl(A) = \{x \in p : \begin{smallmatrix} x \\ \emptyset \end{smallmatrix} \not\perp A\}$ .

*Proof.* We check the axioms, 2 is trivial and 4 follows from the finite character of forking. 3 follows immediately from forking symmetry and all the work is in showing that 1 holds, namely we have to see that if  $A \subset p$ ,  $a, b_1 \dots b_n$  is a tuple in  $p$  such that  $\begin{smallmatrix} b_i \\ \emptyset \end{smallmatrix} \not\perp A$  for each  $i$  and  $\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ \emptyset \end{smallmatrix} \not\perp b_1, \dots, b_n$  then in fact  $\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ \emptyset \end{smallmatrix} \not\perp A$ . Suppose not, so  $a$

realises a non forking extension of  $\rho$  to  $A$ . Each  $b_i$  realises a forking extension of  $\rho$  to  $A$  so by definition of regularity, we must have that  $a \downarrow_A b_1$ . Now we just repeat the argument with  $Ab_1$  replacing  $A$ , clearly  $b_i \not\downarrow_{\emptyset} Ab_1$  for  $i \geq 2$  and again using regularity  $a \downarrow_{Ab_1} b_2$ , so we get  $a \downarrow_A b_1 b_2$ . After  $n$  steps, using transitivity, we have that  $a \downarrow_A b_1 \dots b_n$  and so, as  $a \downarrow_{\emptyset} A$  we get  $a \downarrow_{\emptyset} b_1 \dots b_n$ . This contradicts the original hypothesis.

□

Given a set of parameters  $A$ , we let  $D_A = \{x \in D : x \downarrow_{\emptyset} A\}$  and define a closure operation  $cl_A$  by  $cl_A(B) = \{x \in D_A : x \not\downarrow_A B\}$  for  $B \subset D_A$ . Imitating the above proof, one easily checks that  $(D_A, cl_A)$  forms a pregeometry which we refer to as the localisation  $p_A$  of  $p$  to  $A$ .

Given any pregeometry  $(S, cl)$ , we use the standard notation  $(S', cl')$ , as in [2], for the associated geometry. For closed  $B \subset S$ , we have a notion of dimension  $dim(B)$ . For closed sets  $B \subset C \subset S$ , we define  $dim(C/B) = dim(C) - dim(B)$  and for arbitrary sets  $B, C \subset S$ , we define  $dim(C/B) = dim(cl(C \cup B)/cl(B))$ . Recall that this notion is additive and the same holds for the localised analogue  $dim_A$ .

Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be types over possibly different sets. We say that  $p_1$  is *hereditarily orthogonal* to  $p_2$  if every extension of  $p_1$  is orthogonal to  $p_2$ . Now fix a regular complete Lstp  $p$  defined over  $\emptyset$  and define a Lstp  $q$  over a domain  $B$  to be  $p$ -simple if, for all  $a \in q$ , there exists  $F$  with  $B \subset F$ ,  $F \downarrow_B a$  and  $I \subset p_F$  such that  $tp(a/FI)$  is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ . Given  $F$  as above, we say that  $F$  *witnesses the  $p$ -simplicity of  $q$* . Note that by an automorphism argument and the fact that  $p$  was assumed to be defined over  $\emptyset$ , in order to check  $p$ -simplicity of a given type  $q$ , it is sufficient to verify it for any element realising  $q$

We define a notion of  $p$ -weight for Lascar strong types  $q$  as follows;

$w_p(q) = \min\{\kappa : \text{there is } F \supset B, I \subset p_F \text{ as above with } \dim_F(I) = \kappa\}$ .

$$w_p(a/B) = w_p(Lstp(a/B))$$

We say that  $F$  witnesses the  $p$ -weight of  $q$  if  $F$  witnesses the  $p$ -simplicity of  $q$  and there exists  $I$  as above with  $\dim_F(I) = w_p(q)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $F$  witnesses the  $p$ -weight of  $Lstp(a/B)$  and  $G \supset F$  with  $\begin{matrix} G \\ \downarrow \\ F \end{matrix} a$  then  $G$  also witnesses the  $p$ -weight of  $Lstp(a/B)$ .*

*Proof.* We clearly have that  $\begin{matrix} G \\ \downarrow \\ B \end{matrix} a$ . Let  $I \subset p_F$  be independent with  $\text{Card}(I) = w_p(Lstp(a/B))$  and  $Lstp(a/FI)$  hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ . Then still  $Lstp(a/GI)$  is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ . Moreover,  $I \subset p_G$  as if  $c \in I$  with  $\begin{matrix} c \\ \not\downarrow \\ F \end{matrix} G$ , then, by the finite character of forking, we can find a tuple  $\bar{g}$  with  $\begin{matrix} \bar{g} \\ \downarrow \\ F \end{matrix} a$  and  $\begin{matrix} c \\ \not\downarrow \\ F \end{matrix} \bar{g}$ ,  $\begin{matrix} c \\ \not\downarrow \\ F \end{matrix} a$ . As  $Lstp(c/F)$  is regular, this contradicts the fact that  $Lstp(c/F)$  has ordinary weight 1; a proof of this last fact can be found in for example [8]. Now, by definition of  $p$ -weight, we must have that  $\dim_G(I) = w_p(Lstp(a/B))$ . □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $q=Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $F$  witnesses the  $p$ -weight of  $q$ . Let  $Y = \{b : b \in p_F, \begin{matrix} b \\ \not\downarrow \\ F \end{matrix} a\}$ . Then  $Lstp(a/FY)$  is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$  and  $w_p(q) = \dim_F(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* By the choice of  $F$  and regularity of  $p$ , we can find independent  $I \subset p_F$  such that  $Lstp(a/FI)$  is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$  and  $w_p(q) = \text{Card}(I)$ . We can assume that  $\begin{matrix} b \\ \not\downarrow \\ F \end{matrix} a$  for all  $b \in I$ . Otherwise, choose  $I_0 \subset I$  maximal with  $\begin{matrix} a \\ \downarrow \\ F \end{matrix} I_0$ . Now replace  $F$  by  $FI_0$  and  $I$  by  $I \setminus I_0$ , then the pair  $(FI_0, I - I_0)$  work in the definition of  $w_p(q)$ , which is a contradiction unless  $I_0 = \emptyset$ . Hence  $I \subset Y$ . Moreover,  $I$  is a basis for  $Y$  over  $F$ . If not, we can find  $y \in Y$  with

$y \downarrow_F I$  and  $y \not\downarrow_F a$ . If  $y \downarrow_{FI} a$ , then  $y \downarrow_F aI$ , contradicting the definition of  $Y$ . Therefore,  $y \not\downarrow_{FI} a$ , contradicting the fact that  $Lstp(a/FI)$  is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *We have the following properties of  $p$ -weight, 0 only holding with the assumption that  $T$  is supersimple;*

0.  *$w_p$  is finite: If  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -simple, then  $w_p(a/B)$  is finite.*
1. *Non-Forking: If  $B \subset C$ ,  $a \downarrow_B C$  then  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -simple iff  $Lstp(a/C)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $w_p(a/B) = w_p(a/C)$ .*
2. *Extension: If  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $B \subset C$  then  $Lstp(a/C)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $w_p(a/C) \leq w_p(a/B)$*
3. *Additivity: If  $Lstp(a/B)$  and  $Lstp(b/B)$  are  $p$ -simple, then so is  $Lstp(ab/B)$  and  $w_p(ab/B) = w_p(a/B) + w_p(b/B)$ .*
4. *Algebraicity: If  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$  simple and  $b \in acl(aB)$  then  $Lstp(b/B)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $w_p(b/B) \leq w_p(a/B)$*
5. *Finite Character: If  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $B \subset C$  then there exists a finite  $\bar{c} \subset C$  such that  $w_p(a/C) = w_p(a/B\bar{c})$*
6. *Permutation:  $Lstp(ab/B)$  is  $p$ -simple iff  $Lstp(ba/B)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $w_p(ab/B) = w_p(ba/B)$*

*Proof.* These are adaptations of the corresponding properties in the stable case;

0. Let  $F \supset B$  witness  $p$ -weight for  $Lstp(a/B)$  and  $Y$  the set given by Lemma 2.3. Then, it's sufficient to prove that  $\dim_F(Y)$  is finite. Suppose not, then we can find an infinite sequence  $\{c_i : 0 \leq i < \omega\}$  independent over  $F$  such that  $c_i \not\downarrow_F a$ . By transitivity of non-forking, we have that  $c_i \not\downarrow_{Fc_0 \dots c_{i-1}} a$  for each  $i$ . This gives an infinite forking chain and contradicts the fact that  $T$  is supersimple.

1. Suppose  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -simple and let  $F$  witness the  $p$ -simplicity. Choose  $F' \equiv_{aB} F$  with  $F' \downarrow_{aB} C$ . Then  $F' \downarrow_B aC$  and  $F' \downarrow_C a$ . By automorphism,  $F'$  witnesses the  $p$ -simplicity of  $Lstp(a/B)$ , so we can find  $I' \subset p_{F'}$  with  $tp(a/F'I')$  hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ . Then  $tp(a/F'I'C)$  is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$  which shows that  $Lstp(a/C)$  is  $p$ -simple. Now suppose that  $F$  witnesses the  $p$ -simplicity of  $Lstp(a/B)$  and  $I \subset p_F$  with  $dim_F(I) = \kappa$ ,  $Lstp(a/FI)$  hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ . By the same argument, we may assume that  $F \downarrow_C a$ . Then  $tp(a/FCI)$  is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$  and  $dim_{FC}(I) \leq \kappa$ , which shows that  $w_p(a/C) \leq w_p(a/B)$ . Conversely, suppose that  $F$  witnesses  $p$ -simplicity of  $Lstp(a/C)$  and  $I \subset p_F$  with  $dim_F(I) = \kappa$ ,  $Lstp(a/FI)$  hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ . Then  $a \downarrow_B F$  as  $Lstp(a/C)$  is a non-forking extension of  $Lstp(a/B)$ . This shows that  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $w_p(a/B) \leq w_p(a/C)$ .

2. Let  $B_1$  witness  $p$ -weight for  $Lstp(a/B)$ . By the usual arguments, we may assume that  $B_1 \downarrow_B aC$ , hence  $a \downarrow_C B_1$ . Now choose  $I_1 \subset p_{B_1}$  with  $w_p(Lstp(a/B)) = dim_{B_1}(I_1)$  and  $Lstp(a/B_1I_1)$  hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ . Then still  $Lstp(a/B_1CI_1)$  (\*) is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ . Now choose  $I'_1 \subset I_1$  with  $I'_1 \subset p_{B_1C}$  and let  $J_1$  be a basis for  $I'_1$  over  $B_1C$ . Then we claim that  $Lstp(a/B_1CJ_1)$  is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ . As  $dim_{B_1C}(J_1) = dim_{B_1C}(I'_1) \leq dim_{B_1}(I_1) = w_p(Lstp(a/B))$ , this is sufficient to prove 2. In order to show the claim, let  $F \supset B_1CJ_1$  and suppose that  $d \in p_F$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that still  $I'_1 \subset p_F$  and  $J_1$  is still a basis for  $I'_1$  over  $F$ , otherwise take the corresponding subsets. By regularity of  $p$ ,  $b \downarrow_F I_1 \setminus I'_1$ , hence  $b \in p_{F, I_1 \setminus I'_1}$ . If  $b \not\downarrow_{F, I_1 \setminus I'_1} I'_1$ , then  $b \in cl_{F, I_1 \setminus I'_1}(I'_1)$  and  $I'_1 \subset cl_{F, I_1 \setminus I'_1}(J_1)$  implies  $b \in cl_{F, I_1 \setminus I'_1}(J_1)$  by transitivity of  $cl_{F, I_1 \setminus I'_1}$ . Therefore,  $b \not\downarrow_{F, I_1 \setminus I'_1} J_1$  contradicting the fact that  $J_1 \subset F$  and we conclude that  $b \in p_{FI_1}$ . By (\*), we have that  $b \downarrow_{FI_1} a$  and the previous argument shows  $b \downarrow_F I_1$ , hence  $b \downarrow_F a$  as required.

3. For the first part of 3, suppose that  $Lstp(a/B)$  and  $Lstp(b/B)$  are  $p$ -simple and let  $B_1, B_2 \supset B$  witness their  $p$ -simplicity. Choose  $b' \equiv_{Ba} b$ , with  $b' \downarrow_{Ba} B_1$  and find  $C \equiv_B B_2$  with  $C$  witnessing  $p$ -simplicity

for  $Lstp(b'/B)$ . Choose  $B'_2 \equiv_{Bb'} C$  with  $B'_2 \downarrow_{Bb'} aB_1$ , then, as

$B'_2 \downarrow_B b'$ , we have that  $B'_2 \downarrow_B ab'B_1$ . Therefore,  $B'_2 \downarrow_{B_1a} b'$

and  $B'_2 \downarrow_{B_1} a$ . This gives  $ab' \equiv_B ab$  and  $ab' \downarrow_B B_1B'_2$  with  $B_1$

witnessing  $p$ -simplicity for  $Lstp(a/B)$  and  $B'_2$  witnessing  $p$ -simplicity for  $Lstp(b'/B)$ . Then we can find  $I_1, I_2$  such that  $Lstp(a/B_1I_1)$  and  $Lstp(b'/B'_2I_2)$  are both hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ . We then claim that  $Lstp(ab'/B_1B'_2I_1I_2)$  is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ . If not, then

we can find  $F \supset B_1B'_2I_1I_2$  and  $c \in p_F$  such that  $ab' \not\downarrow_F c$ . Then

$c \not\downarrow_F b'$  or  $c \not\downarrow_{Fb'} a$ . In either case, we have a contradiction.

Hence,  $Lstp(ab'/B) = Lstp(ab/B)$  is  $p$ -simple.

In order to show that  $w_p$  is additive, let  $B_1$  witness  $p$ -weight for  $Lstp(a/B)$  with corresponding  $I_1$ . By the first part,  $Lstp(ab/B)$  is  $p$ -simple so we can find  $B_2$  witnessing the  $p$ -weight of  $Lstp(ab/B)$ . Similar to the above, we may assume that  $B_2 \downarrow_B abI_1B_1$  and  $ab \downarrow_B B_1B_2$ .

By Lemma 2.2, we may assume that  $B_1B_2$  witness  $p$ -weight both for  $Lstp(a/B)$  and  $Lstp(ab/B)$ . Now choose  $I_2$  maximal with  $I_1I_2$  independent over  $B_1B_2$  and such that

$c \not\downarrow_{B_1B_2} ab$  for  $c \in I_2$ . Using

Lemma 2.3, we have that  $Lstp(ab/B_1B_2I_1I_2)$  is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$  and  $w_p(ab/B) = \dim_{B_1B_2}(I_1I_2) = \dim_{B_1B_2}(I_1) + \dim_{B_1B_2}(I_2) = \text{Card}(I_2) + w_p(a/B)$ , the last equality following from the fact that  $B_1B_2$  witnesses  $p$ -weight for  $Lstp(a/B)$ . It is therefore sufficient to prove that  $w_p(b/aB) = \text{Card}(I_2)$ . Choose parameters  $B_3 \supset aB$  witnessing

$p$ -weight for  $Lstp(b/aB)$ . We may assume that  $b \downarrow_{aB} B_1B_2B_3I_1$

and  $B_3 \downarrow_{aB} bB_1B_2I_1I_2$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $B_1B_2B_3I_1$  witnesses the  $p$ -

weight of  $Lstp(b/aB)$  (1). We have that  $I_2$  is independent over  $aB_1B_2I_1$

(\*), as  $I_2 \downarrow_{B_1B_2I_1} a$  by the fact that  $Lstp(a/B_1I_1)$  is hereditarily

orthogonal to  $p$  and  $I_2$  is independent over  $I_1B_1B_2$  by definition. Hence, by choice of  $B_3$ ,  $I_2$  is independent over  $B_1B_2B_3I_1$  (2). Now, if  $c \in I_2$ , then  $c \not\downarrow_{B_1B_2B_3I_1} b$  (3). Otherwise, by (\*),  $c \downarrow_{B_1B_2I_1} ab$ . Now  $c \downarrow_{B_1B_2} I_1$  which gives  $c \downarrow_{B_1B_2} ab$ . This contradicts the choice of  $I_2$ . Combining (1), (2), (3) and Lemma 1.3, it's sufficient to show that if  $d \in p_{B_1B_2B_3I_1I_2}$  (\*\*), then  $d \downarrow_{B_1B_2B_3I_1} b$ . We already have that  $Lstp(ab/B_1B_2I_1I_2)$  is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ , hence so is  $Lstp(ab/B_1B_2B_3I_1I_2)$  and  $Lstp(b/B_1B_2B_3I_1I_2)$ . Now, if (\*\*) holds, we have that  $d \downarrow_{B_1B_2B_3I_1I_2} b$ . Then  $d \downarrow_{B_1B_2B_3I_1} I_2$  implies  $d \downarrow_{B_1B_2B_3I_1} b$  as required.

□

**Remarks 2.5.** Note that a trivial consequence of 3. is that for a tuple of elements  $\bar{a} \subset p$  and parameters  $F$ ,  $w_p(\bar{a}/F) = \dim_F(\bar{a}')$  where  $\bar{a}' \subset \bar{a}$  is the subtuple of elements in  $p_F$ .

4. Suppose  $C \supset B$  witnesses  $p$ -weight for  $a$ , then, as  $b \in acl(aB)$ ,  $C \not\downarrow_B b$ . Choose  $I \subset p_C$  with  $Lstp(a/CI)$  (\*) hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$  and  $w_p(a/B) = Card(I)$ . Then still  $Lstp(b/CI)$  is hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$ . For suppose not, then there exists  $D \supset CI$  and  $d \in p_D$  with  $d \not\downarrow_D b$ , hence  $d \not\downarrow_D a$ , contradicting (\*). This clearly shows 4.

As a corollary of 4, we have the following;

**Lemma 2.6.** Suppose that  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -simple,  $B \subset C$  and  $E = Cb(a/C)$ , then  $Lstp(E/B)$  is  $p$ -simple with finite  $p$ -weight.

*Proof.* By 2 of Fact 1.1 and the assumption that  $T$  is supersimple, we can find a finite set  $E_0 \subset E$  with  $E \subset acl(E_0)$ . By 4 of Fact 1.1, we can find a finite Morley sequence with  $E_0 \subset dcl(a_i : 1 \leq i \leq n)$ , hence  $E \subset acl(a_i : 1 \leq i \leq n)$ . Now, using 3,4 of Theorem 2.4, we have that  $Lstp(E/B)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $w_p(E/B) \leq nw_p(a/B)$  as required.

□

We also require the following, the proof is the same as for the stable case, given in [7];

**Lemma 2.7.** *Suppose  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $B \subset C$  with  $w_p(a/B) = w_p(a/C) = n$ . Then, if  $E = Cb(a/C)$ ,  $E \subset cl_p(B)$ .*

**Remarks 2.8.** *We can consider  $C$  as a finite tuple in  $\mathcal{M}^{eq}$  up to interalgebraicity.*

5. Choose  $F \supset B$  witnessing  $p$ -weight for  $Lstp(a/B)$  with 
$$F \downarrow_B aC .$$

Then we can find  $I \subset p_F$  with  $Card(I) = w_p(a/B)$  and  $w_p(a/FI) = 0$ . Using 3, we also calculate  $w_p(I/aF) = 0$ . Now, by 1,  $w_p(a/C) = w_p(a/FC)$ . Using 2,3,  $w_p(a/FC) = w_p(aI/FC) = w_p(I/FC)$ . Hence,  $w_p(a/C) = w_p(I/FC)$ . Now, by the remarks after 3, we can find  $J \subset I' \subset I$  with  $I'$  the subtuple belonging to  $p_{FC}$ ,  $J$  a basis for  $I'$  over  $FC$  and  $w_p(I/FC) = w_p(J/FC)$ . Now, if  $i \in I \setminus I'$ , then 
$$i \not\downarrow_{\emptyset} FC$$

and if  $i \in I' \setminus J$ , then 
$$i \not\downarrow_{FC} J$$
, hence, if  $i \in I \setminus J$ , then 
$$i \not\downarrow_{\emptyset} FCJ$$

(\*). By the finite character of forking, we can find a finite tuple  $\bar{c} \subset C$  such that (\*) holds replacing  $FCJ$  by  $F\bar{c}J$ . Then still  $I'$  is the subtuple of  $I$  belonging to  $p_{F\bar{c}}$  and  $J$  is a basis for  $I'$  over  $F\bar{c}$ . Hence  $w_p(I/FC) = w_p(I/F\bar{c})$ . Using 2,3 again,  $w_p(a/F\bar{c}) = w_p(I/F\bar{c})$  and by 1 again,  $w_p(a/F\bar{c}) = w_p(a/B\bar{c})$  as required.

6. The proof of 6 is trivial by the definitions of  $p$ -simplicity,  $p$ -weight and the fact that for  $B \subset C$ , 
$$ab \downarrow_B C \text{ iff } ba \downarrow_B C .$$

In order to make the definitions of Section 3, we require one more notion.

**Definition 2.9.**  *$Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -pure if for every  $B \subset C$ ,  $w_p(a/B) = w_p(a/C)$  iff 
$$a \downarrow_B C .$$*

The fundamental results on  $p$ -pure types are the following;

**Lemma 2.10.** *Suppose that  $Lstp(a/X)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $w_p(e/X) \geq 1$  for all  $e \in acl(aX) \setminus acl(X)$  with  $Lstp(e/X)$   $p$ -simple. Then  $Lstp(a/X)$  is  $p$ -pure.*

*Proof.* Choose  $F \supset X$  witnessing  $p$ -weight for  $Lstp(a/X)$  and  $I \subset p_F$  with  $Lstp(a/FI)$  hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$  and  $dim_F(I) = w_p(a/F)$ .

We first show that  $a$  and  $I$  are equidominant over  $F$ , see [8] for the relevant definition. Suppose that  $c \not\downarrow_F I$  (\*). As  $w_p(a/FI) = w_p(I/Fa) = 0$ , we have  $w_p(a/Fc) = w_p(I/Fc)$  by 2,3 of Theorem 2.4. By (\*) and regularity of  $p$ ,  $w_p(I/Fc) < w_p(I/F)$ . Hence,  $w_p(a/Fc) < w_p(a/F)$ , which, by 1 of Theorem 2.4, implies  $c \not\downarrow_F a$ . This shows that  $a$  dominates  $I$  over  $F$ . We want to see that  $I$  dominates  $a$  over  $F$  as well. Suppose not, then we find  $c$  with  $c \downarrow_F I$  and  $c \not\downarrow_F a$ .

Let  $E = Cb(Lstp(aI/cF))$ , then, by  $aI \not\downarrow_F c$  and 2. of Fact 1.1,

$E \subset acl(cF)$  and we can find  $e \in E \setminus acl(F)$  with  $e \not\downarrow_F a$  (\*). By

4 of Fact 1.1, we can find a Morley sequence  $\{a_1I_1, \dots, a_nI_n\}$  realising  $Lstp(aI/cF)$  such that  $e \in acl(a_1I_1, \dots, a_nI_n)$ . Then, by 3,4 of Theorem 2.4,  $Lstp(e/FI_1 \dots I_n)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $w_p(e/FI_1 \dots I_n) = 0$ . As  $I_1 \dots I_n \downarrow_F c$  and  $e \in acl(cF)$ , we have, by 1 of Theorem

2.4, that  $Lstp(e/F)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $w_p(e/F) = 0$ . Finally, let  $C' = Cb(Lstp(eF/aX))$ , then, by (\*) and 2. of Fact 1.1, we can find  $c' \in C'$  with  $c' \in acl(aX) \setminus acl(X)$ . As  $Lstp(a/X)$  is  $p$ -simple, by 4 of Theorem 2.4 we have that  $Lstp(c'/X)$  is  $p$ -simple. Again, by 4 of Fact 1.1, we can find a Morley sequence  $\{e_1F_1, \dots, e_nF_n\}$  realising  $Lstp(eF/aX)$  such that  $c' \in acl(e_1F_1, \dots, e_nF_n)$ . Then again by 3,4 of Theorem 2.4,  $w_p(c'/XF_1 \dots F_n) = 0$  and, as  $c' \downarrow_X F_1 \dots F_n$ , by 1 of

Theorem 2.4,  $Lstp(c'/X)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $w_p(c'/X) = 0$  as well. This contradicts the assumption of the Lemma, so  $a$  and  $I$  are equidominant over  $F$ . Finally, suppose that  $X \subset Y$  and  $w_p(a/X) = w_p(a/Y)$ .

Choose  $F$  as above with  $F \downarrow_X aY$  and  $I \subset p!_F$  such that  $a$  and  $I$

are equidominant over  $F$ . Then, by 1 of Theorem 1.4,  $w_p(I/FY) = w_p(a/FY) = w_p(a/Y) = w_p(a/X) = w_p(I/F)$ . Hence,  $I \subset p_{FY}$  and

$I \downarrow_F Y$  by regularity of  $p$ . Then  $a \downarrow_F Y$  as  $I$  dominates  $a$  over

$F$  and  $a \downarrow_X Y$ . This shows that  $Lstp(a/X)$  is  $p$ -pure as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *Suppose  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -simple and  $w_p(a/B) = n$ .*

Let  $B_a^{reg} = \{b \in acl(aB) : w_p(b/B) = 0\}$ , so  $B \subset B_a^{reg}$ , then  $Lstp(a/B_a^{reg})$  is  $p$ -pure and for any  $c$  with  $Lstp(c/B)$   $p$ -simple,  $w_p(c/B) = w_p(c/B_a^{reg})$ .

*Proof.* We first claim that if  $Lstp(e/B_a^{reg})$  is  $p$ -simple and  $e \in acl(aB_a^{reg}) \setminus acl(B_a^{reg})$  then  $w_p(e/B_a^{reg}) \geq 1$  (\*). As  $e \in acl(aB)$ , by 4 of Theorem 1.4,  $Lstp(e/B)$  is  $p$ -simple. Suppose (\*) fails, then, using 5, we can find a finite tuple  $\bar{b} \in B_a^{reg}$  with  $w_p(e/B\bar{b}) = 0$ . Now, using 2,3,6 and the definition of  $B_a^{reg}$ , we can easily calculate  $w_p(e/B) = w_p(\bar{b}e/B) = w_p(e\bar{b}/B) = 0$ . As  $e \in acl(aB)$ , we conclude that  $e \in B_a^{reg}$ , contradicting the assumption. Now by (\*) and Lemma 2.10, we conclude that  $Lstp(a/B_a^{reg})$  is  $p$ -pure. For the second part, again we can find a finite tuple  $\bar{b} \in B_a^{reg}$  with  $w_p(c/B_a^{reg}) = w_p(c/B\bar{b})$ . Again, a simple weight calculation gives that  $w_p(c/B\bar{b}) = w_p(c\bar{b}/B) = w_p(c/B)$  as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12.** *Suppose that  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -simple,  $a \downarrow_B C$  and  $Lstp(a/C)$  is  $p$ -pure, then  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -pure.*

*Proof.* Choose  $F \supset C$  witnessing the  $p$ -weight of  $Lstp(a/C)$ , so  $F$  also witnesses the  $p$ -weight of  $Lstp(a/B)$ . If  $I \subset p_F$  is chosen with  $Lstp(a/FI)$  hereditarily orthogonal to  $p$  and  $dim_F(I) = w_p(a/F)$ , then, as we have seen,  $a$  and  $I$  are weight equivalent over  $F$ . As is easily seen,  $Lstp(I/F)$  is  $p$ -pure, hence, as  $Lstp(a/F)$  is  $p$ -pure, an easy check gives that  $a$  and  $I$  are domination equivalent over  $F$ . Now repeat the proof at the end of Lemma 2.10.  $\square$

### 3. LINEARITY AND 1-BASEDNESS

**Definition 3.1.** *We say that  $D$  is linear if the following holds;*

*If  $ab$  is a pair in  $D$  with  $Lstp(ab/B)$   $p$ -pure having  $p$ -weight 1, then  $w_p(C/\emptyset) \leq 1$  where  $C = Cb(Lstp(ab/B))$ .*

We also introduce the following 2 objects.

**Definition 3.2.**  $G(D) = \{c : Lstp(c/\emptyset) \text{ is } p\text{-simple of } p\text{-weight } 1\}$

and

$$G(D)^{large} = \{c : Lstp(c/\emptyset) \text{ is } p\text{-simple of finite } p\text{-weight}\}$$

with corresponding localised structures;

$$G(D)_A = \{c \in G(D) : w_p(c/A) = 1\}$$

and

$$G(D)_A^{large} = \{c \in G(D)^{large} : w_p(c/A) \text{ is finite}\}$$

Note that by 2 of Theorem 2.4,  $G(D)_A^{large} = G(D)^{large}$ . We define a closure operator  $cl_p$  on  $G(D)^{large}$  by  $cl_p(B) = \{c \in G(D)^{large} : w_p(c/B) = 0\}$ . We also have a corresponding operator  $cl_p$  by restriction to  $G(D)$  and localised operators  $cl_{p,A}$  on  $G(D)_A$  and  $G(D)_A^{large}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *The following properties hold for  $cl_p$ .*

1.  $cl_p$  is transitive for  $G(D)$  (local.resp.) and  $G(D)^{large}$  (local.resp.)
2.  $cl_p$  is finite for  $G(D)$  (local.resp) and  $G(D)^{large}$  (local.resp.).
3.  $cl_p$  satisfies exchange on  $G(D)$  (local.resp).
4.  $G(D)$  and  $G(D)_A$  form pregeometries under  $cl_p$  and  $cl_{p,A}$

*Proof.* 1. For suppose that  $\bar{a} \in cl_p(\bar{b})$  and  $\bar{b} \in cl_p(\bar{c})$  then  $w_p(\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}/\emptyset) = w_p(\bar{c}/\emptyset)$  by 3. of Theorem 2.4 and  $w_p(\bar{b}\bar{a}\bar{c}/\emptyset) = w_p(\bar{a}\bar{c}/\emptyset) = w_p(\bar{a}/\bar{c}) + w_p(\bar{c}/\emptyset)$ , so  $w_p(\bar{a}/\bar{c}) = 0$  and  $\bar{a} \in cl_p(\bar{c})$ . The localised proof is similar.

2. For suppose that  $B \subset G(D)^{large}$  or  $B \subset G(D)$  and  $\bar{a} \in cl_p(B)$  then, by property 4 of  $w_p$ , there is a finite  $\bar{b} \subset acl(B)$  such that  $\bar{a} \in cl_p(\bar{b})$ . By transitivity of  $cl_p$  and the fact that algebraic types have  $p$ -weight 0, we can assume that  $\bar{b} \in B$ .

3. For suppose that  $aBc \subset G(D)$  and  $a \in cl_p(Bc) \setminus cl_p(B)$ . Replacing  $B$  by  $B_c^{reg}$  and using Lemma 2.11 we may assume that  $w_p(a/B_c^{reg}) = 1$  and  $Lstp(c/B_c^{reg})$  is  $p$ -pure. Then, as  $w_p(a/B_c^{reg}c) = 0$ , by the extension property we must have that  $\begin{matrix} a & \not\in & c \\ & B_c^{reg} & \end{matrix}$ . Therefore, as  $c \in G(D)$  and  $Lstp(c/B_c^{reg})$  is  $p$ -pure,  $w_p(c/B_c^{reg}a) = 0$ , that is  $c \in cl_p(B_c^{reg}a)$ .

Then, by transitivity of  $cl_p$  on  $G(D)^{large}$ ,  $c \in cl_p(Ba)$ .

4. The axioms 1,3 and 4 for a pregeometry in Definition 1.2 are easily verified from the previous parts of the lemma. 2 is a straightforward consequence of the extension property for  $w_p$ .

□

**Definition 3.4.** *We say that  $G(D)$  is linear if  $(ab)$  is a pair from  $G(D)$  and  $B \subset G(D)$  such that  $Lstp(ab/B)$  is  $p$ -pure with  $w_p(ab/B) = 1$ , then  $w_p(C) \leq 1$  where  $C = Cb(Lstp(ab/B))$ .*

We now prove the following;

**Lemma 3.5.** *If  $D$  is linear then  $G(D)$  is linear.*

**Proof:** The proof will be similar to [2]. Let  $(ab)$  be a pair from  $G(D)$  with  $w_p(ab) = 2$ , the case for  $w_p(ab) = 1$  is easier, and suppose that  $B \subset G(D)$  with  $w_p(ab/B) = 1$  and  $Lstp(ab/B)$   $p$ -pure. Let  $F$  witness the  $p$ -weight of  $Lstp(ab/B)$  with  $F \downarrow_{ab} B$ . Then we can find  $cd \subset p_F$  such that  $w_p(ab/Fcd) = 0$ ,  $w_p(cd/F) = 2$  and  $w_p(ab/F) = 2$ . By additivity of  $p$ -weight we must have  $w_p(cd/abF) = 0$  as well.

Claim 1:  $w_p(cd/FB) = 1$ .

As  $w_p(ab/B) = 1$ ,  $F \downarrow_B ab$  and  $w_p$  is invariant under non forking extension we have  $w_p(ab/FB) = 1$ . Then

$$w_p(abcd/FB) = w_p(ab/cdFB) + w_p(cd/FB) = w_p(cd/FB) =$$

$$w_p(cd/abFB) + w_p(ab/FB) = 0 + 1 = 1$$

giving the claim.

Now replace  $FB$  by  $FB_{cd}^{reg} = \{b \in acl(cdFB) : w_p(b/FB) = 0\}$

By Lemma 2.11,  $Lstp(cd/FB_{cd}^{reg})$  is  $p$ -pure with  $p$ -weight 1 and, by linearity of  $D$ ,  $w_p(C) \leq 1$  where  $C = Cb(Lstp(cd/FB_{cd}^{reg}))$ . Then

Claim 2:  $w_p(cd/cl_p(cd) \cap acl(FB_{cd}^{reg})) = 1$

We have that  $cd \downarrow_C FB$ , hence  $w_p(cd/C) = 1$ . Then, by additivity of  $w_p$  and linearity of  $D$ , we calculate  $w_p(C/cd) = w_p(C) - 1 = 0$ , therefore  $C \subset cl_p(cd)$ . As  $C \subset cl_p(cd) \cap acl(FB^{reg})$  and  $cl_p(cd) \cap acl(FB^{reg}) \subset acl(FB_{cd}^{reg})$  the claim is shown.

Claim 3:  $w_p(ab/W) = 1$ , where  $W = cl_{p,F}(ab) \cap acl(FB_{cd}^{reg}) \cup F$

We clearly still have that  $w_p(cd/cl_{p,F}(cd) \cap acl(FB^{reg}) \cup F) = 1$ . Using additivity,  $w_p(ab/cl_{p,F}(cd) \cap acl(FB^{reg}) \cup F) = 1$ . By transitivity of  $p$ -closure, we must have that  $cl_{p,F}(cd) = cl_{p,F}(ab)$ , hence  $w_p(ab/W) = 1$  as required.

Now let  $C' = Cb(Lstp(ab/B))$ . Then

Claim 4:  $w_p(ab/WC') = 1$

If not, then as  $C' \in acl(B)$ ,  $ab \in cl_p(FB_{cd}^{reg})$ . Again by transitivity of  $p$ -closure and the definition of  $F B_{cd}^{reg}$  we must have  $ab \in cl_p(FB)$ . Then, as  $ab \downarrow_B F$ ,  $ab \in cl_p(B)$ , contradicting the fact  $w_p(ab/B) = 1$  and giving the claim.

Now  $ab \downarrow_{C'} B$  so still  $w_p(ab/C') = 1$  and moreover, by Lemma 2.11,  $Lstp(ab/C')$  is still  $p$ -pure. Then, by definition of  $p$ -purity, we must have that  $ab \downarrow_{C'} W$  and so  $C' \in acl(W)$ . Then  $C' \subset cl_{p,F}(ab)$  and as  $C' \downarrow_{ab} F$ , we must have  $C' \subset cl_p(ab)$ . Now, by calculating  $w_p(abC')$ , we have that  $w_p(C') = 1$  as required.

**Lemma 3.6.** *If  $G(D)$  is linear then  $G(D)$  is modular.*

**Proof:** As  $G(D)$  forms a pregeometry, it is sufficient to check the criterion (\*) in Remarks 1.3. So choose  $x_1x_2$  in  $G(D)$  with  $w_p(x_1x_2) = 2$  and  $Y$  closed finite dimensional such that  $w_p(x_1x_2/Y) = 1$ . By finiteness, we can find  $\bar{y} \subset Y$  such that  $w_p(x_1x_2/\bar{y}) = 1$  and  $cl_p(\bar{y}) = Y$ . Replace  $\bar{y}$  by  $\bar{y}_{x_1x_2}^{reg}$ , so we can assume that  $Lstp(x_1x_2/\bar{y}_{x_1x_2}^{reg})$  is  $p$ -pure, though  $\bar{y}_{x_1x_2}^{reg}$  may no longer be contained in  $G(D)$ . Now, using 3. of Fact 1.1 and Lemma 2.12, we can replace the parameters  $\bar{y}_{x_1x_2}^{reg}$  by a Morley sequence  $W \subset G(D)$  such that  $Lstp(x_1x_2/W)$  is still  $p$ -pure and the canonical base is preserved. By linearity of  $G(D)$ , we have that  $C = Cb(Lstp(x_1x_2/W)) = Cb(Lstp(x_1x_2/\bar{y}_{x_1x_2}^{reg})) \subset cl_p(x_1x_2) \cap cl_p(\bar{y})$ . As  $w_p(C) = 1$ , we can find a tuple  $\bar{c} \in G(D)$  such that  $\bar{c}$  and  $C$  are interalgebraic. Then in fact  $\bar{c}$  witnesses the criterion (\*) as required.

The 2 lemmas combine to give the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.** *If  $D$  is linear then  $G(D)$  is modular.*

Even though  $G(D)^{large}$  is not a pregeometry it still makes sense to talk of the dimension of a closed set.

**Definition 3.8.** *Given  $X, Y \subset G(D)^{large}$  closed*

*$dim(X/Y) = \max\{w_p(\bar{a}/Y) : \bar{a} \in X\}$  if this set is bounded.*

*and  $dim(X/Y) = \infty$  otherwise.*

**Definition 3.9.**  *$G(D)^{large}$  is modular if the following holds ;*

*For finite dimensional closed  $X, Y \subset G(D)^{large}$   $dim(X/Y) = dim(X/X \cap Y)$ .*

We now prove the following;

**Theorem 3.10.** *If  $D$  is linear then  $G(D)^{large}$  is modular*

Here the problem is made more difficult by the fact that  $G(D)^{large}$  is not a pregeometry.

*Proof.* We first reduce the problem to a finite one, as in general  $cl_p(X)$  will be a very large set! Suppose  $G(D)^{large}$  is not modular, then there exists closed sets  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $dim(X/Y) < dim(X/X \cap Y)$ . Taking  $\bar{x} \in X$  so that  $w_p(\bar{x}/Y)$  is maximal, by definition we have that  $w_p(\bar{x}/X \cap Y) < w_p(\bar{x}/Y)$ . By finiteness, I can find  $\bar{c} \subset X \cap Y$  and  $\bar{y} \subset Y$  such that  $w_p(\bar{x}/\bar{c}) < w_p(\bar{x}/\bar{y})$  and, moreover, as weight is preserved on both sides, we can take  $\bar{c}$  and  $\bar{y}$  such  $cl_p(\bar{c}) = X \cap Y$  and  $cl_p(\bar{y}) = Y$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that

$$w_p(\bar{x}/\bar{y}) = w_p(\bar{x}/\bar{c}) \text{ where } cl_p(\bar{c}) = cl_p(\bar{x}) \cap cl_p(\bar{y}) \quad (*)$$

We show (\*) by induction on  $w_p(\bar{x}/\bar{y})$  for  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  finite tuples from  $G(D)^{large}$ .

Base Case.  $w_p(\bar{x}/\bar{y}) = 1$ .

Suppose  $w_p(\bar{x}) = n$ , then I can find  $F \downarrow \bar{x}\bar{y}$  and  $z_1 \dots z_n \in p_F$  such that  $\bar{x}$  and  $z_1 \dots z_n$  are weight equivalent over  $F$  (\*). As before, one checks that  $w_p(z_1 \dots z_n / F\bar{y}) = 1$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $w_p(z_i / F\bar{y}) = 1$  for each  $i$ . Now, adding parameters  $e_1 \dots e_n \subset cl_p(\emptyset)$ , we may assume that  $Lstp(z_i / e_1 \dots e_n)$  is  $p$ -pure for all  $i$  and all the conditions are preserved with  $F\bar{y}e_1 \dots e_n$  replacing  $F\bar{y}$ . We must have that  $w_p(z_1 z_i / F\bar{y}e_1 \dots e_n) = 1$  for all  $i$ , hence by linearity of  $D$ , we can find  $c_i \in G(D)$  for  $i \geq 2$  with  $cl_p(c_i) = cl_p(z_1 z_i) \cap cl_{p,F}(\bar{y}e_1 \dots e_n)$ . Clearly,  $e_i \subset cl_p(c_i)$ , so without loss of generality  $e_i \subset c_i$ . Now  $w_p(c_i / z_1 z_i) = 0$  and  $w_p(c_i / z_1) = 1$ , otherwise  $z_1 \not\subset_{e_1} c_i$  and  $z_1 \subset cl_{p,F}(\bar{y})$ . Hence  $z_i \not\subset_{z_1 e_i} c_i$ . As  $w_p(z_i / e_i z_1) = 1$  and  $Lstp(z_i / e_i z_1)$  is  $p$ -pure, we have that  $w_p(z_i / z_1 c_i) = 0$  so  $z_i \subset cl_p(z_1 c_i)$ . We want to show that  $w_p(c_2 \dots c_n) = n - 1$  from which, taking  $\bar{c} = c_2 \dots c_n$ , we clearly have that  $w_p(z_1 \dots z_n / \bar{c}) = w_p(z_1 \dots z_n / F\bar{y})$  and  $cl_p(\bar{c}) = cl_p(z_1 \dots z_n) \cap cl_{p,F}(\bar{y})$ . Suppose not, say  $c_n \subset cl_p(c_2 \dots c_{n-1})$ , then as  $z_n \subset cl_p(z_1 c_n)$  and  $c_2 \dots c_{n-1} \subset cl_p(z_1 \dots z_{n-1})$ , we have that  $z_n \subset cl_p(z_1 \dots z_{n-1})$  contradicting the fact that  $z_1 \dots z_n$  are independent realisations of  $p_F$ . Now,  $cl_p(\bar{z}) \cap cl_{p,F}(\bar{y}) \subset cl_{p,F}(\bar{z}) \cap cl_{p,F}(\bar{y}) \cup F$ . Therefore, using 3. of Theorem 2.4 to check that  $w_p(z_1 \dots z_n / F\bar{y}) = w_p(z_1 \dots z_n / cl_{p,F}(\bar{y}) \cup F)$ , we have that  $w_p(\bar{z} / F\bar{y}) = w_p(\bar{z} / cl_{p,F}(\bar{z}) \cap cl_{p,F}(\bar{y}) \cup F)$ . Let  $W = cl_{p,F}(\bar{x}) \cap cl_{p,F}(\bar{y}) \cup F$ , then, using (\*),  $w_p(\bar{x} / W) = w_p(\bar{x} / \bar{y}) = 1$ . Finally, we can assume that  $Lstp(\bar{x} / \bar{y})$  is  $p$ -pure and one checks that  $w_p(\bar{x} / WC) = 1$ , where  $C \subset G(D)^{large}$  is  $Cb(Lstp(\bar{x} / \bar{y}))$ . As in Lemma 1.18, this forces  $C \subset cl_p(W)$  and then  $C \subset cl_{p,F}(\bar{x})$ , and then  $C \subset cl_p(\bar{x})$ . This gives the result, as  $w_p(\bar{x} / \bar{y}) = w_p(\bar{x} / C) = w_p(\bar{x} / cl_p(\bar{x}) \cap cl_p(\bar{y}))$  and clearly  $cl_p(C) = cl_p(\bar{x}) \cap cl_p(\bar{y})$ , otherwise we could find  $z \in cl_p(\bar{x}) \setminus cl_p(C)$  such that  $w_p(\bar{x} / C) = w_p(\bar{x} / Cz)$ , which contradicts 3 of Theorem 2.4

Induction Step.

We now inductively assume the result for  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  with  $w_p(\bar{x} / \bar{y}) = m$  and suppose that  $w_p(\bar{x} / \bar{y}) = m + 1$ . Now again we can find  $F \downarrow \bar{x}\bar{y}$  and  $z_1 \dots z_n \in p_F$  such that  $z_1 \dots z_n$  is weight equivalent to  $\bar{x}$  over  $F$ . Then still  $w_p(\bar{x} / F\bar{y}) = m + 1$  and we may assume  $z_i \notin cl_p(F\bar{y})$  for some  $i$ , otherwise  $\bar{x} \in cl_p(F\bar{y})$  which is not the case. Using the fact that  $w_p(z_1 / F\bar{y}) = 1$  say, then by a weight calculation we have that  $w_p(\bar{x} / z_1 F\bar{y}) = m$ . We now temporarily add  $F$  to the language, and take  $p$ -closure to include  $F$ . Then, working in  $G(D)_F^{large}$ , we have that  $w_p(\bar{x} / \bar{y}) = m + 1$  and  $w_p(\bar{x} / z_1 \bar{y}) = m$ . Applying the induction

hypothesis to  $G(D)_F^{large}$ , we can find  $c$  in  $G(D)_F^{large}$  such that  $cl_p(c) = cl_p(\bar{x}) \cap cl_p(z_1\bar{y})$ . Then  $w_p(cz_1/\bar{y}) = 1$  as  $c \in cl_p(z_1\bar{y})$  and  $z_1 \notin cl_p(\bar{y})$ . Therefore, we can find  $d \in G(D)_F^{large}$  such that  $cl_p(d) = cl_p(cz_1) \cap cl_p(\bar{y})$  and moreover  $w_p(d) = w_p(cz_1) - 1 = w_p(c) - 1 = w_p(\bar{x}) - m - 1$ . As  $cl_p(cz_1) \cap cl_p(\bar{y}) = cl_p(\bar{x}) \cap cl_p(\bar{y})$ , this tells us exactly that  $w_p(\bar{x}/F\bar{y}) = w_p(\bar{x}/Fd)$  where  $cl_{p,F}(d) = cl_{p,F}(\bar{x}) \cap cl_{p,F}(\bar{y})$ . Now letting  $C' = Cb(Lstp(\bar{x}/\bar{y}))$  and assuming as usual that  $Lstp(\bar{x}/\bar{y})$  is  $p$ -pure, we have that  $w_p(\bar{x}/FdC') = m$  otherwise as  $C' \in acl(\bar{y})$  then  $w_p(\bar{x}/F\bar{y}) < m$  which is not the case. Hence, by  $p$ -purity, we have that  $C' \in cl_p(F\bar{x})$  and then as  $F \downarrow_{\bar{x}} C'$ ,  $C' \in cl_p(\bar{x})$ . This proves the result.  $\square$

So we have,

**Theorem 3.11.** *If  $D$  is linear then  $G(D)$  and  $G(D)^{large}$  are both modular.*

The following result is an easy adaptation of the proof in the stable case, given in [7], p269, (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (iv). Here, observe that the corresponding notation to  $D(p, A_0)$  is  $G(D)^{large}$  as we have taken  $A_0 = \emptyset$  and that Lemma 2.1 there corresponds to Lemma 2.7 in this paper.

**Theorem 3.12.** *If  $G(D)^{large}$  is modular, then  $D$  is linear.*

Also, the following result is a straightforward adaptation of Theorem 3.10;

**Theorem 3.13.** *If  $G(D)$  is modular, then  $G(D)^{large}$  is modular.*

Combining these results gives that

**Theorem 3.14.**  *$D$  is linear iff  $G(D)$  and  $G(D)^{large}$  are modular.*

**Remarks 3.15.** *The localised analogues of Theorem 3.14 replace  $G(D)$  and  $G(D)^{large}$  by  $G(D)_A$  and  $G(D)_A^{large}$ . For  $G(D)_A^{large}$  there is nothing to prove and that  $G(D)_A$  modular implies  $G(D)$  linear is straightforward.*

Modularity of  $G(D)^{large}$  can be seen as a local analogue of 1-basedness for the theory  $T$ . More precisely, we say that a simple theory  $T$  with elimination of hyperimaginaries is 1-based if, for any sets  $A$  and  $B$  in a big model  $\mathcal{M}$ , we have that 
$$A \quad \downarrow \quad B$$
  $acl(A) \cap acl(B)$  where  $acl$  is taken in the sense of  $\mathcal{M}^{eq}$ . This is equivalent to the following condition (\*) on canonical bases.

For any tuple  $\bar{a}$  and parameters  $B \subset \mathcal{M}^{eq}$ , then  $Cb(\bar{a}/B) \subset acl(\bar{a})$  (\*)

The proof is fairly straightforward; Suppose that  $T$  is 1-based, then  $\bar{a} \downarrow_{acl(\bar{a}) \cap B} B$ . By 2. of Fact 1.1, we have that (\*) holds. Conversely, suppose that (\*) holds, then given  $\bar{a}, B$ , by 2. of Fact 1.1 again, we must have that  $\bar{a} \downarrow_{acl(a) \cap acl(B)} B$ . Now,  $T$  must be 1-based by the finite character of forking.

If  $T$  is a simple 1-based theory and  $D$  denotes the solution set of any regular type, then, if  $X, Y$  are  $p$ -closed subsets of  $G(D)^{large}$  (therefore algebraically closed), by 1-basedness we must have that  $X \downarrow_{X \cap Y} Y$ .

Using 1. of Theorem 2.4, we get that  $w_p(X/Y) = w_p(X/X \cap Y)$ , so  $G(D)^{large}$  is modular and, in particular, by Theorem 3.14,  $D$  is linear. The converse, in general, is false, there are examples of *stable* theories all of whose regular types are linear but which are not 1-based, see [7]. However, we can show the following, which should be compared with (\*) above;

**Theorem 3.16.** *If  $D$  is linear, then given  $a \in G(D)^{large}$  and parameters  $B$  such that  $Lstp(a/B)$  is  $p$ -pure, we have  $Cb(Lstp(a/B)) \subset cl_p(a)$ , where  $cl_p$  denotes the  $p$ -closure operator on  $G(D)^{large}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is a rather immediate consequence of the main Theorem 3.14. Let  $C = Cb(Lstp(a/B))$ , then  $C \subset G(D)^{large}$  by Lemma 2.6. Let  $E = cl_p(a) \cap cl_p(C)$ . Then by modularity of  $G(D)^{large}$ ,  $w_p(a/C) = w_p(a/cl_p(C)) = w_p(a/E) = w_p(a/EC)$ . Now, by  $p$ -purity of  $Lstp(a/C)$ , we must have that  $a \downarrow_C E$ , therefore by 3. of Fact 1.1,  $C = Cb(Lstp(a/EC))$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $C \subset cl_p(E)$  and hence  $C \subset cl_p(a)$  as required. □

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Buechler, A. Pillay and F.O. Wagner, Supersimple theories, Journal of AMS 14 (1998) 109-124.
- [2] T. de Piro, B. Kim, ‘The Geometry of 1-Based Minimal Types’.
- [3] B. Hart, B. Kim, A. Pillay ‘Coordinatisation and canonical bases in simple theories’, Journal of Symbolic Logic, 65 (2000) 293-309.

- [4] B. Kim, Forking in simple unstable theories, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 57 (1998), 257-267.
- [5] B. Kim, *Lecture Notes on Hyperimaginaries and Canonical Bases*.
- [6] B. Kim and A. Pillay, Simple Theories, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 88 (1997), 149-164.
- [7] A. Pillay, *Geometric stability theory*, Oxford University Press, Oxford(1996).
- [8] F.O. Wagner, *Simple Theories*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (2000).

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH,, EDINBURGH, EH9  
3JZ

*E-mail address:* `depiro@maths.ed.ac.uk`